

## Chapter 5

# Using Spatial Constructivist Thinking Theory to Enhance Classroom Instruction for Students with Special Needs

**Prince Hycy Bull**  
North Carolina Central University, USA

### ABSTRACT

*Spatial constructivist thinking theory is an alternative method of presenting digital materials to enhance the learning process of special needs students in a 21<sup>st</sup> Century classroom. Spatial constructivist thinking is the integration of pictures, animations, videos, color schemes, abstract plans, applets, graphics, and formatted texts in a presentation to represent text and verbal concepts. Concepts can be simple or complex, literary or symbolic representations of the concepts. This representation addresses Bloom's revised taxonomy to challenge students' thinking to create knowledge. Spatial constructivist thinking also posits that spatial representation is influenced by one's visual and verbal knowledge, and prior experiences. Spatial constructivist thinking is also influenced by the multiple intelligences theory. Presentations done by an instructor or knowledgeable peer using spatial constructivist thinking theory reflect the best spatial representation of the presenter's visual and verbal repertoire for concepts presented. For each spatial representation there is a corresponding verbal representation.*


### INTRODUCTION

As the educational system continues to experience a paradigm shift in using multimedia

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-878-1.ch005

technology to deliver instruction at all levels of education, educators must refine how concepts are presented to address the learning styles of visual digital natives, especially students with special needs. In delivering instruction through media, an intelligence that plays a major role in

*Figure 1. Spatial Constructivist Thinking aligned with Multiple Intelligences*



how special needs students learn is the spatial intelligence (see Figure 1: Spatial Constructivist Thinking Aligned with Multiple Intelligences.) Spatial constructivist thinking theory posits that delivering instruction via multimedia technology is more effective when emphasis is placed on the spatial presentation of the information rather than on the traditional means of using text.

The integration of technology with students in the developmental disabilities spectrum has the potential to enhance learning. In a study of technology integration with thirty special education teachers working with individuals with severe cognitive and developmental disabilities, Bull (2005), identified fourteen reasons for technology integration with individuals with severe cognitive and developmental disabilities:

- Provided opportunity to keep pace with societal changes
- Aided with skill acquisition.
- Increased job opportunities.
- Enhanced the curriculum.
- Provided control over their environment.

- Increased eye and hand coordination.
- Promoted fine and gross motor skills.
- Improved attending skills.
- Provided consistent instruction throughout the curriculum.
- Provided alternate mode of instruction.
- Provided avenue to generalize skills.
- Served as a reinforcer.
- Improved self-esteem and self-confidence of students.
- Provided plain fun through music and videos.

The study also identified three areas in which technology made a difference; training, leisure activities, computer skills acquisition and vocational training.

### **SPATIAL CONSTRUCTIVIST THINKING THEORY**

Spatial constructivist thinking theory is the integration of pictures, animations, videos, color

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:  
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-spatial-constructivist-thinking-theory/55464](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-spatial-constructivist-thinking-theory/55464)

## Related Content

---

### Combining Synchronous and Asynchronous Distance Learning for Adult Training in Military Environments

Ilias Maglogiannis and Kostas Karpouzis (2007). *Cases on Global E-Learning Practices: Successes and Pitfalls* (pp. 22-34).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/combining-synchronous-asynchronous-distance-learning/6241](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/combining-synchronous-asynchronous-distance-learning/6241)

### New Skills and Ways of Working: Faculty Development for E-Learning

Gail Wilson (2007). *Making the Transition to E-Learning: Strategies and Issues* (pp. 121-138).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/new-skills-ways-working/25617](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/new-skills-ways-working/25617)

### An E-Workshop Model for Teacher Training

Yan Hanbing and Zhu Zhiting (2007). *Cases on Global E-Learning Practices: Successes and Pitfalls* (pp. 247-260).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/workshop-model-teacher-training/6257](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/workshop-model-teacher-training/6257)

### The Impact of Technology on Early Childhood Education: Where the Child Things Are? Adults, Children, Digital Monsters and the Spaces in Between

Andrew Neil Gibbons (2012). *Technology and Young Children: Bridging the Communication-Generation Gap* (pp. 1-23).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/impact-technology-early-childhood-education/56371](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/impact-technology-early-childhood-education/56371)

### Children's Power for Learning in the Age of Technology

Julie McLeod, Lin Lin and Sheri Vasinda (2012). *Technology and Young Children: Bridging the Communication-Generation Gap* (pp. 49-64).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/children-power-learning-age-technology/56373](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/children-power-learning-age-technology/56373)