

Chapter XVI

An Organizational Memory Tool for E-Learning

Marie-Hélène Abel

University of Compiègne, France

ABSTRACT

Learning can be considered an outcome associated with acquiring new competencies (Sicilia, 2005) and adding new knowledge. A competence is a way to put into practice some knowledge in a specific context. The process of competency acquisition starts from a need in this specific context. It may induce the search and the selection of relevant resources. Numerous resources may be used during e-learning, their access is a real problem. Different approaches may be adopted to exploit them. This chapter describes the tool E-MEMORAe, which supports an organizational goal-driven approach based on the concept of learning organizational memory. In such a memory, ontologies are used to define knowledge that indexes resources; the capitalization and the organization of knowledge, information, and resources relating to a specific context can be realized. End-users have a direct access to the memory. The organizational environment E-MEMORAe was evaluated in the context of two courses taught at the university (algorithms, mathematics).

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Learning can be considered an outcome associated with acquiring new competencies (Sicilia, 2005) and adding new knowledge. A competence is a way to put into practice some knowledge in a specific context. From an educational point of view, knowledge is defined as all the notions

and the principles that a person acquires through study, observation, or experience, which can be integrated into skills. However, studying an encyclopaedia is not sufficient to get knowledge; Didactic work has to be made.

The process of competency acquisition starts from a need in a given context. It may induce the search and the selection of relevant resources. Numerous learning resources may be used during

e-learning. E-learning becomes part of a complex organizational conduct, in which lack of required competencies trigger the search for appropriate contents (Sicilia, 2005). Different approaches may be adopted to exploit such contents. They can be stored in learning objects repositories and then reused, combined and adapted in different contexts. They can also be selected and organized in learning memories that are directly accessed by learners. These approaches offer a goal-driven organizational learning.

Over the last few years, many projects aiming at building bases of learning resources, in order to share and re-use them, have been launched. These projects often rely on a network of contributors that feed the base with collaboratively controlled resources. Conversely, each contributor can benefit from resources brought by other contributors.

We can make a distinction between learning object repositories (LOR), which usually group many subject matters, and what we call “thematic resource bases” that contain resources related to only one domain.

LOR usually group all subject matters. Their scope can be restricted to one or several universities or to a country; it can also be international. If expected scope is wide, LOR are based on a network of contributors or on a consortium of institutions.

The restriction in resources related to a particular domain brings more homogeneity; resources and associated knowledge can be managed more precisely. Thus, relying on knowledge engineering techniques, Paquette (Paquette, 2001) designed knowledge and resources base on tele-learning. As in the case of repositories, the idea is also to share and re-use resources. These resources are not ready to be used by learners; instructional design work is usually needed beforehand.

On the contrary, within the MEMORAE project (in French, Mémoire organisationnelle appliquée au e-learning) supported by the pole Systèmes et Technologies de l'Éducation et de la Formation

(STEF) of the Picardy area, France, our goal is to let learners directly access the resources of a course memory. Following a knowledge engineering approach, we organise the resources in a learning organizational memory based on ontologies (Abel, Barry, Benayache, Chaput, Lenne, & Moulin, 2004). In fact, it is a course memory, where a course is seen as an organization. This memory is different from a classical organizational memory because its goal is to provide pedagogical users with content. This content is the result of two pieces of work: (1) the capitalization of knowledge, information, and learning resources relating to the learning context (a course unit); (2) a pedagogical work concerning the choice and the organization of this capitalization.

In order to give learners direct access to the memory, part of the instructional design work has to be made earlier. The advantage is that the memory is ready to be used by learners, provided that pedagogical and didactical choices made earlier are acceptable. This can therefore lead to a loss of flexibility, but we make the assumption that these choices can at least be shared by a teacher community that could act as a “community of practice” (Wenger, 1998).

We realized two pilot applications to evaluate our propositions: the first one concerns NF01, a course on algorithms and programming at the University of Technology of Compiègne, and the second one concerns B31.1, a course on applied mathematics at the University of Picardy (France).

In the following, we first specify links between competencies and knowledge. Then we specify the role of knowledge in organizations and the parallel between knowledge management and e-learning. Afterward, we introduce the project MEMORAE, founded on the concept of learning organizational memory based on ontologies. We show how we modeled the memory. Finally, we present the organizational environment built from this model: E-MEMORAE (<http://www.hds.utc>).

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/organizational-memory-tool-learning/5996

Related Content

Intention to Knowledge Sharing: From Planned Behavior and Psychological Needs Perspectives

Seuwandhi Buddika Ranasinghe and Pradeep Dharmadasa (2013). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 33-50).

www.irma-international.org/article/intention-to-knowledge-sharing/105177

Inquiry Systems: Understanding How People Gain Knowledge

Meliha Handzic (2007). *Socio-Technical Knowledge Management: Studies and Initiatives* (pp. 18-31).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/inquiry-systems-understanding-people-gain/29333

Knowledge Visualization

Martin J. Eppler and Remo A. Burkhard (2011). *Encyclopedia of Knowledge Management, Second Edition* (pp. 987-999).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-visualization/49045

Mentoring Knowledge Workers

Ciara Heavin and Karen Neville (2006). *Encyclopedia of Knowledge Management* (pp. 621-626).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/mentoring-knowledge-workers/17006

Exploring the Role of the Project Manager in Organizational Knowledge Creation

Ted Bibbes, Minna Rollins and Wesley Johnston (2017). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 38-54).

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-the-role-of-the-project-manager-in-organizational-knowledge-creation/193193