# Chapter 3.6 Biometric Identities and E-Government Services

#### **Murray Scott**

National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

#### Séamus Hill

National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

#### **Thomas Acton**

National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

#### **Martin Hughes**

National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

#### INTRODUCTION

Governments are using the Internet and e-commerce technologies to provide public services to their citizens (Watson & Mundy, 2001). In so doing, governments aim to form better relationships with businesses and citizens by providing more efficient and effective services (Al-Kibisi, de Boer, Mourshed, & Rea, 2001). E-government provides opportunities to streamline and improve internal governmental processes, enable efficiencies in service delivery, and improve customer service (Bannister & Walsh, 2002). As a result, achieving successful e-government delivered over the Internet has become a key concern for many governments (Eyob, 2004). Additionally,

there are privacy, security, and trust issues for citizens interacting with government services compounded by the electronic nature of the interaction. Biometric identifiers may present a solution to some of these concerns, leading to increased levels of secure, private, and trusted e-government interactions.

#### BACKGROUND

### **E-Government Challenges**

The Internet can be used to provide access to centrally stored data to support services and transactions and can help the efficient running of government and provide convenient services to citizens. However, the permanent storage of confidential and personal data present significant security challenges (DeConti, 1998). International data protection reforms recommend security measures to protect sensitive information, and in doing so present potential restrictions for government agencies on the usage of data in transactions and the storage of citizen information (Dearstyne, 2001).

With e-government, citizens are exposed to threats to data privacy and the security of information, similar to those encountered in an e-commerce environment. Privacy, security, and confidentiality are thus natural concerns for businesses and citizens in this context (Layne & Lee, 2001). Furthermore, the design of e-systems may also deter some citizens from using the electronic medium, preferring the familiarity of traditional physical interactions (Jupp & Shine, 2001). These factors necessitate the building of trust between citizens and government to ensure successful levels of adoption of Internet-based e-government services (Bellamy & Taylor, 1998).

The development of biometrics has ignited widespread interest by citizens, businesses, and governments, on how these technologies operate and the implications of their usage. In addition, the development of new technologies has the potential to develop citizen trust by offering advanced levels of security (Dearstyne, 2001; Dridi, 2001).

#### **Biometrics**

Biometrics is the application of computational methods to biological features, especially with regard to the study of unique biological characteristics of humans (Hopkins, 1999). As an emerging technology, biometrics offers two related and important capabilities: first, the reliable identification of an individual from the measurement of a physiological property, which provides second the ability to control and protect the integrity

of sensitive data stored in information systems (Oppliger, 1997).

As the levels of worldwide information system security breaches and transaction fraud increase, the imperative for highly secure authentication and personal verification technologies becomes increasingly pronounced. Governments are concerned about user verification and system security in developing e-government services particularly with moves towards combined, seamless services, which are delivered electronically. As a result the potential benefits of biotechnologies, in particular identification issues and security, are gaining importance on political agendas for e-government development (UK Government Strategy Unit, 2002).

#### **Biometrics and Authentication**

Three general categories of authentication exist with respect to electronic systems: (1) PINs (personal identification number) or passwords, (2) keys, smart cards, or tokens, and (3) biometrics (Liu & Silverman, 2002). Passwords are the most commonly used means of authentication in information systems (Furnell, Dowland, Illingworthand, & Reynolds, 2000). However, this authentication technique is often insecure, as users tend to choose passwords that are easily guessed or breakable by hackers (Bradner, 1997). Jain, Hong, and Pankanti (2000) describe token-based security and verification approaches as physical entities an individual possesses to make a personal identification, such as a passport, a driver's license, ID card, and so on. Such identification entities are currently widely used as methods of authentication for numerous applications worldwide. However, Ratha, Connell, and Bolle (2001) argues that the process of biometric authentication can be automated, and unlike token- or password-based methods, physiological characteristics cannot be lost or stolen.

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <a href="www.igi-global.com/chapter/biometric-identities-government-services/9782">www.igi-global.com/chapter/biometric-identities-government-services/9782</a>

#### Related Content

#### Encouraging Society Participation Through Conversations About Public Service Processes

Bruna Diirr, Renata Araujoand Claudia Cappelli (2014). *International Journal of Electronic Government Research (pp. 22-42).* 

www.irma-international.org/article/encouraging-society-participation-through-conversations-about-public-service-processes/115909

## Supporting Public Policy Making Processes with Workflow Technology: Lessons Learned From Cases in Four European Countries

Aggeliki Tsohou, Habin Lee, Karim Al-Yafi, Vishanth Weerakkody, Ramzi El-Haddadeh, Zahir Irani, Andrea Ko, Tunc D. Medeniand Luis Miguel Campos (2012). *International Journal of Electronic Government Research (pp. 63-77).* 

www.irma-international.org/article/supporting-public-policy-making-processes/70076

#### The Effect of Trust on the Continuance Intention of E-Filing Usage: A Review of Literatures

T. Santhanameryand T. Ramayah (2013). *Human-Centered System Design for Electronic Governance (pp. 290-310).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-effect-of-trust-on-the-continuance-intention-of-e-filing-usage/74967

#### Zambia and e-Government: An Assessment and Recommendations

Neal Coatesand Lisa Nikolaus (2010). *E-Agriculture and E-Government for Global Policy Development: Implications and Future Directions (pp. 137-161).* 

 $\underline{www.irma\text{-}international.org/chapter/zambia-government-assessment-recommendations/38147}$ 

#### Smartphone Guns Shooting Tweets: Killing the "Other" in Palestine

Ryan Kiggins (2017). *Digital Media Integration for Participatory Democracy (pp. 22-43).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/smartphone-guns-shooting-tweets/178701