

Chapter 1.8

Adaptive Technology and Its Applications

João José Neto
Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil

INTRODUCTION

Before the advent of software engineering, the lack of memory space in computers and the absence of established programming methodologies led early programmers to use self-modification as a regular coding strategy.

Although unavoidable and valuable for that class of software, solutions using self-modification proved inadequate while programs grew in size and complexity, and security and reliability became major requirements.

Software engineering, in the 70's, almost led to the vanishing of self-modifying software,

whose occurrence was afterwards limited to small low-level machine-language programs with very special requirements.

Nevertheless, recent research developed in this area, and the modern needs for powerful and effective ways to represent and handle complex phenomena in high-technology computers are leading self-modification to be considered again as an implementation choice in several situations.

Artificial intelligence strongly contributed for this scenario by developing and applying non-conventional approaches, e.g. heuristics, knowledge representation and handling, inference methods, evolving software/hardware, genetic algorithms, neural networks, fuzzy systems, expert systems, machine learning, etc.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-818-7.ch1.8

In this publication, another alternative is proposed for developing Artificial Intelligence applications: the use of adaptive devices, a special class of abstractions whose practical application in the solution of current problems is called Adaptive Technology.

The behavior of adaptive devices is defined by a dynamic set of rules. In this case, knowledge may be represented, stored and handled within that set of rules by adding and removing rules that represent the addition or elimination of the information they represent.

Because of the explicit way adopted for representing and acquiring knowledge, adaptivity provides a very simple abstraction for the implementation of artificial learning mechanisms: knowledge may be comfortably gathered by inserting and removing rules, and handled by tracking the evolution of the set of rules and by interpreting the collected information as the representation of the knowledge encoded in the rule set.

MAIN FOCUS OF THIS ARTICLE

This article provides concepts and foundations on adaptivity and adaptive technology, gives a general formulation for adaptive abstractions in use and indicates their main applications.

It shows how rule-driven devices may turn into adaptive devices to be applied in learning systems modeling, and introduces a recently formulated kind of adaptive abstractions having adaptive sub-jacent devices. This novel feature may be valuable for implementing meta-learning, since it enables adaptive devices to change dynamically the way they modify their own set of defining rules.

A significant amount of information concerning adaptivity and related subjects may be found at the (LTA Web site).

BACKGROUND

This section summarizes the foundations of adaptivity and establishes a general formulation for adaptive rule-driven devices (Neto, 2001), non-adaptivity being the only restriction imposed to the subjacent device.

Some theoretical background is desirable for the study and research on adaptivity and Adaptive Technology: formal languages, grammars, automata, computation models, rule-driven abstractions and related subjects.

Nevertheless, either for programming purposes or for an initial contact with the theme, it may be unproblematic to catch the basics of adaptivity even having no prior expertise with computer-theoretical subjects.

In adaptive abstractions, adaptivity may be achieved by attaching adaptive actions to selected rules chosen from the rule set defining some subjacent non-adaptive device.

Adaptive actions enable adaptive devices to dynamically change their behavior without external help, by modifying their own set of defining rules whenever their subjacent rule is executed.

For practical reasons, up to two adaptive actions are allowed: one to be performed prior to the execution of its underlying rule, and the other, after it.

An adaptive device behaves just as it were piecewise non-adaptive: starting with the configuration of its initial underlying device, it iterates the following two steps, until reaching some well-defined final configuration:

- While no adaptive action is executed, run the underlying device;
- Modify the set of rules defining the device by executing an adaptive action.

Rule-Driven Devices

A rule-driven device is any formal abstraction whose behavior is described by a rule set that

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/adaptive-technology-its-applications/56133

Related Content

Empirical Studies on the Functional Complexity of Software in Large-Scale Software Systems

Yingxu Wang and Vincent Chiew (2011). *International Journal of Software Science and Computational Intelligence* (pp. 23-42).

www.irma-international.org/article/empirical-studies-functional-complexity-software/60747

The AFSA-GA Algorithm for the Quay Crane Scheduling Problem of the Loading and Unloading Operations

Yi Liu, Sabina Shahbazzadeh and Jian Wang (2017). *International Journal of Software Science and Computational Intelligence* (pp. 59-71).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-afsa-ga-algorithm-for-the-quay-crane-scheduling-problem-of-the-loading-and-unloading-operations/190318

Supporting CSCW and CSCL with Intelligent Social Grouping Services

Jeffrey J.P. Tsai, Jia Zhang, Jeff J.S. Huang and Stephen J.H. Yang (2009). *International Journal of Software Science and Computational Intelligence* (pp. 51-63).

www.irma-international.org/article/supporting-cscw-cscl-intelligent-social/2785

Symbiotic Aspects in e-Government Application Development

Claude Moulin and Marco Luca Sbodio (2010). *International Journal of Software Science and Computational Intelligence* (pp. 38-51).

www.irma-international.org/article/symbiotic-aspects-government-application-development/39104

Practical Considerations in Automatic Code Generation

Paul Dietz, Aswin van den Berg, Kevin Marth, Thomas Weigert and Frank Weil (2007). *Advances in Machine Learning Applications in Software Engineering* (pp. 346-408).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/practical-considerations-automatic-code-generation/4867