

Chapter 1

An Improved Lightweight RFID Authentication Protocol

Xiaowen Zhang

College of Staten Island – CUNY, USA

Zhanyang Zhang

College of Staten Island – CUNY, USA

Xinzhou Wei

New York City College of Technology – CUNY, USA

ABSTRACT

This study extends the vulnerability analysis of a RFID authentication protocol and offers solutions to security weaknesses through enhanced measures. Vajda and Buttyan (VB) proposed a lightweight RFID authentication protocol, called XOR. Defend, Fu, and Juels (DFJ) analyzed it and proposed repeated keys and nibble attacks to the protocol. In this paper, we identify the source of vulnerability within VB's original successive session key permutation algorithm. We propose three improvements, namely removing bad shuffles, hopping the runs, and authenticating mutually, to prevent DFJ's attacks, thereby significantly strengthening the security of the protocol without introducing extra resource cost.

1. INTRODUCTION

As a consequence of the massive deployment of Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) systems in a variety of applications, security and privacy issues are still paramount concerns. Some consumer rights protection organizations, like CASPIAN (Consumers Against Supermarket Privacy Invasion and Numbering), are against the use of RFID (CASPIAN, 1999).

In general, a RFID system consists of three kinds of components: RFID tags (or transponders), RFID readers (or interrogators), and backend computer servers. An RFID tag is a tiny microchip embedded with a radio frequency antenna. It is capable of emitting the identification and other information for the tagged item. A reader is another electronic device located between tags and backend server. A reader receives information from or sends information to a tag, which in turn

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-2773-4.ch001

communicates with (updates) the backend server. A backend server runs application software, hosts databases, and processes tag information received from a reader. It communicates with readers through wireless or wired connection on one end and with the enterprise network infrastructure on the other end. The wireless communication links between tags and readers are considered the most vulnerable part in terms of security and privacy threats. As documented in the literatures (Avoine, 2005; Juels, Pappu & Parno, 2008; Sarma, Weis & Engels, 2002), RFID security experts have devoted much effort to address these threats. Among them, new RFID authentication protocols and analysis are most active areas of research (Chatmon, Le & Burmester, 2006; Gilbert, Robshaw & Sibert, 2005; Juels, 2004; Juels & Weis, 2005; Le, Burmester & Medeiros, 2007; Li & Deng, 2007; Peris-Lopez et al., 2006).

Adding security features to low-cost RFID tags is a daunting and challenging task because these tags are extremely resource limited and cannot afford strong cryptographic algorithms. Practical RFID authentication protocols should have the following characteristics: lightweight, anonymity (un-traceability), and mutual authentication.

Vajda and Buttyan (Vajda & Buttyan, 2003) proposed a set of five lightweight authentication protocols and also gave a brief analysis. Each protocol is extremely lightweight in terms of resources required, and is considered suitable for resource limited devices, like RFID tags.

Defend, Fu, and Juels (Defend, Fu & Juels, 2007) performed cryptanalysis on two of them – XOR and SUBSET. DFJ proposed repeated keys and nibbles attacks in an attempt to compromise the XOR protocol. In this paper, we identify the source of vulnerability that existed in VB's original successive session key permutation algorithm. We propose three improvements, i.e. removing bad shuffles, hopping the runs, and authenticating mutually, to prevent DFJ's attacks, thereby significantly improve the security strength of the protocol without introducing extra resource cost.

2. ORIGINAL XOR PROTOCOL AND REPEATED KEYS ATTACK

The original XOR protocol by VB (Vajda & Buttyan, 2003) is a challenge-response protocol as shown in Figure 1. Under the following assumptions: (1) the readers and tags initially share a piece of secret key $k^{(0)}$, (2) both reader and tag are capable of calculating a permutation Π (given soon), and (3) reader and tag maintain a synchronized counter i to indicate the current run of authentication, the challenge-response process at the i th run can be described as follows:

Reader \rightarrow Tag: $a^{(i)} = x^{(i)} \oplus k^{(i)}$
 // Reader picks a random number $x^{(i)}$, calculates $k^{(i)}$, then sends a challenge $a^{(i)} = x^{(i)} \oplus k^{(i)}$ to Tag.
 Tag \rightarrow Reader: $b^{(i)} = x^{(i)} \oplus k^{(i)}$
 // Tag calculates $k^{(i)}$, extracts the challenge $x^{(i)}$ by $k^{(i)} \oplus a^{(i)}$, then sends a response $b^{(i)} = x^{(i)} \oplus k^{(i)}$ to Reader. Following that, the Reader verifies the Tag, because only the Tag knows $k^{(i)}$.

Here $k^{(i)} = \Pi(k^{(i-1)})$, and $\Pi: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ is a permutation starting from the initial secret key $k^{(0)}$. That is, $k^{(1)} = \Pi(k^{(0)})$, $k^{(2)} = \Pi(k^{(1)})$, ..., $k^{(i-1)} = \Pi(k^{(i-2)})$, $k^{(i)} = \Pi(k^{(i-1)})$, Because $x^{(i)}$ is random, so are $a^{(i)} = x^{(i)} \oplus k^{(i)}$ and $b^{(i)} = x^{(i)} \oplus k^{(i)}$. If the $x^{(i)}$ is truly random, no information about the secret $k^{(i)}$ is revealed from the communication.

Suppose $n = 128$ bits as key length, the algorithm for the permutation Π is as follows:

Step 1: At the run $(i-1)$ th iteration, the session key $k^{(i-1)}$ is split into 16 bytes, then we cut each byte into two nibbles of 4-bit each. Following that, we concatenate all left nibbles $k_{0,L}^{(i-1)}$, $k_{1,L}^{(i-1)}$, ..., $k_{15,L}^{(i-1)}$ to form $k_L^{(i-1)}$, concatenate all right nibbles $k_{0,R}^{(i-1)}$, $k_{1,R}^{(i-1)}$, ..., $k_{15,R}^{(i-1)}$ to form $k_R^{(i-1)}$.

Step 2: At the run (i) th iteration, the right half key $k_R^{(i)}$ is a permutation of $k_R^{(i-1)}$ controlled

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/improved-lightweight-rfid-authentication-protocol/72836

Related Content

An Empirical Test of the Information Processing Theory

Honggeng Zhou (2011). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management* (pp. 45-59).

www.irma-international.org/article/empirical-test-information-processing-theory/50570

Innovative Technology Adoption of Cross-Border Runners as a Trusted Service of Information Preservation

Auji Haziqahand Heru Susanto (2023). *Handbook of Research on Promoting Logistics and Supply Chain Resilience Through Digital Transformation* (pp. 225-249).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/innovative-technology-adoption-of-cross-border-runners-as-a-trusted-service-of-information-preservation/316814

Construction of Cooperative Environment and Institution for Green Building Supply Chain

Subjects: Construction of Cooperative Environment

Syed Shahid Khan (2020). *Global Perspectives on Green Business Administration and Sustainable Supply Chain Management* (pp. 197-210).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/construction-of-cooperative-environment-and-institution-for-green-building-supply-chain-subjects/245064

A New Look at Selecting Third-Party Reverse Logistics Providers

Reza Farzipoor Saen (2010). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management* (pp. 58-67).

www.irma-international.org/article/new-look-selecting-third-party/39067

Selection of Transportation Channels in Closed-Loop Supply Chain Using Meta-Heuristic Algorithm

Sonu Rajak, P. Parthibanand R. Dhanalakshmi (2018). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management* (pp. 64-86).

www.irma-international.org/article/selection-of-transportation-channels-in-closed-loop-supply-chain-using-meta-heuristic-algorithm/206163