

Chapter 7

A Petri Net–Based Tool for the Analysis of Generalized Continuous Time Bayesian Networks

Daniele Codetta-Raiteri

University of Piemonte Orientale, Italy

Luigi Portinale

University of Piemonte Orientale, Italy

ABSTRACT

A software tool for the analysis of Generalized Continuous Time Bayesian Networks (GCTBN) is presented. GCTBN extend CTBN introducing in addition to continuous time-delayed variables, non-delayed or “immediate” variables. The tool is based on the conversion of a GCTBN model into a Generalized Stochastic Petri Net (GSPN), which is an actual mean to perform the inference (analysis) of the GCTBN. Both the inference tasks (prediction and smoothing) can be performed in this way. The architecture and the methodologies of the tool are presented. In particular, the conversion rules from GCTBN to GSPN are described, and the inference algorithms exploiting GSPN transient analysis are presented. A running example supports their description: a case study is modelled as a GCTBN and analyzed by means of the tool. The results are verified by modelling and analyzing the system as a Dynamic Bayesian Network, another form of Bayesian Network, assuming discrete time.

INTRODUCTION

We present a software tool for the analysis of *Generalized Continuous Time Bayesian Network* (GCTBN) (Codetta & Portinale, 2010). The tool is based on the model-to-model transformation of a GCTBN into a *Generalized Stochastic Petri Net* (GSPN) (Ajmone et al., 1995).

GCTBN are a particular form of *Bayesian Network* (BN) (Langseth & Portinale, 2007), are characterized by a continuous time dimension, and contain two kinds of variables: delayed variables having a temporal evolution, and immediate variables whose value immediately changes according to the values of the parent variables.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-4659-9.ch007

The possibilities offered by this generalization, can be exploited in several applications. For example, in system reliability analysis, it is very practical to distinguish between system components (having a temporal evolution) and specific modules or subsystems, whose behavior has to be modeled for the analysis. This is shown by the case study presented in the following sections. Another case concerns *Fault Tree Analysis* (FTA) (Sahner et al., 1996) where basic events represent the system components with their failure rates, while non-basic events are logical gates identifying modules of the system under examination. In *Dynamic Fault Trees* (Bechta Dugan et al., 1992), logical gates identifying sub-modules, can be combined with dynamic gates, modeling time-dependent dependencies (usually assuming continuous time) among components or sub-modules. Also in this case, it is very important to distinguish, at the modeling level, between delayed and immediate entities (see also Portinale et al., 2007). Of course, similar considerations apply in other tasks as well, as in medical diagnosis, financial forecasting, biological process modeling, etc.

GCTBN and GSPN share the same stochastic process composed by tangible states characterized by an actual duration, and vanishing states where the system spend no time. This is the reason why a GCTBN can be converted into GSPN. The tool exploits GSPN transient analysis to perform the *inference* of the GCTBN. This means computing the probability distribution of the queried variables at a specific time, conditioned by the observation of the values of other variables at particular times. However, a single transient analysis of the equivalent GSPN is not enough to perform the inference (analysis) of a GCTBN. The inference must take into account the observations (evidences) and their times of occurrence. So, the GSPN model has to be modified and analyzed at each time of interest, in order to represent and consider the observations. The results obtained at each time, must be properly combined in order to return the

effective probability distribution of the queried variables.

Solution techniques for GSPN have received a lot of attention, especially with respect to the possibility of solving the underlying state space efficiently (Miner, 2007). For this reason, we exploit GSPN analysis for GCTBN inference. At the moment, this is the only method developed to solve GCTBN models. This method will be useful in the future to verify inference results if a direct solver will be developed for GCTBN. CTBN solution methods (Nodelman et al., 2005) may be extended to deal with GCTBN. However, CTBN solution usually provides approximate results, while the GSPN based approach described in this paper, returns exact results because it exploits GSPN transient analysis.

The presentation of the tool is supported by a running example, and we describe the formalisms involved in the tool (GCTBN and GSPN) in terms of modelling primitives and analysis tasks. In particular, we present the conversion rules from GCTBN to GSPN, and the inference algorithms exploiting GSPN transient analysis. The results obtained for the running example are verified by modelling and analyzing the system as a *Dynamic Bayesian Network* (DBN) (Murphy, 2002).

BACKGROUND

The dependability is a fundamental requirement for critical system and infrastructures, and can be quantified by means of several measures, such as the reliability. A way to compute the reliability is the construction of a probabilistic model of the system. The level of accuracy of the model must be enough to correctly represent the aspects of the system behaviour which are relevant to the computation of the reliability. Traditional modelling approaches in system dependability may be classified as combinatorial or state-space based.

Combinatorial models such as *Fault Trees* (Sahner et al., 1996) and *Reliability Block Dia-*

24 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/a-petri-net-based-tool-for-the-analysis-of-generalized-continuous-time-bayesian-networks/91945

Related Content

Designing Secure Software by Testing Application of Security Patterns

Takanori Kobashi, Hironori Washizaki, Nobukazu Yoshioka, Haruhiko Kaiya, Takao Okubo and Yoshiaki Fukazawa (2019). *Exploring Security in Software Architecture and Design* (pp. 136-169).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/designing-secure-software-by-testing-application-of-security-patterns/221715

Recognition and Implementation of Cyber Physical Systems in the Development of Smart Factories in Industry 4.0 Through Optimization Techniques

L. Natrayan (2023). *Cyber-Physical Systems and Supporting Technologies for Industrial Automation* (pp. 337-350).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/recognition-and-implementation-of-cyber-physical-systems-in-the-development-of-smart-factories-in-industry-40-through-optimization-techniques/328508

Hybrid Autoscaling Strategy on Container-Based Cloud Platform

Truong-Xuan Do and Vu Khanh Ngo Tan (2022). *International Journal of Software Innovation* (pp. 1-12).

www.irma-international.org/article/hybrid-autoscaling-strategy-on-container-based-cloud-platform/292019

Integration of Human Factors to Safety Assessments by Human Barrier Interaction

Markus Talg, Malte Hammerland Michael Meyer zu Hörste (2012). *Railway Safety, Reliability, and Security: Technologies and Systems Engineering* (pp. 327-339).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/integration-human-factors-safety-assessments/66679

Development of a Master of Software Assurance Reference Curriculum

Nancy R. Mead, Julia H. Allen, Mark Ardis, Thomas B. Hilburn, Andrew J. Kornecki, Rick Linger and James McDonald (2010). *International Journal of Secure Software Engineering* (pp. 18-34).

www.irma-international.org/article/development-master-software-assurance-reference/48215