Chapter 3.6

An Alternative Model of Information Security Investment

Peter O. Orondo
Acclaim Consulting Group, Inc., USA

ABSTRACT

Most companies would agree that securing their information assets is worth some investment. It is thus plausible to assume that low levels of IT security investment indicate that only a small portion of the firm's business is IT asset value driven. It could also point to a misaligned corporate investment policy. Conversely, some firms may be investing more than is warranted given the value of their information asset holdings, thereby wasting shareholder resources. The question then becomes: What level of IT security investment is enough? Several models exist to help companies set their IT spending in general and Information Security spending in particular. The leading model out there is the Information Technology Portfolio Manage-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60566-132-2.ch008

ment (ITPM) model. This is really nothing more than financial portfolio management theory applied to the information technology realm. Thus ITPM tries to optimize IT spending based on a number of factors like business value, efficiency and cost reduction among others. Despite current vigorous research at esteemed institutions like the Center for Information Systems Research (CISR) at MIT and at the Free University of Amsterdam, ITPM is still in its infancy and the field would benefit from alternative models. In this chapter, we propose an alternative model of IT security spending that firms may readily apply when setting their Information Security budgets. The model is analytical and starts by developing a model for the business value of information. It then develops a model for the cost of an information security breach. Finally, we find the relationship between the value model and the cost model from.




Figure 1. IT portfolio optimization criteria (Symons, 2005)

INTRODUCTION

The global spending on IT may only be considered as leviathan – \$1.5 trillion in 2007 according to Forrester (Bartels, 2007), and is the driver for a very large segment of the global economy, especially in the western industrialized countries. At any individual firm, the \$1.5 trillion figure typically translates to between 1% and 8% of revenues. Since any firm's spending is a balancing act amongst multiple competing priorities, it becomes critical for CIOs and IT managers to justify every penny spent on IT.

As we mentioned earlier, the most common model appears to be the ITPM model which views IT spending as an investment just like any other that the firm makes. ITPM then creates metrics, processes and monitoring tools that ultimately measure Return on Investment (ROI) for each IT dollar spent (Symons, 2005).

The Forrester Research cited (Symons, 2005) also reveals that firms are most likely to optimize their IT spending around Business Value. In other words, the firms surveyed decided that the business value of IT spending outweighed other considerations like financial return, cost reductions and even efficiency (see Figure 1).

This validates a key premise of our model, namely that IT Security Spending is integral to the firm's value chain. We shall return to this notion of "Business Value" driven IT Security Spending later when we formulate our model.

ITPM however, is still in its infancy, and is fairly complicated to apply, monitor and measure in any given company. Additionally:

- theory of portfolio optimization. While it is plausible that the basic concepts of Modern Porfolio Theory (MPT) see for example (*Markowitz*, 1952) should apply to IT spending, the two problems are sufficiently different that substantial conceptual modifications to MPT are in order before it can make sense to the IT Line Manager. At the very least, it is not clear that MPT is the best approach to model IT Investment Portfolios. For example, the IT Investment portfolio is not as liquid as a stock portfolio, if at all.
- ITPM is complicated and thus not easily accessible except to generally larger firms with a fair amount of resources devoted to IT process and operations modeling. This is

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/alternative-model-information-security-investment/54504

Related Content

Borderline Fields of Information Architecture: Information Overload, the Literacies, and Personal Information Management

Tibor Koltay (2016). Handbook of Research on Information Architecture and Management in Modern Organizations (pp. 111-129).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/borderline-fields-of-information-architecture/135764

A New Kind of Image Edge Detection Based on The Theory of The Adaptive Lifting Wavelet and Morphology

Honge Ren, Xiyan Xu, Meng Zhuand Dongxu Huo (2018). *Journal of Information Technology Research* (pp. 90-104).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-new-kind-of-image-edge-detection-based-on-the-theory-of-the-adaptive-lifting-wavelet-and-morphology/206217

Improving Strategic Alignment: A Case Study

Kari Hiekkanen, Anni Pekkalaand Jari Collin (2015). *Information Resources Management Journal (pp. 19-*37)

www.irma-international.org/article/improving-strategic-alignment/132765

Security-Based Knowledge Management

Shuyuan Mary Hoand Chingning Wang (2009). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Second Edition (pp. 3401-3405).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/security-based-knowledge-management/14078

Enhanced Knowledge Warehouse

Krzysztof Wecel, Witold Abramowiczand Pawel Jan Kalczynski (2005). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, First Edition (pp. 1057-1062).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/enhanced-knowledge-warehouse/14386