# Chapter XXI Personalization Issues for Science Museum Web Sites and E-Learning

# Silvia Filippini-Fantoni The University of Paris I Sorbonne University, France

Jonathan P. Bowen London South Bank University, UK

**Teresa Numerico** London South Bank University, UK

# ABSTRACT

*E-learning has the potential to be a very personalized experience and can be tailored to the individual involved. So far, science museums have yet to tap into this potential to any great extent, partly due to the relative newness of the technology involved and partly due to the expense. This chapter covers some of the speculative efforts that may improve the situation for the future, including the SAGRES project and the Ingenious Web site, among other examples. It is hoped that this will be helpful to science museums and centers that are considering the addition of personalization features to their own Web site. Currently, Web site personalization should be used with caution, but larger organizations should be considering the potential if they have not already started to do so.* 

Copyright © 2008, IGI Global, distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

# BACKGROUND

In the past few years, the number of people visiting museums' Web sites has gone up rapidly. As a consequence, museums have to face the significant challenge of creating virtual environments that are progressively more adapted towards the different needs, interests and expectations of their heterogeneous users. Increasingly, museums and science centers are using their Web sites to augment their learning facilities in potentially innovative ways (Tan et al., 2003). In particular, museums need to provide for differing online requirements such as teaching, e-learning and research (Hamma, 2004). One of the solutions available to help is the introduction of personalization techniques (Dolog & Sintek, 2004) that, by providing differentiated access to information and services according to the user's profile, make facilities and applications more relevant and useful for individual users, thus improving the overall visitor's experience. Science museums, by their very technological nature, ought to be at the vanguard of applying new techniques like personalization.

Developed in the early 1990s in an attempt to try to respond to the different needs and characteristics of an ever-growing number of Internet users, personalized or adaptive Web systems have since been exploited in different sectors such as commerce, tourism, education, finance, culture and health. What distinguishes these systems from the traditional static Web is the creation of a user model that represents the characteristics of the user, utilizing them in the creation of content and presentations adapted to different individuals (Brusilovsky & Maybury, 2002). By so doing, personalization becomes a useful tool in the selection and filtering of information for the user, facilitating navigation and increasing the speed of access as well as the likelihood that the user's search is successful.

The techniques available to collect information about users, as well as the methods used to process such information to create user profiles and to provide adapted information, are varied. A brief description of the different approaches will be presented here before moving on to illustrate different application examples within the science museum world.

# PERSONALIZATION TECHNIQUES

A first important distinction concerning the amount of control the user has on the adaptation process can be made between customization and personalization. Customization or adaptability occurs when "the user can configure an interface and create a profile manually, adding and removing elements in the profile" (Bonnet, 2002). The control of the look and/or content of the site are explicit and user-driven; that is, the user is involved actively in the process and has direct control. In *personalization* or *adaptivity*, on the other hand, the user is seen as being passive, or at least somewhat less in control (Bonnet, 2002). Modifications concerning the content or even the structure of a Web site are performed automatically by the system based on information concerning the user stored in the so-called user profile. Such information about the user is provided either *explicitly*, by the user themselves, using online registration forms, questionnaires and reviewing (static profiles) or *implicitly* by recording the navigational behavior and/or preferences of each user through dynamic profiling Web technologies such as *cookies*<sup>1</sup> and *Web server log* files<sup>2</sup> (Eirinaki & Vazirgiannis, 2003).

Once the data concerning the users is collected either implicitly or explicitly, or even in both ways, as is often the case, appropriate information that matches the users' need is determined and delivered. This process usually follows one or more of the following techniques: content-based filtering, collaborative filtering, rule-based filtering and Web usage mining.

*Content-based* systems track user behavior and preferences, recommending items that are similar

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/personalization-issues-science-museum-web/9133

# **Related Content**

The Future of Rich Media, Learning Management Systems, and Content Management Systems Richard Caladine (2008). *Enhancing E-Learning with Media-Rich Content and Interactions (pp. 294-303).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/future-rich-media-learning-management/18331

#### A Dinosaur Hatches Its Eggs: Using Technology as a Pedagogical Tool

Linda B. Pincham (2011). Adaptation, Resistance and Access to Instructional Technologies: Assessing Future Trends In Education (pp. 256-272).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/dinosaur-hatches-its-eggs/47263

# Opportunities and Challenges of E-Learning in South Asia: Expediency and Encumbrance of E-Learning in South Asia

Gopikrishnan T. (2021). Challenges and Opportunities for the Global Implementation of E-Learning Frameworks (pp. 165-179).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/opportunities-and-challenges-of-e-learning-in-south-asia/277751

### All About Constraints

Jon Dron (2007). *Control and Constraint in E-Learning: Choosing When to Choose (pp. 57-76).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/all-constraints/7147

### Group Process and Trust in Group Discussion

Lorna Udenand Linda Wojnar (2007). Integrating Information & Communications Technologies Into the Classroom (pp. 135-153).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/group-process-trust-group-discussion/24036