Chapter 15 Part of the Tool Kit: SOA and Good Business Practices

Kee Wong

e-CentricInnovations, Australia

Greg Adamson

University of Melbourne, Australia

ABSTRACT

Portals, and Service Oriented Architecture in general, simplify the process of delivering services to users. But this doesn't represent a fundamental change to the user experience. Changing the user experience depends on business intent, and while Web 2.0 functionality is available for users today, corporate and government department practices are often not ready to embrace it. In fact the most effective uptake of new technologies may not be the company intranet at all, but an external company community hosted on MySpace or Facebook. This case study examines the experiences of delivering both strategy and implementations to Fortune 500-type companies and Australian government departments. It compares the experience of delivering web and pre-web services, and notes the impact of the global financial crisis on innovation, concluding with the observation that in this changing climate SOA remains part of the industry practitioner's toolkit.

INTRODUCTION

While Portals and Service Oriented Architecture have held a high profile in planning user facing technologies in recent years, in practice their implementation runs up against user uncertainty about what they provide. In part is due to the

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-0336-3.ch015

traditional approach of many company and government senior managers, and in part it reflects the fact that while Portals can simplify customer solution delivery, they don't provide a uniquely different end-user experience. To gain a practitioners' perspective on this, the following case study was undertaken with Kee Wong, CEO of e-CentricInnovations (http://www.e-centricinnovations.com.au), who is interviewed by Dr. Greg

Adamson, co-Editor-in-Chief of the *International Journal of Web Portals*.

Question: Can you describe the sector that your company works in and its activities in relation to portal and Service Oriented Architecture [SOA] implementations?

We are a consulting company and we also do systems integration. So for us the boundary between portals and SOA, and integration in general, is not hard defined. We could develop strategy involving a lot of thinking around a portal. Alternatively we could implement a portal technology solution in a particular business unit within a multinational company, or within government. Every time we do a portal implementation we have to think about the architecture: how to integrate the application that the user sees as a portal interface to back-end systems that may reside within an organisation or sometimes external to the organisation. So integration becomes important as well. We find that SOA becomes an acceptable way for people to build the integration layer, because it helps shield the application and the user from the changes that might occur in the back-end. By default SOA is a key part of designing a good portal solution, architecting it and then implementing it.

We do business in government, retail, manufacturing, supply chain, banking and finance. They are all the same in that a portal solution is about providing the right application to the audience you are giving information to, and then abstracting that information from systems. Some of those systems are transactional, others are non-transactional. The information could be a policy document that sits in a document management system, for example. It could be information that has been extracted from publicly available websites. It could be HR [human resources] information that is merged out of a policy document and a transaction system that relates to payroll information. It could combine superannuation system information

from a superannuation provider and business-tobusiness connectivity with the company you are dealing with. It could go in any direction. There can be portals for HR, portals for supply chain, portals for executive dashboards, portals for sales information, portals for occupational health and safety, portals for supplier performance, portals for customer satisfaction, and so on.

Typically our customers are larger sized companies. We tend to work with customers who are in the Top 200 category, whether they are multinationals with a presence in Australia, or Australian multinationals, and also government, federal and state. We tend not to work with smaller companies than this, because of our origins. Before I started eCentricInnovation I used to run a division in IBM for enterprise integration, integrating front-end and back-end systems, so we were used to dealing with Fortune 500-type companies.

Q. How are your customers using the technologies that you advise on and implement?

In the business world nothing much has changed in terms of managing human resources and managing enterprise resources. A few years ago they coined the term ERP [Enterprise Resource Planning]. It provided information that an information worker could work with. Taking that forward, how do you get more citizens and people interacting through new technology? Email is a wonderful tool that traditionally didn't exist. Now we just take it for granted because it is being used more extensively in the last 10 or 12 years. Now we have Twitter (http://twitter. com) and Facebook (http://www.facebook.com) and others, and Google (http://www.google.com) as a portal. People are able to connect because of the availability of tools for the consumer across many devices, not just a laptop or a desktop with a web browser but on a mobile phone, on a PDA [personal digital assistant] and so on. But the premise is still the same. You have an interface that a user can interact with, and then information

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/part-tool-kit/63954

Related Content

A SOA-Based Environment Supporting Collaborative Experiments in E-Science

Andrea Bosin, Nicoletta Dessì, Bairappan Madusudhananand Barbara Pes (2011). *International Journal of Web Portals (pp. 12-26).*

www.irma-international.org/article/soa-based-environment-supporting-collaborative/57977

Containers and Connectors as Elements in a Portal Design Framework

Joe Lamantia (2010). International Journal of Web Portals (pp. 58-81).

www.irma-international.org/article/containers-connectors-elements-portal-design/40319

Semantic Web Implications for Web Portals

Pankaj Kamthan (2007). Encyclopedia of Portal Technologies and Applications (pp. 894-900). www.irma-international.org/chapter/semantic-web-implications-web-portals/17982

FSaaS: Configuring Policies for Managing Shared Files Among Cooperating, Distributed Applications

Marco Di Sano, Antonella Di Stefano, Giovanni Moranaand Daniele Zito (2013). *International Journal of Web Portals (pp. 1-14).*

 $\underline{www.irma-international.org/article/fsaas-configuring-policies-managing-shared/78348}$

The Role of Virtual Reality to Enhance Cultural Communication

Reneh Ramsis Abokhozaand Yosra Mahmoud Sobieh (2021). *International Journal of Web Portals (pp. 20-35)*

www.irma-international.org/article/the-role-of-virtual-reality-to-enhance-cultural-communication/287387