Chapter 4

Dynamical Disequilibrium, Transformation, and the Evolution and Development of Sustainable Worldviews

Liane Gabora University of British Columbia, Canada

Maegan Merrifield University of British Columbia, Canada

ABSTRACT

This chapter begins by outlining a promising, new theoretical framework for the process by which human culture evolves inspired by the views of complexity theorists on the problem of how life began. Elements of culture, like species, evolve over time; that is, they exhibit cumulative change that is adaptive in nature. By studying how biological evolution got started, it is possible to gain insight into not just the specifics of biological evolution, but also general insights into the initiation of any evolutionary process that may be applicable to culture. The authors, thus, explore the implications of this new framework for culture on the transformative processes of individuals. Specifically, they address what this emerging perspective on cultural evolution implies for to go about attaining a sustainable worldview; that is, a web of habits, understandings, and ways of approaching situations that is conducive to the development of a sustainable world.

HOW DOES AN EVOLUTIONARY PROCESS GET STARTED?

In attempting to gain insight into the origins of transformative processes in individuals, it is instructive to look at the transformative processes by which the earliest forms of life evolved. Research into how life began is stymied by the improbability of a spontaneously generated structure that replicates itself using a self-assembly code such as the genetic code. Something so complex as this is unlikely to emerge out of the blue! Hoyle (1981) infamously compared it to the probability that a tornado blowing through a junkyard would assemble a Boeing 747.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-2077-3.ch004

This has led to widespread support for different versions of the proposal that the earliest self-replicating structures were autocatalytic sets of molecules (Bollobas, 2001; Bollobas & Rasmussen, 1989; Dyson, 1982, 1985; Gabora, 2006; Kauffman, 1986, 1993; Morowitz, 1992; Segré, Ben-Eli, & Lancet, 2000; Segré, et al, 2001a, b; Wäechtershäeuser, 1992; Weber, 1998, 2000; Williams & Frausto da Silva, 1999, 2002; Vetsigian et al., 2006). A set of molecules is autocatalytic if every molecule in the set can be regenerated through chemical reactions occurring amongst other molecules in the set. (The term 'autocatalytic' comes from the fact that the molecules speed up or catalyze the reactions by which other molecules are formed.) Reactions between molecules generate new, different molecules. As the number of different molecules increases, the number of reactions by which they can interconvert increases even faster (Cohen, 1988; Erdös & Rényi, 1960). Thus some subset of them reaches a critical threshold where there is a reaction pathway to the formation of every molecule in the set.

At this point, the parts can reconstitute the whole in a piecemeal manner, through bottom-up interactions rather than top-down interpreting of a genetic code (Kauffman, 1993; for summary see Gabora, 2008). The hydrophilic (water-loving) molecules orient toward the periphery, forming a spherical vesicle that encloses the more hydrophobic (water-avoiding) molecules. This kind of spherical vesicle made up of collectively self-replicating parts is sometimes referred to as a protocell. It is prone to fission or budding, wherein part of it pinches off, and it divides in two. So long as there is at least one copy of each polymer in each of the two resulting vesicles, they can selfreplicate, and continue to do so indefinitely, or until their structure changes drastically enough that self-replication capacity breaks down, and by that point there will exist other self-replicating sister-lineages. The process is sloppier and more haphazard than the self-replication that occurs in modern day organisms. Some raise the concern that, at least with respect to some versions

of this theory, replication occurs with such low fidelity that evolvability breaks down (Vasas, Szathmary, & Santos, 2009). Nevertheless, there is broad consensus that such a structure remains sufficiently intact over generations for stable evolution (Schuster, 2010). A key thing to note here is that with this kind of self-replication there is nothing to prohibit the inheritance of acquired characteristics. A change to any one part of the structure persists after fission occurs, and this may cause other changes that have a significant effect further downstream.

Evolution of these early life forms occurs through horizontal exchange (i.e. not restricted to vertical transmission from parent to offspring) of "innovation-sharing protocols" (Vetsigian et al., 2006). It was not until the genetic code came into existence-and the process in which self-assembly instructions are copied (meiosis) became distinct from developmental processes-that acquired characteristics could no longer be passed on to the next generation (Gabora, 2006). The work of Woese and his colleagues indicates that early life underwent a transition from this fundamentally cooperative process horizontal evolution through communal exchange, to a fundamentally competitive process of vertical evolution by way of the genetic code. This transition is referred to as the Darwinian threshold (Woese, 2002) or Darwinian transition (Vetsigian et al., 2006) because it marks the onset of what we think of as conventional Darwinian evolution through natural selection. Kalin Vetsigian (pers. comm.) estimates that the period between when life first arose and the time of the Darwinian threshold spanned several hundred million years.

Thus we have two kinds of self-replication (Gabora, 2004). *Coded self-replication*, such as is seen in present-day organisms, uses self-assembly instructions as proposed by von Neumann. This ensures they replicate with high fidelity, and acquired characteristics are not inherited. *Uncoded self-replication*, such as is seen in protocells, involves autocatalysis. This is a low fidelity means of replication, and there is nothing to prohibit

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/dynamical-disequilibrium-transformationevolution-development/69457

Related Content

Comparative Study of Evolutionary Computing Methods for Parameter Estimation of Power Quality Signals

V. Ravikumar Pandiand B. K. Panigrahi (2012). *Principal Concepts in Applied Evolutionary Computation: Emerging Trends (pp. 99-129).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/comparative-study-evolutionary-computing-methods/66817

Reflections

Lars Taxén (2010). Using Activity Domain Theory for Managing Complex Systems (pp. 30-52). www.irma-international.org/chapter/reflections/39670

The Effect of Knowledge Management Strategies and Enablers on Knowledge Creation Process and Organizational Performance by Using Partial Least Squares Regression Method

Cheng-Ping Shihand Hsin-Fu Chou (2012). *International Journal of Knowledge and Systems Science (pp. 38-52).*

www.irma-international.org/article/effect-knowledge-management-strategies-enablers/75331

Nature Inspired Computing for Wireless Networks Applications: A Survey

Daneshwari I. Hattiand Ashok V. Sutagundar (2019). International Journal of Applied Evolutionary Computation (pp. 1-29).

www.irma-international.org/article/nature-inspired-computing-for-wireless-networks-applications/220062

Efficient Golden-Ball Algorithm Based Clustering to solve the Multi-Depot VRP With Time Windows

Lahcene Guezouli, Mohamed Bensakhriaand Samir Abdelhamid (2018). *International Journal of Applied Evolutionary Computation (pp. 1-16).*

www.irma-international.org/article/efficient-golden-ball-algorithm-based-clustering-to-solve-the-multi-depot-vrp-with-timewindows/201584