

Chapter 93

Rapid Evaluation of Arid Lands (REAL): A Methodology

Daniel P. Dugas

New Mexico State University, USA

Janet C. Greenlee

New Mexico State University, USA

Michael N. DeMers

New Mexico State University, USA

Walter G. Whitford

New Mexico State University, USA

Anna Klimaszewski-Patterson

New Mexico State University, USA

ABSTRACT

Management of desert grasslands requires rapid, low technology, coarse assessment methods that provide a triage-like prioritization for the manager. Such approaches necessitate the ability to quickly and effectively identify coarse-scale plant communities that provide guidance for this prioritization. Complex, computer intensive digital image classification of Landsat TM data, while marginally successful, requires time, equipment, and expertise not always available in such environments. This study identifies landform boundaries in the Armendaris Ranch, New Mexico by visual inspection of Landsat-7 Enhanced Thematic Mapper imagery and topographic maps using traditional photoreconnaissance techniques. Employing predetermined hierarchical landform classifications, it was possible to map plant communities using ecological relationships that exist between the general physiographic and vegetation settings in the area and representative geomorphic landform-mapping units. The authors' field work verified the plant community map using a random walk approach and visual inspection. This synthetic expert opinion-based approach proved successful and is repeatable in other arid rangeland settings.

INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, desert grasslands are undergoing change from a relatively uniform, non-fragmented structure to a more patch-dominated pattern (Schlesinger et al., 1990). This fragmentation

results both in negative economic conditions where livestock forage is limited, and in substantial ecological consequences such as more heterogeneous concentrations of nutrients, moisture, and biomass, along with attendant changes in species composition (Whitford, 2002). Many believe that overgrazing accounts for substantial

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-2038-4.ch093

desert landscape modification, although climate change, fire history, and anthropogenic activity are also seen as partial causes of such changes (Buffington & Herbel, 1965; Grover & Musick, 1990; Whitford, 2002; Zonn, 1988). There is also increasing evidence that geomorphometric terrain variables (Franklin, 1987), especially reflected in soil and sediment properties, greatly modify the degree to which these factors influence desert vegetation (Schlesinger et al., 1990).

The concept that geomorphic landforms can have a significant effect on natural desert vegetation cover has been proposed and demonstrated by several workers including Buffington and Herbel (1965), Cole and Brown (1976), McAuliffe (1994, 1995), Montaña and Greig-Smith (1990), Parker (1991, 1995), Schlesinger et al. (1990), Sharma (1993), Valverde et al. (1996), Wondzell, Cunningham and Brachelet (1987, 1996), and Zimmerman (1969). Parker and Bendix (1996) provided a comprehensive review of geomorphic influences on vegetation pattern, or what has been called "physiographic plant geography" (Zimmerman & Thom, 1982). Most studies emphasized either spatial patterns (Satterwhite & Ehlen, 1982; Smith, Adams, & Gillespie, 1990), or the underlying processes creating those patterns (Glaser, Janssens, & Siegel, 1990; Malanson, 1993; Swanson, Caine, & Woodmansee, 1988).

Distribution of sediments across the landscape, as a result of geomorphic processes, affects physical and chemical surface properties, pedogenesis, and the movement of water and nutrients (Birkeland, 1990; Bowers & Lowe, 1986; Gile & Grossman, 1979; Graf, 1988; Key, Thompson, & Van Hoogenstyn, 1984; Malanson, 1993; McAuliffe, 1994; Parker, 1995; Shreve, 1964; Philips & MacMahon, 1978; Yang & Lowe 1956). The contributions of varying geologic parent material into the sediment budget also affect the types of sediments produced by weathering (McAuliffe, 1994; Parker, 1995; Shreve, 1964). With geomorphic landforms, therefore, comes a suite of related attributes in addition to such basic

characteristics as topographic position, elevation, slope angle, and aspect (Davis & Goetz, 1990; Franklin, 1987; Satterwhite, Rice, & Shipman, 1984; Shasby & Carneggie, 1987; Zevenbergen & Thorne, 1987). All of these attributes, in turn, affect microclimate and hydrologic processes that profoundly influence plant distribution (Parker & Bendix, 1996; Swanson, Caine, & Woodmansee, 1988). This complexity, particularly in the context of arid and semi-arid alluvial fans, has been noted by several workers in North American hot deserts (Burk & Dick-Peddie, 1973; Cunningham & Burk, 1973; Denny, 1965; Dorn, 1988; Graf, 1988; Harvey & Wells, 1994; Mabbutt, 1977; McAuliffe, 1994; McFadden, Ritter, & Wells, 1989; Parker, 1995; Rachocki, 1981; Stein & Ludwig, 1979; Wierenga et al., 1987).

Buffington and Herbel (1965) and Wondzell, Cunningham, and Bachelet (1987, 1996) offer theoretical and empirical examples of geomorphology-vegetation relationships in the Chihuahuan Desert of New Mexico. Field research involving the use of transects of soils, vegetation, and geomorphological position in the Chihuahuan and Sonoran deserts also provides support for presuming such relationships (Wierenga et al., 1987; Cornelius et al., 1991). While these approaches are invaluable for the insights they provide, transects and point sampling techniques are limited in spatial extent, preventing the direct transference of results to larger regions for impact analysis, prediction, and remediation. Without a synoptic view it is difficult to develop spatially explicit, testable hypotheses from which range management plans can be developed within desert grassland environments.

Recent advances in remote sensing techniques have provided some degree of success for monitoring and identifying desert landscape use and natural processes at the regional scale. Okin and Roberts (2001) discuss the challenges and limitations of direct vegetation mapping using remote sensing in arid areas and review several methods that have been attempted, although none with

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/rapid-evaluation-arid-lands-real/70521

Related Content

Importance of 3D Visualization for Land Use Planning, Construction and Management of the Karsyaka Settlement Area (Izmir-Turkey)

Mehmet Ozcelik and Sevnur Yeniceli (2015). *International Journal of 3-D Information Modeling* (pp. 22-33).

www.irma-international.org/article/importance-of-3d-visualization-for-land-use-planning-construction-and-management-of-the-karsyaka-settlement-area-izmir-turkey/153183

Concept and Approach to Land Management Interventions for Rural Development in Africa

Uchendu Eugene Chigbu (2019). *Geospatial Technologies for Effective Land Governance* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/concept-and-approach-to-land-management-interventions-for-rural-development-in-africa/214476

Explaining the Geography of Infant Health

Andrew Curtis and Michael Leitner (2006). *Geographic Information Systems and Public Health: Eliminating Perinatal Disparity* (pp. 1-20).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/explaining-geography-infant-health/18849

Alternative Methods for Developing and Assessing the Accuracy of UAV-Derived DEMs

Dion J. Wiseman and Jurjen van der Sluijs (2015). *International Journal of Applied Geospatial Research* (pp. 58-77).

www.irma-international.org/article/alternative-methods-for-developing-and-assessing-the-accuracy-of-uav-derived-dems/122804

Building Information Modelling (BIM) for Facilities Management (FM): The Mediacity Case Study Approach

Yusuf Arayici, Timothy Onyenobi and Charles Egbu (2012). *International Journal of 3-D Information Modeling* (pp. 55-73).

www.irma-international.org/article/building-information-modelling-bim-facilities/62570