

Chapter 2.28

BSC–Based Framework for E–Business Strategy

Fen Wang

University of Maryland, Baltimore County, USA

Guisseppe Forgionne

University of Maryland, Baltimore County, USA

INTRODUCTION

E-business is far more about strategy than technology (Raisinghani & Schkade, 2001). An effective e-business strategy is concerned with e-business multidimensional characteristics associated with different levels, parties, elements, and growth pattern features (Bakry & Bakry, 2001). In the process, the strategy must incorporate the effects of the instant and global Internet communication mechanism on the company's business management architecture. The global reach and interconnectivity of the Internet have spawned new models of e-business strategy and radically transformed existing ones (Pant & Ravichandran, 2001). Indeed, what distinguishes many of the dot-coms is not their new technical power, but the radical new business models (Hamel, 2000).

Aided by such innovative e-business models, managers will be able to identify the major decision factors involved in their business strategies and generate strategies that would improve their overall performance and profitability. In the current context, four essential perspectives are identified to be associated with an e-business strategy: financial, customer, internal processes, and learning and growth. These four perspectives were first introduced in early 1990s as the balanced scorecard concept (BSC) (Kaplan & Norton, 1992). Because the BSC methodology explicitly focuses on links among business decisions and outcomes, it is intended to guide strategy development, implementation, and provide reliable feedback for management control and performance evaluation. This BSC rationale is thereby appealing to managers who face new challenges in the current turbulent e-business climate.

The real challenge is to determine how the BSC can be successfully applied in the context of e-business's constantly changing environment of interdependencies (Hasan & Tibbits, 2000). E-business introduces new business objectives and strategies and the old measures of success may no longer apply. It is anticipated that the departure from the original BSC for a strategic e-business management framework would be more radical than the existing BSC adaptations (e.g., Martinson's balanced IS scorecard; Martinsons, Davison, & Tse, 1999).


BACKGROUND

Few, if any, precise and complete e-business strategy models are available from the literature (Dubosson-Torbay, Osterwalder, & Pigneur, 2001). There are a few theoretical academic studies with some empirical evidence on e-business models success (Horsti, Tuunainen, & Tolonen, 2005). Generally, these e-business model studies fall into two categories: subsystem research and generic frameworks. Examples of the subsystem research include modeling for price structures (Liu, Wynter, & Xia, 2003), customer needs (Olsson & Karlsson, 2003), process synchronization

(Park, 2002), and knowledge sharing (Koh & Kim, 2004). Since these subsystem models deal with a particular aspect of e-business, they do not offer a global and complete view of e-business strategy.

There are several generic frameworks for the development and analysis of e-business models. Whelan and Maxelon (2001) proposed that an e-business architecture requires product, channel, customer management, resource management, and information elements. Afuah and Tucci (2001) presented a more detailed list of components including scope, customer value, revenue sources, connected activities, and so forth, but like Whelan and Maxelon, they did not specify the interrelationships. Hamel (2000) specified a complete four-part framework with bridge components that is geared toward guiding strategic choices of management. Similarly, Dubosson-Torbay et al. (2001) used a framework with four principal components to analyze e-business: product innovation, customer relationship, infrastructure management, and financial aspects. Going beyond the segment frameworks, De, Mathee, and Abraham (2001) developed a pragmatic framework that offers different perspectives for the analysis of e-business including transaction costs, switch-

Figure 1. Adapted four perspectives of e-business strategy



10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/bsc-based-framework-business-strategy/9490

Related Content

E-Commerce Adoption in Sweden, Australia, and the USA: A Comparison

Robert MacGregorand Lejla Vrazalic (2007). *E-Commerce in Regional Small to Medium Enterprises* (pp. 381-394).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/commerce-adoption-sweden-australia-usa/8941

Impact of Digital Revolution on the Structure of Nigerian Banks

A.A. Agboola, D.O. Yinusaand O.O. Ologunde (2009). *Journal of Electronic Commerce in Organizations* (pp. 31-39).

www.irma-international.org/article/impact-digital-revolution-structure-nigerian/37398

Impact of E-Learning During SARS Outbreak in Hong Kong

Eric T.T. Wong (2004). *The Social and Cognitive Impacts of e-Commerce on Modern Organizations* (pp. 185-203).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/impact-learning-during-sars-outbreak/30403

Organizational Motivation and Interorganizational Systems Adoption Process: Empirical Evaluation in the Australian Automotive Industry

Md. Mahbubur Rahim, Graeme Shanks, Robert Johnstonand Pradip Sarker (2007). *Journal of Electronic Commerce in Organizations* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/organizational-motivation-interorganizational-systems-adoption/3494

Understanding Electronic Commerce Adoption in Bruneian SMEs: A Replication of the Application of TAM and Perceived Strategic Value Models

Afzaal H. Seyaland Md. Mahbubur Rahim (2010). *Journal of Electronic Commerce in Organizations* (pp. 32-50).

www.irma-international.org/article/understanding-electronic-commerce-adoption-bruneian/46946