

Chapter 7.12

Handling Fuzzy Similarity for Data Classification

Roy Gelberd

Bar-Ilan University, Israel

Avichai Meged

Bar-Ilan University, Israel

INTRODUCTION

Representing and consequently processing fuzzy data in standard and binary databases is problematic. The problem is further amplified in binary databases where continuous data is represented by means of discrete '1' and '0' bits. As regards classification, the problem becomes even more acute. In these cases, we may want to group objects based on some fuzzy attributes, but unfortunately, an appropriate fuzzy similarity measure is not always easy to find. The current paper proposes a novel model and measure for representing fuzzy data, which lends itself to both classification and data mining.

Classification algorithms and data mining attempt to set up hypotheses regarding the assigning of different objects to groups and classes on the basis of the similarity/distance between them (Estivill-Castro & Yang, 2004) (Lim, Loh & Shih, 2000) (Zhang & Srihari, 2004). Classification

algorithms and data mining are widely used in numerous fields including: social sciences, where observations and questionnaires are used in learning mechanisms of social behavior; marketing, for segmentation and customer profiling; finance, for fraud detection; computer science, for image processing and expert systems applications; medicine, for diagnostics; and many other fields.

Classification algorithms and data mining methodologies are based on a procedure that calculates a similarity matrix based on similarity index between objects and on a grouping technique. Researches proved that a similarity measure based upon binary data representation yields better results than regular similarity indexes (Erlich, Gelbard & Spiegler, 2002) (Gelbard, Goldman & Spiegler, 2007). However, binary representation is currently limited to nominal discrete attributes suitable for attributes such as: gender, marital status, etc., (Zhang & Srihari, 2003). This makes the binary approach for data representation unattractive for widespread data types.

Table 1. Standard binary representation table

Entity ID	Regular Representation		Binary Representation							
	Marital Status	Height	S	M	D	W	1.55	1.56	1.60	1.84
1	Married	1.60	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
2	Divorced	1.55	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
3	Single	1.84	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4	Widowed	1.56	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
5	Single	1.60	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

The current research describes a novel approach to binary representation, referred to as Fuzzy Binary Representation. This new approach is suitable for all data types - nominal, ordinal and as continuous. We propose that there is meaning not only to the actual explicit attribute value, but also to its implicit similarity to other possible attribute values. These similarities can either be determined by a problem domain expert or automatically by analyzing fuzzy functions that represent the problem domain. The added new fuzzy similarity yields improved classification and data mining results. More generally, Fuzzy Binary Representation and related similarity measures exemplify that a refined and carefully designed handling of data, including eliciting of domain expertise regarding similarity, may add both value and knowledge to existing databases.

BACKGROUND

Binary Representation

Binary representation creates a storage scheme, wherein data appear in binary form rather than the common numeric and alphanumeric formats. The database is viewed as a two-dimensional matrix that relates entities according to their attribute values. Having the rows represent entities and the columns represent possible values, entries in the matrix are either '1' or '0', indicating that a given

entity (e.g., record, object) has or lacks a given value, respectively (Spiegler & Maayan, 1985).

In this way, we can have a binary representation for discrete and continuous attributes.

Table 1 illustrates binary representation of a database consists of five entities with the following two attributes: Marital Status (nominal) and Height (continuous).

- Marital Status, with four values: **S** (single), **M** (married), **D** (divorced), **W** (widowed).
- Heights, with four values: **1.55**, **1.56**, **1.60** and **1.84**.

However, practically, binary representation is currently limited to nominal discrete attributes only. In the current study, we extend the binary model to include continuous data and fuzzy representation.

Similarity Measures

Similarity/distance measures are essential and at the heart of all classification algorithms. The most commonly-used method for calculating similarity is the Squared Euclidean measure. This measure calculates the distance between two samples as the square root of the sums of all squared distances between their properties (Jain & Dubes, 1988) (Jain, Murty & Flynn, 1999).

However, these likelihood-similarity measures are applicable only to ordinal attributes and cannot

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/handling-fuzzy-similarity-data-classification/8028

Related Content

Research Commentary on IS/IT Role in Emergency and Pandemic Management: Current and Future Research

Wen-Lung Shiau, Keng Siau, Yuan Yuand Jia Guo (2021). *Journal of Database Management* (pp. 67-75).

www.irma-international.org/article/research-commentary-on-isit-role-in-emergency-and-pandemic-management/276500

Materialized Views in Multidimensional Databases

Stefano Paraboschi, Giuseppe Sindoni, Elena Baralisand Ernst Teniente (2003). *Multidimensional Databases: Problems and Solutions* (pp. 222-251).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/materialized-views-multidimensional-databases/26970

A Survey of Data Warehouse Model Evolution

Cécile Favre, Fadila Bentayeband Omar Boussaid (2009). *Handbook of Research on Innovations in Database Technologies and Applications: Current and Future Trends* (pp. 129-136).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/survey-data-warehouse-model-evolution/20696

It's Not My Fault: The Transfer of Information Security Breach Information

Tawei Wang, Yen-Yao Wangand Ju-Chun Yen (2019). *Journal of Database Management* (pp. 18-37).

www.irma-international.org/article/its-not-my-fault/234276

Approaches to Semantics in Knowledge Management

Cristiano Fugazza, Stefano David, Anna Montesantoand Cesare Rocchi (2009). *Database Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 257-281).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/approaches-semantics-knowledge-management/7916