

Chapter 2.5

Tool–Support for Software Development Processes

Marco Kuhrmann

Technische Universität München, Germany

Georg Kalus

Technische Universität München, Germany

Gerhard Chroust

Kepler University Linz, Austria

ABSTRACT

Software development projects are complex. The more complex a project is, the higher are the requirements related to the software development process. The implementation of a process is a great challenge. This, in part, has to do with human factors (acceptance, etc.) as the benefits of a formal development process might not be obvious immediately and it may take a while until the process becomes the lifeblood of a team. A crucial step towards implementing, enacting and enforcing a process is to provide tool support for the many activities the process asks for. Tool support is necessary to guarantee efficiency in the project, to do the housekeeping and to minimize the “overhead” of the process. This chapter describes challenges and options for supporting process models by tools. Furthermore it describes concrete samples and shows how tool chains can be created with commercial tools as well as with open source tools.

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENTS

The quality demands on to-day’s software together with the demands on the development processes are increasing due to the growing complexity of today’s software products. In answering these demands the guidance of software development

by a software development process model and the support of all activities by tools has nowadays become state-of-the-art, leading to so-called *software engineering environments* (SEE). Due to increased awareness of project risks and cheaper software and hardware, the utilization of SEEs becomes interesting not only for large but also for small and medium enterprises (SME). Traditionally tools always supported software development for certain development tasks like code-generation,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-61350-456-7.ch2.5

design support etc. The understanding that the development process needs also to be incorporated and supported has brought about tools to support and enforce the enactment of software processes. Especially for a SME the choice of the right process and appropriate tools is difficult. A highly dynamic project environment, agile development practices, strict time-to-market requirements etc. are common in SMEs. Nonetheless, SMEs might find themselves in situations that necessitate and justify the introduction of a more formal process instead of only being agile. Such situations could be:

- In the last years, capability maturity models (e.g. CMMI (Chrissis, Konrad, & Shrum, 2006)) have gained popularity. Along with that development, many customers nowadays demand their suppliers to use standardized, certified processes.
- A company or a team could grow to a size that makes it hard to apply a purely agile development methodology.
- Distributed development with teams being geographically dispersed asks for more explicit structure in the development process (Raghvinder, Bass, Mullick, Paulish, & Kazmeier, 2006).

In this chapter we discuss options, limits and challenges of process enactment. We discuss what to consider when implementing processes in organizational environments with respect to organization-structure, users and tools. We then list options of process/tool-integration. Topics of interest are capabilities of software development processes, options for bringing together processes and tools and finally the area of process-aware tools. A central question in this area is: “What is adequate tool-support for a development process?” Finally in this chapter we give two real world examples using common and widely spread collaboration and development tools. The examples concentrate on two points of view in a software

development project: The first example targets the management as user audience and the second one aims at supporting developers. A more general discussion of options for integrating standard and open source tools completes this section.

Terminology and State of the Art

Tool support always existed for certain development tasks (editors, compiler, flow charts etc.). With the growth of the complexity of software products and the necessary development processes also other tools had been introduced. Up until quite recently, software development processes were mostly described verbally in the form of books or articles. The implementation of a verbally defined process had to rely heavily on educating project members. Little to no tool support was available and would have been hard to realize because no machine-understandable description of the process was available. Much of the enactment and enforcement of a process thus had to be done manually by the people in a project.

Two schools of thought can be roughly distinguished since several years:

- One proclaims the post-bureaucratic age and relies on agile methodologies such as XP (Beck & Andres, 2004; Cockburn, 2001) and Scrum (Schwaber & Beedle, 2008). These lightweight processes contain a couple of core concepts and leave much of the details of a project unspecified. The rationale being that a software development project is so full of uncertainties and subject to change that an a priori process will be hard to follow anyway.
- The other one has driven forward the evolution of heavier processes – up to a level where these processes are described in a formal model. Examples for this kind of development processes (or process frameworks) are the German V-Modell XT (Koordinierungs- und Beratungsstelle der

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/tool-support-software-development-processes/62446

Related Content

Glycemic Monitoring and Prediction With Response Improvement via Psyllium

Sally Shuk Han Pang, Kwok Tai Chui and Miltiadis D. Lytras (2019). *Computational Methods and Algorithms for Medicine and Optimized Clinical Practice* (pp. 185-203).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/glycemic-monitoring-and-prediction-with-response-improvement-via-psyllium/223789

A Review of Literature About Models and Factors of Productivity in the Software Factory

Pedro S. Castañeda Vargas and David Mauricio (2021). *Research Anthology on Recent Trends, Tools, and Implications of Computer Programming* (pp. 1911-1939).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-review-of-literature-about-models-and-factors-of-productivity-in-the-software-factory/261109

Particle Swarm Optimizer for High-Dimensional Data Clustering

Yanping Lu and Shaozi Li (2011). *Kansei Engineering and Soft Computing: Theory and Practice* (pp. 31-51).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/particle-swarm-optimizer-high-dimensional/46390

Ultra-High-Definition Video Transmission for Mission-Critical Communication Systems

Applications: Challenges and Solutions

Anthony Olufemi Tesimi Adeyemi-Ejeye, Geza Koczian, Mohammed Abdulrahman Alreshoodi, Michael C. Parker and Stuart D. Walker (2021). *Research Anthology on Recent Trends, Tools, and Implications of Computer Programming* (pp. 899-915).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ultra-high-definition-video-transmission-for-mission-critical-communication-systems-applications/261060

Automated Framework for Software Process Model Selection Based on Soft Computing Approach

Swati Dhingra, Mythili Thirugnanam, Poorvi Dodwad and Meghna Madan (2021). *Research Anthology on Recent Trends, Tools, and Implications of Computer Programming* (pp. 1367-1390).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/automated-framework-for-software-process-model-selection-based-on-soft-computing-approach/261082