# Chapter 89 Cellular or Functional Layout?

**Abdessalem Jerbi** University of Sfax, Tunisia

**Hédi Chtourou** University of Sfax, Tunisia

#### **ABSTRACT**

The cellular layout has been compared to the traditional functional layout using multiple comparison methodologies that either lack objectivity or are highly time-consuming. The main purpose of this chapter is to propose a novel and objective methodology. Hence, a critical analysis of ten comparison studies is followed by the presentation of the layouts simulation models. Subsequently, the proposed comparison methodology is described. Following this methodology, simulations are conducted according to a plan of experiments developed from Taguchi standard orthogonal arrays. Consequently, results, expressed in Signal to Noise ratios, are analyzed using ANOVA. Next, a mathematical model is derived by interpolation between the factors and interactions effects. This model must be validated by the confirmation test, otherwise the comparison methodology should be reiterated while considering new interactions. This cycle should be reiterated as much as necessary to obtain a valid mathematical model. The proposed comparison methodology has been applied with success on an academic manufacturing system.

#### INTRODUCTION

The increased competition within industry has resulted in manufacturing companies spending considerable effort to improve flexibility and responsiveness to meet customer needs. Cellular manufacturing, a facet of group technology, has emerged as one of the major techniques being used for the improvement of manufacturing competitiveness. A large number of empirical,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-1945-6.ch089

analytical and simulation studies have been devoted to compare the cellular layout (CL) to the classical functional layout (FL). Simulation-based comparative studies constitute the mainstream of this research field. Varied results were reported by these comparative simulation studies. Indeed, different researches found the FL always superior to the CL with regard to all used performance measures (Jensen, Malhotra, & Philipoom, 1996; Morris & Tersine, 1990, 1994). Further researches reported that the CL is superior to the FL in all operating conditions (Pitchuka, Adil, & Anantha-

kumar, 2006; Shafer & Charnes, 1992). Finally, other simulation studies showed that every layout could outperform the other in different particular experimental conditions (Faizul huq, Douglas, & Zubair, 2001; Farrington & Nazametz, 1998; Li, 2003; Shafer & Charnes, 1995; Suresh & Meredith, 1994). The divergence in the studies conclusions is referred to as the "cellular manufacturing paradox" (Shambu, Suresh, & Pegels, 1996). In fact, Agarwal and Sarkis (1998) and Shambu et al. (1996) reviewed a number of FL-CL comparative studies. However they did not identify any objectivity flaws responsible for the conflicting conclusions. Indeed, they simply reported the major findings of some published studies without any critical objectivity assessment.

Actually, methodologies used by comparison studies vary widely but can be classified into three groups. In the first group, authors used the one-factor-at-a-time method. So the two layouts are first compared for one manufacturing context considered as the "base model". Then, other experiments are carried out in order to test the robustness of the layout choice obtained in the base model. Every experiment corresponds to the modification of a single operating factor (Morris & Tersine, 1990, 1994). In the second group authors considered only some specific combinations of the studied factors settings without any justification (Faizul hug et al., 2001; Li, 2003; Suresh & Meredith, 1994). In the third group authors used the full factorial design technique in order to study the effect of all factors (Farrington & Nazemetz, 1998; Jensen et al., 1996; Pitchuka et al., 2006; Shafer & Charnes 1992, 1995). Methodologies belonging to the two first groups undoubtedly lack objectivity in the choice of the experimentation conditions. Therefore, they do not permit to attach any statistical confidence level to their conclusions. In addition, they do not provide any information about factor interaction. The third group methodology is highly time-consuming. In addition, it is impractical when the number of factors to study is large.

This chapter essentially focuses on the development of an objective FL-CL comparison. It first highlights the lacks of objectivity of the main published FL-CL simulation-based comparison studies in order to explain the origin of their conflicting conclusions. Then it deals with the development of comprehensive FL and CL simulation models using the widely used commercial simulation software Arena 7.0. Finally, it presents the framework of a methodology, based on the coupling of the Taguchi method of experiment design (TM) and simulation. This methodology can be easily applied to any manufacturing context and provides trustworthy results with a minimum experimentation effort.

The remainder of this chapter is organized as follows. The next section presents a taxonomy of the key factors used in the main published FL-CL comparison simulation studies. The foremost used performance measures are also presented in this section. Finally it presents and analyses the findings of a number of relevant studies. The third section presents some general simulation features, needed for modeling both layouts. Then, it respectively gives details of the developed FL and the CL simulation models. Section four gives a general presentation of the objective comparison methodology and then presents a comprehensive academic case study depicting its application. The final section includes some general conclusions and discusses future work prospects.

## COMPARATIVE STUDIES FRAMEWORK

#### Main Experimental Factors

General Manufacturing System (MS) Characteristics

Every MS is characterized by a number of machines arranged either into departments in the functional layout, or else, into manufacturing cells 17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/cellular-functional-layout/69360

#### Related Content

#### Linux Based Real-Time Control over Industrial Networks

Peter Fodrek, Michal Blaho, Martin Foltin, Matúš Lichýand Tomáš Murgaš (2012). *Handbook of Research on Industrial Informatics and Manufacturing Intelligence: Innovations and Solutions (pp. 334-371).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/linux-based-real-time-control/64728

## Maritime Transformable Area Systems: Towards Sustainability in Factory Planning and Development

Vejn Sredic (2023). *International Journal of Applied Industrial Engineering (pp. 1-17).* www.irma-international.org/article/maritime-transformable-area-systems/330969

### Understanding Reinforcement Learning Theory for Operations Research and Management

Emmanuel Buabin (2013). *Graph Theory for Operations Research and Management: Applications in Industrial Engineering (pp. 295-312).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/understanding-reinforcement-learning-theory-operations/73169

## Multiple Criteria DEA-Based Ranking Approach With the Transformation of Decision-Making Units

Jae-Dong Hong (2021). International Journal of Applied Industrial Engineering (pp. 1-20). www.irma-international.org/article/multiple-criteria-dea-based-ranking-approach-with-the-transformation-of-decision-making-units/276088

#### Fuzzy Optimal Approaches to 2-P Cooperative Games

Mubarak S. Al-Mutairi (2016). *International Journal of Applied Industrial Engineering (pp. 22-35).* www.irma-international.org/article/fuzzy-optimal-approaches-to-2-p-cooperative-games/168604