Chapter 3 Assuring Quality in Online Course Delivery

Julia M. Matuga

Bowling Green State University, USA

Deborah G. Wooldridge

Bowling Green State University, USA

Sandra Poirier

Middle Tennessee State University, USA

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the critical issue of assuring quality online course delivery by examining four key components of online teaching and learning. The topic of course delivery is viewed as a cultural issue that permeates processes from the design of an online course to its evaluation. First, the authors examine and review key components of and tools for designing high impact online courses that support student learning. Second, in this paper, the authors provide suggestions for faculty teaching online courses to assist in creating high quality online courses that supports teaching and, consequently, facilitates opportunities for student learning. Quality online course delivery is also contingent on the support of faculty by administration. Lastly, this paper provides suggestions for conducting course evaluation and feedback loops for the continual improvement of online learning and teaching. These four components are essential elements in assuring quality online courses.

INTRODUCTION

Few would argue that online teaching and learning has been and is on a meteoric rise. David Nagel (2009), in fact, predicted that by 2014 over 10 Million PreK-12 students will be taking online courses. A cursory search in Google Scholar

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-2062-9.ch003

showed that over 2.3 million hits were found when searching for "online education". However, when the precursor "quality" was added to "online education" the search results declined to 1,050 articles. While this is a somewhat flawed example, it does illustrate a dilemma that has faced the field of online teaching and learning—ensuring quality while delivering course content and engaging students within online environments has

not garnered the attention that it has deserved. The topic of course delivery to ensure quality within this paper is viewed as a cultural issue that permeates processes from the design to the evaluation of an online course.

It may first be helpful to highlight three myths and misconceptions about online teaching and learning. These myths/misconceptions are held by students, faculty, and administrators and influence any discussion about the quality of online course delivery (e.g., White, n.d.):

- 1. Online teaching and learning is 'worse' (or 'better') for meeting student learning outcomes than face-to-face courses.
- Online teaching and learning is easier and more convenient for students and faculty than face-to-face courses.
- Online teaching and learning is less interactive for both student and faculty than faceto-face courses.

All of these statements are based upon the premise that there are no special affordances or constraints of the environment, either online or face-to-face, when it comes to teaching or learning-that we are comparing apples to apples. It has been argued elsewhere that this is not the case, that there are many fundamental differences that the educational environment both affords or constraints (Anderson, 2004; Matuga, 2001, 2005, 2007) and that establishing a dichotomistic relationship does not adequately reflect the complexity of teaching or learning within either environment. In essence, learning and teaching within online environments is fundamentally different than learning and teaching in face-to-face environments. One is not comparing apples to apples, but more like apples to oranges.

A useful concept to use as a framework, one that more adequately reflects the complexity of online teaching and learning, would be to view both through the lens of a cultural system. There are many definitions of culture and descriptions of

what constitute a cultural system. LeVine (1984), for example, defined culture as "a shared organization of ideas that includes the intellectual, moral, and aesthetic standards prevalent in a community and the meanings of communicative actions" (p. 67). Others have claimed that these organizations of ideas and meanings derived from actions are not static and that culture should be thought of as systems that may be more complicated and organic collections of cognitive functions, practices, and meaning (D'Andrade, 2001; Giddens, 1984; Kitayama, 2002). Online teaching and learning may be viewed as cultural systems in that understandings and meanings are socially shared within online environments (Courtney, 2001; Mehlinger & Powers, 2002). There are also cultural practices and customs within these environments that may be linked, in various ways, to the values and beliefs of larger cultural systems, like face-toface educational environments (Courtney, 2001; LeVine, 1984).

A more traditional view of cultural systems, for example, is based upon the idea that they contain within them, nested systems that are interdependent to the functioning of the system as a whole (White, 1975). This view holds that technological (orphysical subsystem), social, and psychological factors guide a multitude of functions and influence the behaviors of individuals that are participating in cultural communities (Kityama, 2002; White, 1975). For example, Kitayama (2002) stated that "each person's psychological processes and structures are organized though the active effort to coordinate his or her behaviors with the pertinent cultural systems of practices and public meanings" (p. 92). While this is may be viewed as a valid preposition, this view does imply that there are somewhat distinct sub-systems that guide or organize psychological processes and practices. It may be quite common to reduce discussions regarding online teaching and learning to cultural sub-systems, like technological ones, for example, because the impact of technology may be seen as 12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/assuring-quality-online-course-delivery/69438

Related Content

Learning in 2010: Instructional Challenges for Adult Career and Technical Education

Jeff Allen, Pamela Braceyand Mariya Gavrilova (2010). *International Journal of Adult Vocational Education and Technology (pp. 48-62).*

www.irma-international.org/article/learning-2010-instructional-challenges-adult/48500

Improving Second Language Speaking Proficiency via Interactional Feedback

Peter B. Swansonand Carmen Schlig (2010). *International Journal of Adult Vocational Education and Technology (pp. 17-30).*

www.irma-international.org/article/improving-second-language-speaking-proficiency/48498

Partnerships between Business and Adult Education Providers

Carsten Schmidtke (2014). Adult and Continuing Education: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1437-1456).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/partnerships-between-business-and-adult-education-providers/105319

A Case Study on Training and Leadership: Implications for Lifelong Learning and Poverty Reduction in Africa

Wapula N. Raditloaneng, Morgen Chawawaand Rakel Kavena Shalyefu (2015). Cases on Leadership in Adult Education (pp. 117-136).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-case-study-on-training-and-leadership/133779

Collaborative Online Learning in Non-Formal Education Settings in the Developing World: A Best Practice Framework

Stephen Asunka (2011). *International Journal of Adult Vocational Education and Technology (pp. 43-57).* www.irma-international.org/article/collaborative-online-learning-non-formal/59704