# Chapter 12 Stochastic Simulations in Systems Biology

**Marc Hafner** 

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Switzerland

#### Heinz Koeppl

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich (ETHZ), Switzerland

# ABSTRACT

With the advances in measurement technology for molecular biology, predictive mathematical models of cellular processes come in reach. A large fraction of such models addresses the kinetics of interaction between biomolecules such as proteins, transcription factors, genes, and messenger RNA. In contrast to classical chemical kinetics – utilizing the reaction-rate equation – the small volume of cellular compartments requires accounting for the stochasticity of chemical kinetics. In this chapter, we discuss methods to generate sample paths of this underlying stochastic process for situations where the well-stirredness or fast-diffusion assumption holds true. We introduce various approximations to exact simulation algorithms that are more efficient in terms of computational complexity. Moreover, we discuss algorithms that account for the multi-scale nature of cellular reaction events.

## INTRODUCTION

Molecular biology has undergone significant changes in the last decades. With the enormous amount of data generated by the genomic era, the qualitative reasoning about outcomes of experiments showed inherent limitations. Mathematical models of cellular processes, such as signal trans-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-61350-116-0.ch012

duction (Alon, 2007) are becoming an essential aspect of molecular biology. The dynamic interactions between biomolecules are encapsulated in equations of motion, where multiple biochemical parameters determine rates at which biomolecules are synthesized or degraded, at which they associate, dissociate, or are transformed into other biomolecules. Such equations of motion are often systems of ordinary differential equations (ODE) (Hairer et al., 1991), whose state variables are the concentrations of each type of biomolecules - as it is done in classical chemical kinetics (Laidler, 1987). Yet, some cellular components such as transcription factors or mRNA molecules are present in very few copies and the continuous approach of ODEs falls short under these circumstances. Only a discrete approach capturing the stochastic nature of chemical events can properly describe such dynamics (Wilkinson, 2006; McQuarrie, 1967). In this chapter, the formalism and the main algorithms to perform stochastic simulations of such continuous-time Markov jump processes will be explained. Such simulations are concerned with different time and length scales than classical molecular dynamics simulations. The available methods and implementations of the latter are not applicable to capture the reaction dynamics of large ensembles of biomolecules.

The remaining part of the chapter is organized as follows. The next section introduces the traditional law of mass action and the corresponding rate equations. A discussion on the Markov jump process associated with stochastic chemical kinetics follows. Based on that, a Monte Carlo sampling algorithm developed by Gillespie (Gillespie, 2007) along with its many variants is explained. Subsequently, the first approximate algorithm, the  $\tau$ -leaping method is derived and some algorithmic aspects of it are highlighted. Further assumptions are made in the following section to obtain the chemical Langevin equation and its simulation is briefly discussed. Finally, we draw conclusions and give some outlook regarding this research area in the last section.

## The Law of Mass Action

Chemical reactions, and as a consequence most events occurring in cellular processes, can be represented as transformations of molecular species  $S_i \in \{S_1, ..., S_n\}$ . A reaction  $R_{j}, j \in \{1, ..., m\}$  with a rate constant  $k_j \in R_+$ , is written in a general form as

$$R_{1j}S_1 + \dots + R_{nj}S_n \xrightarrow{k_j} P_{1j}S_1 + \dots + P_{nj}S_n$$
(1)

where  $R_{ij} \in N_0$  is the stoichiometric coefficient for the species  $S_i$  as a reactant and  $P_{ij} \in N_0$  its coefficient as a product (Heinrich and Schuster, 1996).

In the most simplified approach, all reactions of a process follow the law of mass action, originally proposed by Waage and Guldberg (1864): the rate of a reaction is the product of the concentration of the reactants and a constant. Thus the mass action rate function  $v_j: \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R}^m_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ of reaction  $R_j$  is given by

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{j}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{k}) = k_{j} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{R_{ij}}, \qquad (2)$$

with  $\mathbf{k} \equiv (k_1, \dots, k_m)^T$  and where the concentration of each species  $S_i$  is denoted as  $z_i(t), i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The state of the system is thus the time function  $\mathbf{z}: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

With the stoichiometric matrix  $\mathbf{N} \in Z^{n \times m}$ , the element of which are  $N_{ij} = P_{ij} - R_{ij}$ , that represents the net effect of reaction  $\mathbf{R}_j$  on the species  $S_i$ , the *reaction rate equation* can be written in vectorial form as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{k}). \tag{3}$$

In case of reactions that do not follow mass action kinetics (i.e. simplifications as Michaelis-Menten (Heinrich and Schuster, 1996)), equation (3) remains valid, but the reaction rate function cannot be expressed as equation (2).

## Example: The Schlögl Reactions

As an illustration, the Schlögl reactions (Gillespie, 1992) will be used. In this system, two species,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , form a buffer and a third species, X,

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/stochastic-simulations-systems-biology/60364

# **Related Content**

#### Implementation of Genetic-Algorithm-Based Forecasting Model to Power System Problems

Sajad Madadi, Morteza Nazari-Heris, Behnam Mohammadi-Ivatlooand Sajjad Tohidi (2018). *Handbook of Research on Predictive Modeling and Optimization Methods in Science and Engineering (pp. 140-155).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/implementation-of-genetic-algorithm-based-forecasting-model-to-power-systemproblems/206748

## Vehicular System Management Architecture and Application Platform

Teng-Wen Changand Jiann-Liang Chen (2012). *Computer Engineering: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications (pp. 426-444).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/vehicular-system-management-architecture-application/62457

#### Nurturing a Geospatially Empowered Next Generation

Derek Starkenburg, Christine F. Waigland Rudiger Gens (2018). *Emerging Trends in Open Source Geographic Information Systems (pp. 50-72).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/nurturing-a-geospatially-empowered-next-generation/205156

## Object-Oriented Cognitive Complexity Measures: An Analysis

Sanjay Misraand Adewole Adewumi (2018). *Computer Systems and Software Engineering: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 917-940).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/object-oriented-cognitive-complexity-measures/192907

## An Analysis of the Agile Theory and Methods in the Light of the Principles of the Value Co-Creation

Bertrand Verlaine (2021). Research Anthology on Recent Trends, Tools, and Implications of Computer *Programming (pp. 631-650).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/an-analysis-of-the-agile-theory-and-methods-in-the-light-of-the-principles-of-the-value-co-creation/261047