

Chapter 4

On Modelling Non-Functional Properties of Semantic Web Services

Ioan Toma

University of Innsbruck, Austria

Flavio De Paoli

Universita degli studi di Milano – Bicocca, Italy

Dieter Fensel

University of Innsbruck, Austria

ABSTRACT

Service-Oriented Architectures (SOAs) are a widespread solution for realizing distributed applications. Empowered by semantic technologies these architectures will evolve in what is known as Semantically Enabled Service Oriented Architectures (SESAs) providing automatic support for various service related tasks such as discovery, ranking, composition, etc. Services are the core building blocks of both SOA- and SESA- based systems and therefore modelling various aspects of services becomes a fundamental challenge to any enterprise building SOA solutions. Among these aspects, non-functional properties of a service need to be addressed given the high dynamism of any SOA-based system. Non-functional properties descriptions are highly relevant for many of the service related tasks such as discovery, ranking, selection, and negotiation. This chapter investigates several research problems which arise in the area of Semantic Web services, namely how to describe non-functional properties of services, what models are required, and what is the proper language support for describing Non-functional Properties. Our solution was developed, and is part of the Web Service Modelling Ontology, one of the major initiatives in Semantic Web services area. We present a comprehensive set of ontological models for non-functional properties, our approach to attach non-functional properties descriptions to services, and the language support needed to formalize non-functional properties descriptions.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-61350-432-1.ch004

INTRODUCTION

Built on current Web services technologies, such as WSDL (Christensen et al., 2001), SOAP (W3C, 2003) and UDDI (Bellwood et al., 2002), Semantic Web services provide a new level of automation for service related tasks such as: discovery, ranking, composition, selection, negotiation or invocation. Both technologies, Web services as well as their extension into semantics, consider services as fundamental, core entities. The way services are described is crucial for the successful realization of all previous mentioned service related tasks.

Three different aspects must be considered when talking about services: (1) *functional*, (2) *behavioural* and (3) *non-functional* aspects. The *functional* description contains the formal specification of what exactly a service can do. The *behavioural* description is about how the functionality of the service can be achieved in terms of the interaction with the service and in terms of the functionality required from other Web services. Finally, the *non-functional* descriptions capture constraints over the previous two (Chung, 1991). For example, in case the case of a train booking service, invoking its functionality (booking a train ticket) might be constrained by using a secure connection (security as non-functional property) or by actually performing the invocation of the services at certain point in time (*temporal availability* as non-functional property).

Among the three aspects of a service description, the *functional* and *behavioural* aspects are the most investigated aspects so far ((Keller et al., 2006), (Ye & Chen, 2006), (Preist, 2004)). Although the third aspect, *non-functional properties*, has not captured a comparatively very broad attention from the Web service research community its importance is wildly acknowledged ((Paoli et al., 2008), (Rosenberg et al., 2008), (Menasce', 2002)). This is due to their high relevance for all service related tasks. It is easy to imagine a scenario in which services that can fulfil a user request and that provide basically the same functionality are

selected based on some non-functional properties like price or performance.

The lack of support in terms of languages, methodologies and tools for non-functional properties might be due to various factors ((Eenoo et al., 2005), (Rosa et al., 2002)):

- In most of the cases there is no clear delimitation between the functional and non-functional aspects of a service.
- Often non-functional properties are considered to be represented after the functional and behavioural have been described. Most service description frameworks focus on the first two aspects (i.e. functional and behavioural) given less attention to the description of non-functional properties of services.
- Non-functional properties are often contradictory, thus being difficult to represent and engineer. A typical example is the relation between performance and security. More advanced security features require more computational power that results into a decrease of performance.
- It is difficult to formalize non-functional properties due to their complex models.
- Non-functional properties are more dynamic and dependent on many factors such context/environment.

The problem that we address in this book chapter is how to model such properties of Semantic Web services and how to attach them to service descriptions. We aim to provide language support to semantically describe these properties, which will enable reasoning over them.

This chapter proposes a solution towards a better support for Non-functional Properties descriptions of Semantic Web services in general and WSMO/WSML service in particular. The rest of this chapter is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a short introduction to Semantic Web services in general, and Web Service Modelling

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/modelling-non-functional-properties-semantic/60882

Related Content

Modeling and Describing an Ontological Knowledge Framework for Integrated Public Service Delivery

Sietse Overbeek, Marijn Janssen and Patrick van Bommel (2011). *Service Intelligence and Service Science: Evolutionary Technologies and Challenges* (pp. 79-94).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/modeling-describing-ontological-knowledge-framework/47357

Co-Creation of Innovations in ICT-Based Service Encounters

Jannick Kirk Sørensen and Anders Henten (2014). *Handbook of Research on Demand-Driven Web Services: Theory, Technologies, and Applications* (pp. 63-83).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/co-creation-of-innovations-in-ict-based-service-encounters/103663

Electronic Consultation and Service Delivery in Selected Public Hospitals in Kenya

Lawrence Nduva Munyaka, Dorothy Kyalo, Raphael Nyonje and Angeline Mulwa (2024). *International Journal of E-Services and Mobile Applications* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/electronic-consultation-and-service-delivery-in-selected-public-hospitals-in-kenya/361579

Social Media Utilization for the Service Innovation: Case Study of Danish Companies

Lene Tolstrup Sørensen and Morten Falch (2018). *International Journal of E-Services and Mobile Applications* (pp. 1-12).

www.irma-international.org/article/social-media-utilization-for-the-service-innovation/196636

The Role of Choice in the Development of an M-Government Strategy in Turkey

Ronan de Kervenael, N. Meltem Cakici and Duygu Guner (2012). *Innovative Mobile Platform Developments for Electronic Services Design and Delivery* (pp. 150-170).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/role-choice-development-government-strategy/65946