Chapter 15 Decision-Making in Organizations: A Case Study of the Use of GDSS in University Planning

Kathleen M. Golden Edinboro University of Pennsylvania, USA

Patricia P. Pineo Edinboro University of Pennsylvania, USA

ABSTRACT

The use of a collaborative decision-making model has been shown to produce more creative solutions and to increase the size of the stakeholder pool, as well as increase the commitment of stakeholders to final decisions. This study combines the research in group decision-making using the functional theory and the bona fide group perspective along with the large body of research on Group Decision Support Systems (GDSS). The purpose is to assist organizations in both making decisions and understanding the processes used and individuals involved in those decisions. This longitudinal study of one university's collaboration process presents their multiple planning efforts in accreditation and creating civility. Two participant-observers discuss several bona fide decision making groups across a five-year period along with the application of a GDSS that uses Saaty's Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) to assist in that decision-making. The usefulness of GDSS is discussed and its future applications are suggested.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-4478-6.ch015

INTRODUCTION

Group Decision Support Systems have been the subject of study for over 25 years. DeSanctis & Gallupe (1987) lay the foundation for this research and organizations have adopted and used various forms of these systems for Business Process Management (BPM).

We offer a suggestion that comes from the group communication literature and also uses Group Decision Support Systems (GDSS) to assist in the process. We argue that we need to think about the factors impacting the decision process that may have nothing to do with the technology itself.

One outcome of our discussion will be to suggest research strategies to enhance our understanding of groups that make decisions in their day-to-day work and to suggest better ways to enhance adoption, use, and inherently, organizational outcomes with the use of technology such as GDSS.

BACKGROUND

The introduction of technology and the Internet age in the 1990's created new organizational forms (Fulk & Collins-Jarvis, 2001). E-mail increased the level of access of all workers to one another, and tended to democratize the workplace (Deetz, 1992). In this model, rich collaboration was possible, and formalism dissipated. Vastly improved productivity levels in the late 1990's were associated with this increase in communication applied at every level. Thus, a collaborative decision making model has been increasingly embraced throughout the last decade as a way to bring more complete information to bear on decisions, and to increase ownership of decisions within the organization.

Most research studies of group decisionmaking have been done using zero-history student laboratory groups meeting for a single time period to solve an artificial problem assigned by the researcher (Frey, 1994). The studies done using various groupware techniques (GDSS or GSS) have reported improvements of 16% in the quality of decision-making. There have been few studies conducted to date, however, on natural groups, termed bona fide groups (Putnam & Stohl, 1990, 1996), but studies that have been conducted demonstrate improvements in the 85% range (Fjermestad & Hiltz, 1998-1999; Rains, 2005). Although these few results are encouraging, there is a need to test these findings by conducting longitudinal studies of *bona fide* groups and systematically testing the effects of a variety of promising group support tools. Studies of this kind have often not been done because they are costly and require a long-term commitment, as well as long-term access to the studied environment.

The use of a collaborative decision-making model has been shown to produce more creative solutions and to increase the size of the stakeholder pool, as well as increase the commitment of stakeholders to final decisions (Gallupe & DeSanctis, 1988).

Functional Theory

Group scholars over the past 50 years have wrestled with the problem of what methods yield the best group decision-making results. Two lines of research are especially relevant to this suggested research: functional theory (Gouran & Hirokawa, 1983, 1996; Gouran, Hirokawa, Julian, & Leatham, 1993) and the *bona fide* group perspective (Putnam & Stohl, 1990, 1996).

According to the functional perspective, the quality of group decision-making performance is related to a group's ability to meet five functions during interaction:

 Developing a thorough and accurate understanding of the problem (*problem analysis*). Given the information available to it, a group needs to arrive at an accurate (i.e. reasonable) understanding of (a) the nature of the 21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/decision-making-in-organizations/88267

Related Content

Research for the Relationship Between the Motivation of Word-of-Mouth and the Effect of Advertising on the Web

Feng Jiand Sheng-Liang Lin (2023). *International Journal of e-Collaboration (pp. 1-10)*. www.irma-international.org/article/research-for-the-relationship-between-the-motivation-of-word-of-mouth-and-the-effectof-advertising-on-the-web/316661

Online Participation: Shaping the Networks of Professional Women

Helen Donelan, Clem Herman, Karen Kearand Gill Kirkup (2010). *Handbook of Research on Social Interaction Technologies and Collaboration Software: Concepts and Trends (pp. 270-280).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-participation-shaping-networks-professional/36036

CoPs & Organizational Identity: Five Case Studies of NTBFs

Eduardo Bueno Campos, Mónica Longo Somozaand M. Paz Salmador (2011). *Handbook of Research on Communities of Practice for Organizational Management and Networking: Methodologies for Competitive Advantage (pp. 308-336).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/cops-organizational-identity/52907

Innovation Diffusion and E-Collaboration: The Effects of Social Proximity on Social Information Processing

Shaila M. Mirandaand Pamela E. Carter (2005). *International Journal of e-Collaboration (pp. 35-57).* www.irma-international.org/article/innovation-diffusion-collaboration/1934

Design and Development of Customer Relationship Management Recommendations by Clustering and Profiling of Customers Using RFM

K. Manikandan, Niveditha V. R., Sudha K., Magesh S.and Radha Rammohan S. (2021). *International Journal of e-Collaboration (pp. 109-121).*

www.irma-international.org/article/design-and-development-of-customer-relationship-management-recommendations-byclustering-and-profiling-of-customers-using-rfm/289346