

# Chapter 1.1

## Building the IT Workforce of the Future: The Demand for More Complex, Abstract, and Strategic Knowledge

**Deborah J. Armstrong**

*Florida State University, USA*

**H. James Nelson**

*Southern Illinois University Carbondale, USA*

**Kay M. Nelson**

*Southern Illinois University Carbondale, USA*

**V.K. Narayanan**

*Drexel University, USA*

### **ABSTRACT**

The software development process has undergone a considerable amount of change from the early days of spaghetti code to the present state of the art of development using strategic patterns. This has caused not only changes in the toolkits that developers use, but also a change in their mindset—the way that they approach and think about software development. This study uses revealed causal mapping techniques to examine the change in mindset that occurs across the procedural to OO development transition, and lays the foundation for future studies of the OO/

pattern cognitive transition. The results indicate that there is not only increasing complexity in the cognitive maps of the OO developers, but also that there is a need for the developer to shift from routine, assembly line coding to more abstract thought processes.

### **INTRODUCTION**


No one doubts that the software development process has undergone a profound transformation. Twenty years ago, the state of the art was

the waterfall model of the systems development life cycle. The project planning and feasibility study steps were followed by systems analysis and requirements gathering, system design, coding, integration and testing, and finally installation and maintenance. The waterfall model fit very nicely within the rigid hierarchical organizational structures of the time. Functional silos and economies of scale drove software development. Systems analysts created data flow diagrams and ER diagrams and passed these to the designers. Designers would create functional decomposition diagrams and relational data models and pass these to the coders. Finally, the coders rendered all these into COBOL, FORTRAN, or a number of other procedural programming languages and database management systems. The constant translation from model to model enforced a sequence on the development process (Coad & Yourdon, 1991), with the side effect of keeping each different kind of developer in his or her place. Expert coders could not easily transition

to the more abstract world of the designer and the analyst (Crowder, 1976).

The software development revolution of the 1990s began with the need for easier modeling, increased code reuse, higher quality, and easier to maintain software (Johnson, Hardgrave, & Doke, 1999). The structured programming paradigm focused on simplifying and controlling the development process (Martin & McClure, 1988) as well as increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of the development team. Where the design, code, and implementation steps of the “spaghetti code era” was replaced with a structured software engineering approach, the object oriented (OO) programming methods focus more on reuse of tested software, flexibility, and ease of maintenance with a more seamless integration of the analysis, design, and implementation development steps. This results in a development process that is incremental, concurrent, iterative, and evolutionary (Xing & Stroulia, 2005). The changes in the development process from spaghetti to structured to OO and beyond are shown in Figure 1.

*Figure 1. The shift to the more abstract and the more strategic*



16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/building-workforce-future/54468](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/building-workforce-future/54468)

## Related Content

---

### Development of Trust During Large Scale System Implementation

Bjarne Rerup Schlichter (2010). *Journal of Cases on Information Technology* (pp. 1-15).

[www.irma-international.org/article/development-trust-during-large-scale/42965](http://www.irma-international.org/article/development-trust-during-large-scale/42965)

### E-Negotiation Support Systems Overview

Zhen Wang, John Limand Elizabeth Koh (2009). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Second Edition* (pp. 1374-1380).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/negotiation-support-systems-overview/13755](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/negotiation-support-systems-overview/13755)

### The Effects of Investments in Information Technology on Firm Performance: An Investor Perspective

Jeffrey Wong and Kevin E. Dow (2011). *Journal of Information Technology Research* (pp. 1-13).

[www.irma-international.org/article/effects-investments-information-technology-firm/62841](http://www.irma-international.org/article/effects-investments-information-technology-firm/62841)

### Topaz Japanese-American Relocation Center Digital Collection: A Case Study

Lindsay Lauridsen (2014). *Cases on Electronic Records and Resource Management Implementation in Diverse Environments* (pp. 117-129).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/topaz-japanese-american-relocation-center/82643](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/topaz-japanese-american-relocation-center/82643)

### Using Incoming Traffic for Energy-Efficient Routing in Cognitive Radio Networks

Constandinos X. Mavromoustakis, Athina Bourdena, George Mastorakis and Evangelos Pallis (2015).

*Journal of Information Technology Research* (pp. 1-24).

[www.irma-international.org/article/using-incoming-traffic-for-energy-efficient-routing-in-cognitive-radio-networks/127047](http://www.irma-international.org/article/using-incoming-traffic-for-energy-efficient-routing-in-cognitive-radio-networks/127047)