

Chapter 2.8

A Large-Scale Model for Working with Subject Matter Experts

Judith A. Russo-Converso
CSC, USA

Ronald D. Offutt
Northrup-Grumman Information Technology, USA

INTRODUCTION

The evolution of complex and distributed commerce requires the implementation of training design and development models that capture and mold the expertise of subject matter experts (SMEs). A SME is defined as “that individual who exhibits the highest level of expertise in performing a specialized job, task, or skill within the organization”. SMEs possess in-depth knowledge of the subject you are attempting to document (http://www.isixsigma.com/dictionary/Subject_Matter_Expert_-_SME-396.htm). This chapter describes a unique issue, and potential risk, along with a solution to work with a large number of geographically dispersed SMEs (separated from one another due to their respective locations), whose efforts are standardized and synchronized.

This solution is based on a collaboration model implemented and led by an integration team whose role and responsibility is to allow the SMEs to achieve consensus, efficiency, and standard of quality in both products and processes.

The model is exemplified using a current large-scale military eight-year initiative to design training support packages to prepare soldiers to use advanced technologies and employment concepts in a blended delivery format of live, virtual, and constructive. The Live-Virtual-Constructive environment combines any of these three approaches to create a common battlefield, on which live units can be represented along with virtual and constructive. These units can interact with one another and conduct a coordinated fight as though they were physically together on the same ground (United States Army Combined Arms Center, <http://usacac.army.mil/CAC/functions/constructive.asp>). This initiative will be used throughout

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-503-2.ch208

the chapter as our illustrative example as we describe the rising challenges and opportunities.

Therefore, this chapter will provide a detailed examination of the existing education and training development fundamentals that provided the framework to meet the requirements of this training design and development challenge. The first step in the process was to identify potential problems, issues, and/or potential risks of this training initiative. Two obvious issues were identified: 1) working with three different companies, each with their own internal structure and philosophy on training and development thus, resulting in a need for standardization; and 2) having a large number of individuals geographically dispersed, responsible for contributing to or creating the initiative's policies, processes, and products resulting in a need to find a means to work collaboratively from a distance.

Adding to the complexity of the initiative was acknowledging the nature of the training design and development team; the fact that it consists of forty (40) SMEs, analysts in the initiative, representing three leading defense contractor companies, known as the One Team Partners (OTP). To resolve the issue of standardization, a three-member integration team (IT) was assigned to facilitate the design and implementation of policies, procedures, and processes to accomplish the expected project goals and objectives of their primary customers by synchronizing, integrating and standardizing the SMEs' work.

The end-product (instructional/training product) was designed to support the instructional and training efforts for soldiers deployed, awaiting deployment, or conducting combat operations. The authors of this chapter are two members of the three-member IT, serving as the lead instructional designer and lead content SME. During the first three years of an eight-year initiative, this joint effort, using the collaboration model, has completed or is nearly completed with the initial planning and analysis phases (i.e., mission,

job, and task analyses) in preparation for the next phase, the design and development of training support packages.

Typically when managing an educational or training initiative, instructional designers (IDers) depend on the SME for their expertise in curriculum content. The IDers' involvement is critical during the analysis and design phases of a systematic instructional design approach.

However, in our illustrative example, the content SMEs were the lead component and instrumental in actively participating in the planning phase (the design and development of the policies, procedures, and processes) and were primarily responsible for writing the analyses results/findings. The content of the results were then reviewed by OTP IDers for writing convention format (e.g., use of acronyms, punctuation, spacing and numbering) and instructional design format (e.g., sequencing of steps, alignment of performance steps and sub-steps with performance measures). To meet this ID review requirement, each OTP has a SME IDer whose responsibility was to guide analysts (OTP SMEs) and to comply with the standards and guidelines related to instructional format and writing conventions. In addition, there were vertical and horizontal reviews conducted by other content SMEs (e.g., internal and external to the OTP) for accuracy and completeness in terms of breadth and depth of content, in context.

The intent of the IT in designing this methodology was to actively involve the SMEs from the onset, not only to capture their expertise, but also to gain and sustain their buy-in and commitment throughout the different phases of the initiative, and to do so primarily from a distance. Therefore, to resolve the second issue of the OTPs collaborating from a distance, the lead IT developed a process using technology (e.g., Web-based application and tools, relational database) to lessen the impact of being geographically dispersed.

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/large-scale-model-working-subject/51826

Related Content

In-Service Teachers' Perceptions of K-12 Online Learning Curriculum in a Graduate Course: An Action Research Project

M. Elizabeth Azukasand Michael K. Barbour (2021). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 61-81).

www.irma-international.org/article/in-service-teachers-perceptions-of-k-12-online-learning-curriculum-in-a-graduate-course/287537

Through the Looking Glass to Ourselves: Developing Self-Understanding in the Online Multicultural and Bilingual Teacher Education Classroom

Gwen Stowers, Kenneth Fasching-Varner, Mark Brimhall-Vargasand Christine Clark (2013). *Project Management Approaches for Online Learning Design* (pp. 258-270).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/through-looking-glass-ourselves/73284

Social Networks in University Classrooms: An Experience of Teaching and Learning with Pre-Service Teachers through Facebook

Inmaculada Gómez Hurtadoand José Manuel Coronel Llamas (2014). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 34-48).

www.irma-international.org/article/social-networks-in-university-classrooms/117455

Facilitating Linguistic and Academic Success for Newcomer English Language Learners: Essential Knowledge for Educators of Refugees

Melinda Trice Cowart (2019). *Handbook of Research on Assessment Practices and Pedagogical Models for Immigrant Students* (pp. 218-234).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/facilitating-linguistic-and-academic-success-for-newcomer-english-language-learners/229406

Internet Citizenship

Henry H. Emurianand Malissa Marie Carroll (2011). *Instructional Design: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 880-887).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/internet-citizenship/51857