

Chapter 2.1

A Comprehensive and Practical Green ICT Framework

Graeme Philipson
Connection Research, Australia

ABSTRACT

Most user organizations are implementing Green ICT to some extent. Some have adopted a deliberate policy, others are implementing it piecemeal as their ICT systems evolve. But many of them have not properly defined Green ICT, which means they cannot properly identify which areas to address. A comprehensive and practical Green ICT framework helps overcome this problem. Such a Green ICT framework can also provide metrics and measurements to guide its progress and ascertain its success. Measurement is important, because it enables benchmarking and comparisons, by quantifying the degree of implementation of Green ICT. User organizations can then be compared to

each other, or to themselves over time, to determine the extent and effectiveness of their Green ICT strategies. A Green ICT framework can also enable different industry sectors and even nations to be compared. This chapter outlines a research-based yet highly practical Green ICT framework. My organization, Envirability has developed this framework in conjunction with RMIT University. It is based on a 4 x 5 matrix with four vertical “pillars”: Lifecycle, End User IT, Enterprise and Data Center IT, and IT as a Low-Carbon Enabler. Each pillar lends itself to a five-level Capability Maturity Model metric which can be based on a detailed survey of the organization’s policies and practices in each area. The five horizontal dimensions, or “actions” are applied across the four pillars: Attitude, Policies, Practices, Technologies and Metrics This chapter presents the framework

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-472-1.ch201

and also outlines an approach to applying the framework to an organization to measure its Green ICT maturity by benchmarking its Green ICT activities. (Note to editor – abstract contains all terms to be indexed)

INTRODUCTION

This chapter outlines a research-based yet highly practical Green ICT framework. My organization, *Envirability* has developed this framework in conjunction with RMIT University. It is based on a 4 x 5 matrix with four vertical “pillars”: Lifecycle, End User IT, Enterprise and Data Center IT, and IT as a Low-Carbon Enabler. These pillars break down further into smaller, manageable elements that can be applied. Lifecycle, for example, comprise the three components of Procurement, Recycle and Reuse, and Disposal. Across these four pillars are five “actions”: Attitude, Policy, Behavior, Technology and Metrics. Such comprehensive framework, I believe, is vital to the application of Green ICT. This is so because Green ICT – sometimes called Green ICT – is heavily debated, discussed and analyzed, but there is little agreement on how it should be defined.

Once Green ICT is broken into its constituent components, it becomes possible to measure each component, using the Capability Maturity Model (CMM), a standardized way of quantifying the maturity of a process. These metrics are important for Green ICT because, as the old saying goes, you can’t manage what you can’t measure. We can take this further and say that you can’t measure what you can’t define. The metrics and measurements discussed in this chapter can be turned into a series of indices, which then allow organizations to be compared to each other, and to themselves over time.

This chapter explains the Green ICT framework we have developed, briefly examining each of its components. It also looks at the measurement – or benchmarking – process *Envirability* has devel-

oped to help organizations measure where they are in each aspect of their Green ICT process. The benchmarks are aligned with the Green ICT framework, allowing a granular approach to measuring Green ICT maturity. Such approach is a practical way of approaching Green ICT and lends itself to configuration in corresponding Carbon Emission Software Management (CEMS) tools (see a later chapter which I have co-authored).

Green ICT is often considered to be only about reducing the energy consumption and carbon footprint of the ICT function within the organization. ICT is a significant consumer of electricity worldwide, on a par with the airline industry. Therefore it makes sense, as emission reduction becomes desirable and even mandatory, that ICT users should look at ways of reducing the energy consumption of their systems.

Yet there is more to Green ICT than merely reducing the emissions from ICT devices within the organization. The “low hanging fruit” approach (ACS, 2009), which focuses on basic elements such as “switching off unused computers” is necessary but not sufficient to bring about a substantial reduction in the overall carbon footprint of an organization. That is why Green ICT in its entirety, as discussed here, is becoming an increasingly important issue. Green ICT goes beyond the ICT function and the ICT department – in many ways ICT, and Green ICT, is a central enabling technology to many aspects of sustainability. In very many cases ICT provides the measurement tool, the data repository, the reporting mechanism and the mitigation techniques that make sustainability possible.

WHY IS GREEN ICT IMPORTANT?

Green ICT is becoming an important issue for many reasons that directly affect organizations. This influence is not merely limited to being a good corporate citizen. Green ICT has the potential to positively influence the organization’s

13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/comprehensive-practical-green-ict-framework/51697

Related Content

A Scientometric Analysis of Studies in Turkey: Driving BIM Into Facilities Management

Ecem Tezeland Heyecan Giritli (2019). *International Journal of Digital Innovation in the Built Environment* (pp. 28-41).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-scientometric-analysis-of-studies-in-turkey/245734

Modeling Environmental Impacts on Viticultural Ecosystems: A First Case Study in a Regulated Wine Producing Area

Cyril Tissot, Etienne Neethling, Mathias Rouan, Gérard Barbeau, Hervé Quénoiland Céline Le Coq (2017). *International Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Information Systems* (pp. 1-20).

www.irma-international.org/article/modeling-environmental-impacts-on-viticultural-ecosystems/181818

Frameworks of Policy Making Under Climate Change

Costas P. Pappis (2011). *Climate Change, Supply Chain Management and Enterprise Adaptation: Implications of Global Warming on the Economy* (pp. 271-308).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/frameworks-policy-making-under-climate/46416

Effects of Human Culture Among AEC Professionals Towards Adaptation of Collaborative Technology in Industrialized Project Delivery

Maszura Abdul Ghafarand Rahinah Ibrahim (2020). *International Journal of Digital Innovation in the Built Environment* (pp. 36-48).

www.irma-international.org/article/effects-of-human-culture-among-aec-professionals-towards-adaptation-of-collaborative-technology-in-industrialized-project-delivery/255178

Pan-European Survey and Database of Environmental Assessment Factors

Julie A. Ewald, Robin J.A. Sharp, Pedro Bejaand Robert Kenward (2013). *Transactional Environmental Support System Design: Global Solutions* (pp. 97-119).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/pan-european-survey-database-environmental/72905