

Chapter 4.4

Learning Object Based Instruction

Alex Stone
*VLN Partners, LLC., * USA*

INTRODUCTION

Imagine a vast repository of digital materials that includes an unlimited supply of instructional videos, interactive multimedia exercises, links to Web sites, reading exercises, recorded interviews with experts, interactive graphs, charts, diagrams, photographs and maps—and nearly any other form of digital instruction—all organized according to academic standards, instructional objectives, and specific topics addressed. Teachers could log in to the repository via the Internet, type a simple search string and instantly access hundreds of pertinent instructional sequences that they could use to enhance their teaching practices in both the classroom and in the virtual learning environment.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-503-2.ch404

This vision has been the driving force behind a form of instructional technology called learning objects (LOs), and it is becoming an increasingly relevant topic within the field of instructional technology today.

The idea that instructional content can be systematically encapsulated, retrieved, transmitted to others, and then reused is the driving force behind the LO movement. In the face of such enormous potential, the field of instructional technology has made little progress since 2002 when it comes to defining a practical method for populating LOs with meaningful instructional content and research that addresses the pedagogical effectiveness of using LOs in the K-12 learning environment is scarce. As yet, no practicable model for implementing this technology in a “real world” setting exists.

BACKGROUND

Perhaps the most widely accepted definition of the term learning object comes from David Wiley (2002). Wiley (2002) states that a learning object is any digital resource that can be reused to support learning (p.7). While Wiley's definition and other attempts to define the true nature and function of learning objects are important efforts, varying views regarding the true nature and function of learning objects have caused a great deal of confusion within the field of instructional technology concerning this technology (Sosteric, 2002; Welsch, 2000). In any event, the fundamental theme that ties every perspective together is the basic idea that digital instructional content can be encapsulated, stored, and reused in the appropriate context. To put it more succinctly, learning objects are reusable and interoperable. These core attributes make learning objects both appealing and controversial.

The term "learning object" appears in the vernacular sometime around 1994 and is often attributed to the work of Wayne Hodgins (Wiley, 2002, p. 4), but the basic concept of reusing digital resources to streamline computing practices for programmers and to introduce uniformity of experience for end-users can be traced back to the work of Ole-Johan Dahl and Kristen Nygaard from the Norwegian Computing Center, Oslo, Norway, in the mid 1960s with their work on a programming language called SIMULA. This work led to a form of computing called object oriented programming that has had a profound impact upon the field of computer science and information technology. Object oriented programming gained momentum in the 1970s with the work of Alan Kay and became increasingly popular as a result of the work conducted in the 1970s and in the early 1980s by Bjorn Stroustrup with his efforts to apply the basic concepts of object oriented programming to the C computer language to create the commercially successful and widely accepted C++ computer language. Soon after that, a group at Sun led by

James Gosling introduced a derivative of C++ called Java that has gained increasing popularity with the expansion of the Internet.

While the effective implementation of learning objects (LOs) will undoubtedly continue to require formative input from the field of computer science, the fields of instructional technology and education will need to add more formative input to the conversation if LOs and learning object based instruction (LOBI) are to reach their full potential. To date, the majority of work concerning LOs has been focused upon establishing metadata referencing and retrieval schemes that can be used to quickly access LOs. In the 1980s and early 1990s, several metadata referencing initiatives began to address the need to categorize and quickly retrieve digital content and various tagging schemes began to emerge. In the fall of 1997, the U.S. Department of Defense, the White House Office of Science and Technology, the Department of Labor, and others, kicked off the Advanced Distributed Learning (ADL) initiative that established the metadata referencing standard called the Sharable Content Object Referencing Model (SCORM). Since it was introduced, SCORM has come to be the most prominent metadata referencing standard in the United States, but other metadata standardization efforts—like the IEEE's LOM project—also address the same need.

The introduction of, and further refinements to metadata referencing standards like SCORM and LOM are a critical step that must be taken to allow different content publishers to create learning objects that can interoperate within different learning management systems (LMS), but these efforts have little or nothing to do with pedagogical effectiveness of the LOs themselves. These efforts were an important first step because they addressed the need to ensure that LOs are retrievable and interoperable, but they do not address exactly what instructional materials a LO should contain to be instructionally effective (Welsh, 2002, p.2).

The first attempts to address the need for LO content standards are typically attributed to the

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/learning-object-based-instruction/51855

Related Content

The Art and Science of Designing and Developing an Online English Language Training Module for Adult Learners

Wan Zumusni Wan Mustapha (2012). *Instructional Technology Research, Design and Development: Lessons from the Field* (pp. 270-286).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/art-science-designing-developing-online/61275

Teacher PLCs and the Advancement of SEL

Ann M. Leonard and Rebecca H. Woodland (2023). *Exploring Social Emotional Learning in Diverse Academic Settings* (pp. 251-270).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/teacher-plcs-and-the-advancement-of-sel/321393

Concept Science Evidence-Based MERLO Learning Analytics

Uri Shafir and Ron S. Kenett (2016). *Handbook of Research on Applied Learning Theory and Design in Modern Education* (pp. 334-357).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/concept-science-evidence-based-merlo-learning-analytics/140751

Dialogues and Perception of Intersubjectivity in a Small Group

Mei-Chung Lin, Mei-Chi Chen and Chin-Chang Chen (2011). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/article/dialogues-perception-intersubjectivity-small-group/53546

Teaching Practice Supervisors' Experiences of Being Involved in Mobile App Development at an ODe-L Institution

Matshidiso Joyce Taole (2023). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/teaching-practice-supervisors-experiences-of-being-involved-in-mobile-app-development-at-an-ode-l-institution/323650