

Chapter 7.7

A Survey of Epistemology and its Implications on an Organisational Information and Knowledge Management Model

Ah-Lian Kor

Leeds Metropolitan University, UK

Graham Orange

Leeds Metropolitan University, UK

ABSTRACT

This is a theoretical chapter which aims to integrate various epistemologies from the philosophical, knowledge management, cognitive science, and educational perspectives. From a survey of knowledge-related literature, this chapter collates diverse views of knowledge. This is followed by categorising as well as ascribing attributes (effability, codifiability, perceptual/conceptual, social/personal) to the different types of knowledge. The authors develop a novel Organisational Information and Knowledge Management Model which seeks to clarify the distinctions between information and knowledge by introducing novel information and knowledge conversions

(information-nothing, information-information, information-knowledge, knowledge-information, knowledge-knowledge) and providing mechanisms for individual knowledge creation and information sharing (between individual-individual, individual-group, group-group) as well as Communities of Practice within an organisation.

INTRODUCTION

Epistemology is the study of knowledge which includes what it is and how it is acquired. Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995) emphasise the need to understand what knowledge is, know how to manage it, and exploit it to increase an organisation's competitive advantage. They view every member in an organisation as knowledge workers where

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-783-8.ch7.7

new knowledge always begins with an individual which can then be transformed into organisational 'knowledge'. In this chapter, we would like to address a few issues. Firstly, there is a need to revisit seminal epistemology and unify them with contemporary epistemology so as to uncover the elusive meaning of knowledge. Secondly, there is general lack of consensus over tacit and explicit knowledge as well as information and knowledge, which will affect knowledge management in organisations. To address these two issues, we have developed an *Organisational Information and Knowledge Management Model* to tease out the differences between information and knowledge for an organisation's benefits, and have provided mechanisms for individual knowledge creation and information sharing among individuals within the organisation. The *Organisational Information and Knowledge Management Model* is grounded on philosophy, knowledge management, cognitive science, educational theories, and is consistent with Wiig's (2002a; 2002b; 2004) New Generation Knowledge Management (NGKM) which addresses people-centric knowledge developments (e.g. how people learn, possess knowledge, apply knowledge, etc.).

The discussion in this chapter is divided into two sections where the first section will present the outcome of a survey on epistemology related chapters in philosophy, knowledge management, cognitive science, and education while the second section, a conceptual design of an *Organisational Information and Knowledge Management Model*. In this chapter, we have categorised epistemology into the following: seminal epistemology (rationalist approach, empirical approach, pragmatic approach, social approach); contemporary epistemology (cognitive approach, knowledge management approach: pluralist epistemology). Pluralist epistemology is further divided into the following categories: dichotomy model of knowledge; multiple model of knowledge; continuum model of knowledge; duality model of knowledge; and knowing model of knowledge. The conceptual

design of the *Organisational Information and Knowledge Management Model* aims to tease out the differences between information and knowledge, followed by depicting mechanisms for individual knowledge creation and information sharing, and finally *Communities of Practice* within the organisation.

LITERATURE SURVEY ON EPISTEMOLOGY

Seminal Epistemology

Rationalist Approach

According to the rationalist approach to epistemology, knowledge is justified true belief (Plato in Newman, 2005) or unshakeable conviction (Descartes in Newman, 2005) which is attained through reason alone. Such type of *a priori* knowledge which is independent of sense experience, could be innate knowledge or acquired through intuition and deduction. Popper (Thornton, 2006) claimed that scientists begin with problems rather than observations and he attributed the growth of human knowledge to the search of solutions (involving the formulation of theories) which correspond to these problems. However, creative imagination which transcends the existing knowledge is required when current theories are inadequate to account for anomalies.

Empiricist Approach

Empiricists argue that humans have no innate knowledge, the human mind is a blank slate (*tabula rasa*) and claim that experience is a source of *a posteriori* knowledge (e.g. Aristotle (Hett, 1936) and John Locke, 1689). Empiricists like Locke (1689) argue that human experience comes in the form of sensation and reflection where the former subsumes external senses (e.g. vision, smell, hearing, taste, and touch) and inner sensations

29 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/survey-epistemology-its-implications-organisational/58227

Related Content

Organizational Environmental Sustainability Business Model in Green Technology Innovation

José G. Vargas-Hernandez, Omar C. Vargas-González and Carlos Rodríguez-Maillard (2023). *Journal of Business Ecosystems* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/organizational-environmental-sustainability-business-model-in-green-technology-innovation/320483

Organizing Global Knowledge Management in a Dispersed Organization

Shan L. Pan (2001). *Knowledge Management and Business Model Innovation* (pp. 426-441).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/organizing-global-knowledge-management-dispersed/24947

Management of Customer Lifetime Value in Organizations: Strategies and Initiatives

Pratap Chandra Mandal (2023). *Journal of Business Ecosystems* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/management-of-customer-lifetime-value-in-organizations/318471

The EOQ Paradox: Why Ford Harris's 1913 Formula Cannot Navigate Today's Business Ecosystems? A Framework for Ecosystem-Aware Inventory Management in Capital-Intensive Industries

Deepak R. Chandran, Sanath Kumar, Abhay Nadkarni, Satish K. Shenoy and Raghukumar Bommenahalli (2026). *Journal of Business Ecosystems* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-eoq-paradox/408130

Leveraging AI to Inspire Innovation in Traditional and Digital Business Ecosystems

Emil Joseph (2025). *Journal of Business Ecosystems* (pp. 1-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/leveraging-ai-to-inspire-innovation-in-traditional-and-digital-business-ecosystems/383049