



Chapter VI

Dynamics of Outsourcing Relationships

Given the potential headaches of managing IT, it is tempting to hand the job over to someone else. Indeed, outsourcing once appeared to be a simple solution to management frustrations, and senior management teams at many companies negotiated contracts with large service providers to run their entire IT functions (Gottschalk & Solli-Sæther, 2006). At a minimum, these providers were often able to provide IT capabilities for a lower cost and with fewer hassles than the companies had been able to themselves. But many of these outsourcing arrangements resulted in dissatisfaction, particularly as a company's business needs changed.

Service providers, with their standard offerings and detailed contracts, provided IT capabilities that were not flexible enough to meet changing requirements, and they often seemed slow to respond to problems. Furthermore, a relationship with a supplier often required substantial investments of money and time, which entrenched that supplier in the company's strategic planning and business processes. The company then became particularly vulnerable if the supplier failed to meet its contractual obligations (Ross & Weill, 2002).

In our dynamic perspective of knowledge resources, outsourcing relationships are not just about transactions between a vendor and a supplier. The

resource-based theory argues that the firm's ability to mobilize and utilize both internal and externally available resources determines its ability to succeed in the market place. If the firm is short of important resources such as IT resources, an outsourcing arrangement might help overcome the problem as the vendor makes IT resources available to the firm for a price.

The quality of an outsourcing relationship will vary over time. For example, when the client's behavior in the relationship changes, then a reaction from the vendor should be expected. The vendor's reaction will have to be responded to by the client. In this manner, the relationship might dynamically improve or deteriorate over time. We apply system dynamics to understand such developments over time.

Often, the vendor will have a different value configuration than the client. The vendor being a solutions provider makes it a value shop, while the client might be a value chain, value shop, or value network. Understanding how different value configurations interact will improve the relationship. For example, if the client is a value chain, then information systems operated by the vendor have the main purpose of making production more efficient and effective at the client site. If the client is also a value shop, the information systems operated by the vendor have the main purpose of adding value to the problem solution work done by the client for its customers.

Agency Theory

Agency theory has broadened the risk-sharing literature to include the agency problem that occurs when cooperating parties have different goals and division of labor. The cooperating parties are engaged in an agency relationship defined as a contract under which one or more persons (the principal/s) engage another person (agent) to perform some service on their behalf which involves delegating some decision-making authority to the agent (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Agency theory describes the relationship between the two parties using the metaphor of a contract. In an IT outsourcing relationship this is a client-vendor relationship and an outsourcing contract.

According to Eisenhardt (1985), agency theory is concerned with resolving two problems that can occur in agency relationships. The first is the agency problem that arises when the desires or goals of the principal and agent conflict and it is difficult or expensive for the principal to verify what the agent

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/dynamics-outsourcing-relationships/6057

Related Content

A Language and Algorithm for Automatic Merging of Ontologies

Alma-Delia Cuevas-Rasgado and Adolfo Guzman-Arenas (2008). *Handbook of Ontologies for Business Interaction* (pp. 381-404).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/language-algorithm-automatic-merging-ontologies/19462

Interactive, Flexible, and Adaptable Decision Support Systems

John Wang, James Yao and Jeffrey Hsu (2010). *Business Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 565-572).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/interactive-flexible-adaptable-decision-support/44095

Advanced Visualization Systems in Industrial Environments: Accessible Information in Any Factory Place

Manuel Pérez-Cota, Miguel R. González-Castro and María Díaz Rodríguez (2018). *Protocols and Applications for the Industrial Internet of Things* (pp. 1-34).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/advanced-visualization-systems-in-industrial-environments/202562

Enterprise Resource Planning Acceptance Model (ERPAM): Extended TAM for ERP Systems in Operational Phase of ERP Lifecycle

Simona Sternad and Samo Bobek (2012). *Measuring Organizational Information Systems Success: New Technologies and Practices* (pp. 179-204).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/enterprise-resource-planning-acceptance-model/63453

The Effect of Merger and Acquisitions on the IS Function: An Overview and a Potential Agent-Based Approach

Andrea Genovese, Khole Gwebu, Barry Shore, Sebastian Titz, Venky Venkatachalam and Jing Wang (2012). *Inter-Organizational Information Systems and Business Management: Theories for Researchers* (pp. 157-168).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/effect-merger-acquisitions-function/61611