

Chapter I

How Distance Programs will Affect Students, Courses, Faculty and Institutional Futures¹

Murray Turoff

New Jersey Institute of Technology, USA

Richard Discenza

University of Colorado at Colorado Springs, USA

Caroline Howard

Techknowledge-E Systems, USA

Abstract

Designed properly, distance education classes can be at least as effective and, in some ways, even more effective than face-to-face courses. The tools and technologies used for distance education courses facilitate learning opportunities not possible in the face-to-face classroom. Distance programs are accelerating changes that are challenging students, faculty, and the university, itself. Currently, most faculty are rewarded for the quality of instruction, as well as their external funding and their research. Often, university administrators focus more attention on the efficiency of teaching

This chapter appears in the book, *Distance Learning and University Effectiveness: Changing Educational Paradigms for Online Learning*, edited by Caroline Howard, Karen Schenk, and Richard Discenza. Copyright © 2004, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

than on its effectiveness. In the future, as the quality of distance learning increases, the primary factor for success will be the faculty's commitment to excellence in teaching. Many institutions will be forced to reevaluate the quality of teaching as the institution becomes more visible to the public, to legislators who support higher education, and to prospective students.

Introduction

People usually assume that students in distance education programs are at a disadvantage. On the contrary, it is probably not the distance student who is disadvantaged, but rather many face-to-face students. Learning is enhanced by the physical and social technologies typically used in distance education. Students in distance programs have access to tools that allow them to repeat lectures and interact with their fellow students and faculty. Contrast these students with a student sitting in a 500 student lecture. Which student is most at a distance?

In the early 1980s, a research group introduced a computer-mediated system to a regular face-to-face class. The group felt that there was enormous potential for this technology to enhance learning. The system was introduced to students in a number of Computer Science and Information System courses. Due to the amount of material covered in lectures, there was not much time for dialogue and only a few students participated when there was a class discussion. The instructors introduced asynchronous group communication technologies to communicate discussion questions and assigned grade point credits for student participation. One hundred percent of the students participated in these discussions outside of regular classroom hours. The extent and depth of the discussions changed the nature of the classes. Most importantly, student contributions were comprehensive, with more well-thought-out comments, because students had the time to reflect on the ongoing discussion before participating. Also very significant was that students, for whom English was a second language, became equal participants. They could reread the online discussion as many times as needed before replying. The computer-based activity monitoring and transcripts, electronic recordings of the discussions, showed that foreign students spent two to three times more in a reading mode and reread many discussions, far more than the American students.

This ability to monitor activities and review the electronic transcripts gives the instructor insights into how students are learning. By reviewing the transcripts of the online discussions, it becomes obvious what and how students are learning.

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/distance-programs-will-affect-students/8560

Related Content

Advantages and Disadvantages of Clicker Use in Education

Chen Wentao, Zhang Jinyuan and Yu Zhonggen (2017). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 61-71).

www.irma-international.org/article/advantages-and-disadvantages-of-clicker-use-in-education/169114

A SCORM Compliant Courseware Authoring Tool for Supporting Pervasive Learning

Te-Hua Wang and Flora Chia-I Chang (2009). *Methods and Applications for Advancing Distance Education Technologies: International Issues and Solutions* (pp. 40-62).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/scorm-compliant-courseware-authoring-tool/26391

Distance Education in Turkey

Petek Askar (2005). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning* (pp. 635-640).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/distance-education-turkey/12169

Toward Development of Distance Learning Environment in the Grid

Kuan-Ching Li, Yin-Te Tsai and Chuan-Ko Tsai (2008). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 45-57).

www.irma-international.org/article/toward-development-distance-learning-environment/1728

E-Commerce Curriculum Strategies and Implementation Tactics: An In-Depth Examination of DePaul University's Experience

Linda V. Knight and Susy S. Chan (2002). *Challenges of Information Technology Education in the 21st Century* (pp. 187-205).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/commerce-curriculum-strategies-implementation-tactics/6536