

Chapter 51

Operational Hedging Strategies to Overcome Financial Constraints during Clean Technology Start-Up and Growth

S. Sinan Erzurumlu
Babson College, USA

Fehmi Tanrisever
Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

Nitin Joglekar
Boston University, USA

ABSTRACT

Clean technology startups face multiple sources of uncertainty, and require specialized knowhow and longer periods for revenue growth than their counterparts in other industries. These startups require large investments and have been hit hard during the current credit squeeze. On the other hand, clean technologies create important positive externalities for the economy. Hence, loan guarantees and other incentive schemes are being developed that are conditioned upon operational benchmarks. The authors offer a framework to establish the extent wherein operational hedging can reduce risk and increase the probability of obtaining financing. They examine a variety of evidence, ranging from production outsourcing to creation of joint ventures, to posit that operational hedging may affect both the marginal cost of capital and the marginal return on investment through mitigating the informational problems in the market. However, operational hedging may not be an effective strategy in all settings: the decision for creation of such hedges ought to weigh the benefits of reduced marginal cost of capital and the opportunity cost of reduced future growth potential against a status quo.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-3886-0.ch051

INTRODUCTION

Clean technology, including the clean energy (wind, solar, water, biomass, biofuels, hydrogen, geothermal, fuel cells), green transportation, green chemistry, information technology and energy efficient appliances, has been a fast growing area in startup financing (Noci & Verganti, 1999; Stern, 2006). Although the market for clean technology has recently witnessed growth and gains, it is still highly unpredictable and susceptible to the vagaries of the business cycle. During the recent financial crisis, a significant amount of capital has been lost in the global capital markets, leading to a milieu wherein a bulk of private equity and venture financing seems to have dried up (Lawsky, 2009). The clean technology startup firms are no exception to these challenges and are not protected from the current credit crisis. The term startup in this paper refers to newly founded firms, small and medium size enterprises, and even project financing situations in established firms, wherein the size of the financing is at a scale that requires access to the capital markets in order to fund the endeavor.

The clean technology industry has been hit particularly hard by the current credit squeeze because the complexity of technological and market challenges, such as production scale-up and supply chain integration, in this industry require large capital outlays. An example of such scale up is the US biofuel firm Verenium's staged growth: Verenium started out with a "laboratory" scale, 10,000 liters per year, as a demonstration of its technology in 2005, and aims to scale up its business model in a stage wise manner. In 2007 it brought on-line its "pilot" scale plant in Jennings, LA, to demonstrate a process capability to produce 50,000 gallons per year of ethanol from bagasse and energy cane. Finally, in early 2009, it brought on-line its "demonstration" scale plant in Jennings, LA to demonstrate a process capability to produce 1.4 million gallons per year (MGY) of ethanol using multiple varieties of feed stocks.

These plants are all research and development tools to optimize the production process prior to building a "commercial" scale plant with 36 MGY of capacity (Joglekar & Graber-Lopez, 2009).

The scale of these investments forces many, if not most, clean technology firms to rely on project financing from banks or other institutions to fund their capital outlays (Cheung, 2009). Startup teams are exploring alternative management strategies to overcome this squeeze, while institutions are rushing in with guarantees and other incentives to make credit available (LaMonica, 2008). However, startup firms, endowed with unique characteristics, bear significant operational and financial uncertainties, which make it very hard to assess and verify the prospects of such firms. These uncertainties exacerbate the informational gaps and asymmetries between the owners of startup companies and the resource providers (i.e., creditors) about the firm's prospects (Shane, 2003).

Hence, startups face severe financial limitations and problems in accessing capital markets and have to be creative in their search for funds from various sources at different stages of their development and growth. Verenium has been able to line up a series of grants from the Department of Energy (DoE) to support its development. For instance, in 2007 Verenium is allocated \$4.6M from DoE for enzyme development. In 2008, it was awarded a grant from a \$40 million program to support the development of small-scale cellulosic ethanol biorefinery plants. In 2008, it was awarded a three-year, \$5.4 million grant from the New Zealand Foundation for Research, Science and Technology. Most importantly for the Verenium/BP joint venture (Vercipia Biofuels), it has applied for a loan guarantee for the Highlands County, FL, commercial project from DoE.

Obviously, the capital markets for clean technology startup are not perfect. The uncertainties related to early start-up stage and growth significantly influence the availability of financing to clean technology by mitigating or amplifying the informational problems between the entrepreneurs

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/operational-hedging-strategies-overcome-financial/76005

Related Content

Start-Ups in the Czech Republic and Europe

Tomáš Krulický and Veronika Machová (2023). *Handbook of Research on Acceleration Programs for SMEs* (pp. 453-485).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/start-ups-in-the-czech-republic-and-europe/315926

SMEs Industry

Stephen M. Mutula (2010). *Digital Economies: SMEs and E-Readiness* (pp. 39-53).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/smes-industry/36103

The Role of Organizational Slack in Technology Innovation Adoption for SMEs

Jaume Franquesa and Alan Brandyberry (2010). *Global Perspectives on Small and Medium Enterprises and Strategic Information Systems: International Approaches* (pp. 169-194).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/role-organizational-slack-technology-innovation/42277

Alternative Research Funding Mechanisms: Make Funding Fit for Science 2.0

David Osimo, Laia Pujol Priego and Riina Vuorikari (2020). *Start-Ups and SMEs: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1349-1363).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/alternative-research-funding-mechanisms/245513

An Investigation into the Adoption and Implementation of Electronic Commerce in Saudi Arabian Small and Medium Enterprises

Sabah Abdullah Al-Somali, Ben Clegg and Roya Gholami (2013). *Small and Medium Enterprises: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 816-839).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/investigation-into-adoption-implementation-electronic/75994