

Chapter 7

Security Requirements Engineering for Evolving Software Systems: A Survey

Armstrong Nhlabatsi
The Open University, UK

Bashar Nuseibeh
Lero, Ireland & The Open University, UK

Yijun Yu
The Open University, UK

ABSTRACT

Long-lived software systems often undergo evolution over an extended period. Evolution of these systems is inevitable as they need to continue to satisfy changing business needs, new regulations and standards, and introduction of novel technologies. Such evolution may involve changes that add, remove, or modify features; or that migrate the system from one operating platform to another. These changes may result in requirements that were satisfied in a previous release of a system not being satisfied in subsequent versions. When evolutionary changes violate security requirements, a system may be left vulnerable to attacks. In this paper we review current approaches to security requirements engineering and conclude that they lack explicit support for managing the effects of software evolution. We then suggest that a cross fertilisation of the areas of software evolution and security engineering would address the problem of maintaining compliance to security requirements of software systems as they evolve.

INTRODUCTION

Software evolution refers to the process of continually updating software systems in response to changes in their operating environment and their requirements (Lehman and Ramil, 2001; Lehman and Ramil, 2003)2003). These changes are often driven by business needs, regulations,

and standards which a software application is required to continue to satisfy (Lam and Loomes, 1998; Breaux and Anton, 2008). The changes may involve adding new features, removing or modifying existing features (Keck and Kuehn, 1998; Calder *et al.*, 2003), redesigning the system for migration to a new platform, or integration with other applications. Such changes may result

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-1580-9.ch007

in requirements that were satisfied in a previous release of an application being violated in its updated version (Ghose, 1999; Ghose, 2000).

Security requirements engineering deals with the protection of assets from potential threats that may lead to harm (Haley *et al.*, 2008). This paper observes that current approaches to security requirements engineering have limited capability for preserving security properties that may be violated as a result of software evolution. In supporting this argument we review the state-of-the-art in both literatures of software evolution and security engineering.

In illustrating the need for security requirements engineering approaches to support software evolution, we consider how the introduction of a government regulation that only employees with valid work permits are allowed to work may affect a standalone payroll system. One way to enforce this regulation could be introducing a feature that allows a central immigration control system to access employee database records in the payroll system. Such a change, however, may require migrating the payroll system to a platform that supports public network access (such as the Internet) where it can communicate with remote applications. Allowing the immigration control application access to the payroll implies that immigration officers now have access to private employee data which were only available with the consent from the individual employees previously. Such evolution of the payroll system has violated confidentiality (a subclass of security) requirements of employees.

We suggest that one way to address the problem of violating security requirements as a result of evolution is a cross fertilisation of approaches to managing software evolution with security requirements engineering. As a first step towards achieving this cross fertilisation we propose to use Jackson and Zave's entailment relation (Zave and Jackson, 1997), which relates requirements, machine specifications and the environment, as a tool for reasoning about both software evolution

and security requirements engineering. We envisage two benefits of using the entailment relation. Firstly, it is based on a framework of requirements engineering that allows one to analyse software evolution at a holistic but finer level of granularity than other approaches in the literature (Lehman and Ramil, 2001; Lehman and Ramil, 2003). Secondly, by making context explicit, it allows one to elicit systematically security vulnerabilities associated with context, which are very often critical (Haley *et al.*, 2008).

We hope that the cross fertilisation leads to an ideal approach to *security requirements engineering for evolving systems*. However, we anticipate that such cross fertilisation is non-trivial as it has to strike a balance between security and evolution. The theme of these challenges is how to design software systems so that they are both secure and evolvable. Current research in software evolution does not explicitly address security issues and approaches to security requirements engineering do not provide systematic means to addressing software evolution concerns. Meeting these challenges is made harder by the fact that achieving software systems that are both evolvable and secure can be conflicting goals (Nhlabatsi *et al.*, 2008). One of the key characteristics of software evolution is that in response to new requirements, new features may be added to existing systems. This mandates composition of the existing feature set with new features. However, feature composition is non-monotonic (Velthuisen, 1995); that is, properties that were true of an existing system before combination with a new feature, are not guaranteed to hold after the addition of new functionality.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we summarise the state of the art on approaches to understanding and managing requirements evolution. Section 3 reviews approaches to eliciting and analysing security requirements and presents a comparative evaluation of the extent to which security requirements engineering approaches support software evolution. The main objective

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/security-requirements-engineering-evolving-software/65845

Related Content

Voice Application Generator Platform for Real Time Multimedia Vehicle Sensor Based Notifications

Guillermo Cueva-Fernandez, Jordán Pascual Espadaand Vicente García-Díaz (2018). *Application Development and Design: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 593-606).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/voice-application-generator-platform-for-real-time-multimedia-vehicle-sensor-based-notifications/188225

Generalized Multi-Release Framework for Fault Prediction in Open Source Software

Shozab Khurshid, A.K. Shrivastavaand Javaid Iqbal (2019). *International Journal of Software Innovation* (pp. 86-107).

www.irma-international.org/article/generalized-multi-release-framework-for-fault-prediction-in-open-source-software/236208

Cloud Computing Virtual Machine Workload Prediction Method Based on Variational Autoencoder

Fargana J. Abdullayeva (2021). *International Journal of Systems and Software Security and Protection* (pp. 33-45).

www.irma-international.org/article/cloud-computing-virtual-machine-workload-prediction-method-based-on-variational-autoencoder/284559

Principles, Methodology and Tools for Engineering Cloud Computing Systems

Luis M. Vaquero, Luis Roderó-Merino, Juan Cáceres, Clovis Chapman, Maik Lindnerand Fermín Galán (2012). *Advanced Design Approaches to Emerging Software Systems: Principles, Methodologies and Tools* (pp. 250-273).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/principles-methodology-tools-engineering-cloud/55444

Legal Design Thinking in Software Engineering: A Review of User-Centered Innovations in Legal Technology

Pablo Alfonso Aguilar Calderón, José Alfonso Aguilar-Calderón, Dominik Morales-Silva, Carolina Tripp-Barba, Pedro Alfonso Aguilar-Calderón, Aníbal Zaldívar-Coloand Oscar Manuel Peña-Bañuelos (2025). *Innovative Design Thinking Approaches in Software Engineering* (pp. 141-170).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/legal-design-thinking-in-software-engineering/382583