

## Chapter 11

# The Environment as Part of the E-Government Agenda: Framing Issues and Policies at the Nation-State Level

**Gisela Gil-Egui**  
*Fairfield University, USA*

**William F. Vásquez**  
*Fairfield University, USA*

**Alissa M. Mebus**  
*Symmetry Partners, LLC, USA*

**Sarah C. Sherrier**  
*Green Room Entertainment, USA*

### ABSTRACT

*This paper explores national governments' prioritization of environmental matters within their e-government websites, in order to provide empirical evidence related to the way "green" issues are articulated in different countries' policymaking agendas. Through a multi-pronged methodological approach combining frame analysis, factor analysis, inferential statistics, and qualitative interpretation, explicit and visual allusions related to environmental policies, initiatives, challenges, and agencies in the home page or main portal of the national governments for 189 UN members were coded. Results show that only 39.1% of the analyzed e-government sites included environmental references, and no strong pattern characterized the framing of environmental concerns by governments. Correlation and regression analyses revealed that GDP per capita and contribution to global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions have more weight than other variables in a nation's propensity to highlight environmental issues within their e-government websites. Findings are discussed in light of framing theory, as well as in light of implications for governments' public image and for actual environmental advocacy.*

### INTRODUCTION

Initiatives related to the development of e-government and online public services are underway in almost every nation in the world (United Nations, 2008). As a movement towards digital governance

gradually becomes a global reality, it is necessary to gauge ways in which national policy agendas are effectively reflected by categories included in different nations' e-government sites and portals. It is the objective of this project to explore environmental references in such spaces, in order

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-2458-0.ch011

to assess whether and how ecological matters are addressed, as well as how external determinants and broader structural factors generate differences in national approaches to the subject.

The relevance of this study is highlighted by a mainstreaming of concerns related to climate change, and by the increasing number of nations that are adopting measures aimed at promoting environmentally sustainable development (European Commission, 2008; United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2009; United Nations Development Programme, 2007; United Nations Environment Programme, 2009). In that sense, the inclusion of “green” references in national e-government portals could provide an indicator of the extent to which environmental matters are prioritized within governments’ programmatic decision-making (Navarra & Cornford, 2003; Qureshi, 2005). Moreover, while the scholarly literature on e-government has experienced significant growth in the last decade, this production remains focused on a limited range of topics, mostly related to e-government’s infrastructure, dissemination, functionality, and/or its social or administrative context (Anderson & Henriksen, 2005; Chen & Dimitrova, 2006; Chen et al., 2007; Heeks & Bailur, 2007; Yildiz, 2007; West, 2008; Zambrano, 2008). We deem important shedding light on the virtually unexplored connections between e-government and national environmental initiatives (Lim & Tang, 2007; Zavetoski & Shulman, 2002), since the protection of intergenerational rights to clean and abundant natural resources passes through both the inclusion of the matter in the “main menu” of governmental strategies, and its framing as an issue of crucial public interest.

In order to assess national governments’ prioritization of environmental issues, our project addressed three specific questions: 1) the extent to which environmental references are included in the home page of each of the 189 UN members reported as having an online governmental presence by 2008 (United Nations, 2008); 2) the

way in which environmental references, when present, are articulated; and 3) the extent to which broader national characteristics in terms of income, development, environmental track record, stage of deployment of e-government structures, and contribution of tourism to gross domestic product (GDP) affect the inclusion and nature of environmental references in e-government portals. In answering these questions, our project combines methodological approaches from frame analysis, factor analysis, descriptive and inferential statistics, and qualitative interpretation, to explain factors affecting inclusion/exclusion, placement, and adoption of particular rhetorical strategies by national government in their dealings with environmental issues within e-government sites.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **E-Government, the Internet, and Environmental Issues**

Although scholarly production on the separate subjects of e-government and environmental policymaking is extensive and continues to grow, few works have inquired into the intersections of these two areas of research. A study by Lim and Tang (2007) explores the impact of e-government on local governance and “examines how e-government initiatives influence the perceived performance of environmental decision making in an urban context and what organizational and contextual factors affect Web-aided decision performance” (p. 109). The study, which relied on data collected from city government websites and a nationwide survey of city officials in Korea, found that differences in the level of e-government implementation across cities impact environmental decision performance. Additionally, pressure from environmental activists was found related to the extent to which environmental decision-making takes place within e-governance activities.

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:  
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/environment-part-government-agenda/73041](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/environment-part-government-agenda/73041)

## Related Content

---

### Building Trust in E-Government Adoption through an Intermediary Channel

Faris Al-Sobhi, Vishanth Weerakkody and Ramzi El-Haddadeh (2012). *International Journal of Electronic Government Research* (pp. 91-106).

[www.irma-international.org/article/building-trust-government-adoption-through/67093](http://www.irma-international.org/article/building-trust-government-adoption-through/67093)

### Linking Local E-Government Development Stages to Collaboration Strategy

Hyun Joon Kim, Jooho Lee and Soonhee Kim (2008). *International Journal of Electronic Government Research* (pp. 36-56).

[www.irma-international.org/article/linking-local-government-development-stages/2054](http://www.irma-international.org/article/linking-local-government-development-stages/2054)

### Making ICT more Meaningful for Governance in the Rural Areas: Role of the Community Knowledge Systems

Charru Malhotra, V.M. Chariar and L.K. Das (2009). *E-Government Development and Diffusion: Inhibitors and Facilitators of Digital Democracy* (pp. 66-79).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/making-ict-more-meaningful-governance/8977](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/making-ict-more-meaningful-governance/8977)

### System Design and ICT Adoption in Agricultural Extension Services Delivery in Tanzania

Camilius Aloyce Sanga, Siza D. Tumbo and Malongo R. S. Mlozi (2014). *Technology Development and Platform Enhancements for Successful Global E-Government Design* (pp. 282-306).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/system-design-and-ict-adoption-in-agricultural-extension-services-delivery-in-tanzania/96701](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/system-design-and-ict-adoption-in-agricultural-extension-services-delivery-in-tanzania/96701)

### Implementing Authentication in an E-Procurement System

Miloš Milovanovic, Miroslav Minovic, Dejan Simic and Dušan Starcevic (2013). *E-Procurement Management for Successful Electronic Government Systems* (pp. 157-168).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/implementing-authentication-procurement-system/69593](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/implementing-authentication-procurement-system/69593)