# Chapter 13 Analyzing Community Deliberation and Achieving Consensual Knowledge in SAM

Krissada Maleewong Shinawatra University, Thailand

**Chutiporn Anutariya** Shinawatra University, Thailand

Vilas Wuwongse Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand

### ABSTRACT

This paper presents a novel approach to harnessing collective intelligence that will allow a community to create, collaborate, and share knowledge based on the Semantic Argumentation Model (SAM). It encourages multiple users to express ideas or positions on complex issues, and to submit arguments that support or oppose the ideas of the other members. In principle, ideas considered possible solutions to an issue are those that contain high content quality and achieve great community agreement. Therefore, the authors define several useful measures to analyze the deliberation for determining the content quality, community preference, and achieving quality-assured consensual knowledge. Finally, a web-based prototype system founded on the proposed approach is developed and made available for public use. A preliminary study on the system usability shows that the system is practical and can enhance the collaborative knowledge creation and sharing process.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Collective intelligence has become increasingly important for community-driven knowledge creation and sharing by enabling collaborative deliberation to society. Many collaborative knowledge such as Wikis, blogs, discussion forums, and question-answering portals are continuing to grow with increasing number of contributors and covering a wide range of disciplines. Many are successful in encouraging people to participate actively in content creation and knowledge sharing which lead to the growth of innovative ideas

management systems, especially social webs

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-1577-9.ch013

and a substantial number of online community knowledge bases.

In order to take advantage of collective knowledge concerning complex problems or controversial challenges, multiple users collaboratively create and share knowledge based on their skills, viewpoints, and experiences. However, the quality and reliability of such user-generated content vary greatly depending on individuals' interests and expertise. This often results in inconsistent and untrustworthy information. Moreover, a community unintentionally faces several conflicts due to the different opinions in geographically dispersed community and the lack of an effective mechanism for facilitating group collaboration and deliberation. Accordingly, the cost of content quality management and conflict resolution are unavoidable, and these problems are occasionally difficult to solve without human involvement.

To tackle such problems, this paper employs the Semantic Argumentation Model (SAM) (Maleewong, Anutariya, & Wuwongse, 2009a) to enhance collaborative knowledge creation and sharing by allowing members to raise issues on any topic and propose positions as alternative solutions. A member can submit arguments to support or oppose a particular position based on his judgment. The community deliberation are structurally and semantically captured and encoded in RDF/OWL language conforming to the SAM Schema (SAMS) (Maleewong, Anutariya, & Wuwongse, 2009a) which enables automated analysis across the community knowledge base. In order to achieve quality-assured consensual knowledge, a number of useful measures are formally defined for measuring the quality of users' generated contents and determining group preference on a certain position. A high-quality position supported by most members is considered as a potential position to solve the issue. Moreover, the proposed feedback mechanism allows members to improve the position quality and revise their submitted arguments (and

rebuttals) regarding other members' opinions for driving the community to achieve a consensus. In addition, an online collaborative knowledge creation and sharing system, namely *ciSAM*, has been developed in order to validate the practicality and usability of the proposed approach in real-world scenarios.

The organization of this paper is as follows: Section 2 describes the related work. Section 3 presents Semantic Argumentation Model. Section 4 explains community deliberation analysis. Section 5 draws discussions, conclusions, and future research direction.

# 2. RELATED WORK

By allowing users to easily create and edit articles, Wikipedia has grown to be the world's largest free encyclopedia. Its mechanism, however has resulted in edit war and vandalism problems (Viegas, Wattenberg, Kriss, & van Ham, 2007), which then lead to untrustworthy problems. Moreover, its history pages representing information in reverse chronological order (most recent first) also confuse its users when searching for an evolution of a specific knowledge. In order to improve information access and enable knowledge exchange across applications, Semantic Wikipedia (Krotzsch, Vrandecic, Volkel, & Haller, 2007) allows users to semantically annotate wiki pages. However, high technical skill is required for inexperienced users to structurally formalize their knowledge, and the several aforementioned problems caused by wiki mechanism remain unsolved.

To effectively capture the deliberation and to facilitate group collaboration, many recent researches have applied argumentation technologies in various domains as follows. *Compendium* (Shum, Selvin, Sierhuis, & Conklin, 2006) is a knowledge management environment, developed based on *graphical IBIS system* (*gIBIS*) (Conklin & Begeman, 1988), for supporting group delib19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/analyzing-community-deliberation-achievingconsensual/65796

## **Related Content**

#### Noshape: A Bio-Inspired Model for Metaphorically Modeling Complex Agent Organizations as Amoebas

Hosny Abbasand Samir Shaheen (2019). International Journal of Organizational and Collective Intelligence (pp. 12-36).

www.irma-international.org/article/noshape/218270

#### Detecting Webspam Beneficiaries Using Information Collected by the Random Surfer

Thomas Largillierand Sylvain Peyronnet (2011). *International Journal of Organizational and Collective Intelligence (pp. 36-48).* 

www.irma-international.org/article/detecting-webspam-beneficiaries-using-information/54070

#### Global Artificial Bee Colony Search Algorithm for Data Clustering

Zeeshan Danish, Habib Shah, Nasser Tairan, Rozaida Gazaliand Akhtar Badshah (2019). *International Journal of Swarm Intelligence Research (pp. 48-59).* www.irma-international.org/article/global-artificial-bee-colony-search-algorithm-for-data-clustering/224994

# Entropy-Based Breast Cancer Detection in Digital Mammograms Using World Cup Optimization Algorithm

Navid Razmjooy, Vania V. Estrelaand Hermes Jose Loschi (2020). *International Journal of Swarm Intelligence Research (pp. 1-18).* 

www.irma-international.org/article/entropy-based-breast-cancer-detection-in-digital-mammograms-using-world-cupoptimization-algorithm/255706

#### Transdisciplinary Approach to Service Design Based on Consumer's Value and Decision Making

Takeshi Takenaka, Kousuke Fujita, Nariaki Nishino, Tsukasa Ishigakiand Yoichi Motomura (2012). Intelligent and Knowledge-Based Computing for Business and Organizational Advancements (pp. 57-74). www.irma-international.org/chapter/transdisciplinary-approach-service-design-based/65787