

## Chapter 1.15

# Improving Data Quality in Health Care

**Karolyn Kerr**  
*Simpl, New Zealand*

**Tony Norris**  
*Massey University, New Zealand*

### INTRODUCTION

The increasingly information intensive nature of health care demands a proactive and strategic approach to data quality to ensure the right information is available to the right person at the right time in the right format. The approach must also encompass the rights of the patient to have their health data protected and used in an ethical way. This article describes the principles to establish good practice and overcome practical barriers that define and control data quality in health data collections and the mechanisms and frameworks that can be developed to achieve and sustain quality. The experience of a national health data quality project in New Zealand is used to illustrate the issues.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60566-026-4.ch295

### BACKGROUND

Tayi and Ballou (1998) define data as “the raw material for the information age.” English (1999) builds on the idea of information as being data in context, with knowledge being information in context, where you know the significance of the information. Translating information into knowledge requires experience and reflection.

Klein and Rossin (1999) note there is no *single* definition of data quality accepted by researchers and those working in the discipline. Data quality takes a consumer-focused view (consumers being people or groups who have experience in using organisational data to make business decisions) that quality data are “data that are fit for use” (Loshin, 2001; Redman, 2001; Wang, Strong, & Guarascio, 1996). Data quality is ‘contextual’; the user defines

what is good data quality for each proposed use of the data, within its context of use (Pringle, Wilson, & Grol, 2002; Strong, Lee, & Wang, 1997). Therefore:

*Data are of high quality if they are fit for their intended uses in operations, decision-making, and planning. Data are fit for use if they are free of defects and possess desired features (Redman, 2001).*

Data quality is now emerging as a discipline, with specific research programmes underway within universities, the most significant being that of the Sloan School of Management Information Quality Programme at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)<sup>1</sup>. The field is based upon the well-established Quality Discipline, drawing on the work of Deming (1982) and the adaptation of the “*plan-do-check-act*” cycle (the Deming Cycle). It also draws upon the “*quality is free*” concept of Crosby (1980) arising from the notion that doing things wrong is costly, and imports the ideas behind the Six Sigma approach and Total Quality Management (Juran & Godfrey, 1999) adapted to Total Data Quality Management (TDQM) and the management of information as a product (Wang, Lee, Pipino, & Strong, 1998).

The research programmes are developing ways to combine TDQM with the strategic direction of the organization, aligning the data quality requirements with overall goals. At present, there is little research published in this area, although some organizations do have data quality programmes with some strategic alignment to the business requirements.

Data quality is also becoming an increasingly important issue for health care providers, managers and government departments. The movement towards total quality management in health care to improve patient safety and health care efficiency is demanding high quality information. Further, evidenced based care requires the assimilation of large amounts of relevant and reliable research data

available at the point of clinical decision making. Strategic prevention, national consistency of improvement practices, evolving data standards, and targeted improvements with increasing consumer involvement are all moving health care towards a TDQM model of data quality management.

Using the TDQM model, many of the methods developed in other industries can be useful for improving health care data. However, health data differ from data that arise in other fields in several ways. For example, the complex and multidisciplinary nature of medicine means that, unlike other sciences, health care data have no internationally standardized terminologies. This lack of standardization produces many homonyms, where the same term can mean different things depending on context, and synonyms, where there are several ways of expressing the same meaning. Added to these sources of ambiguity is the plethora of healthcare abbreviations which have no agreed format. The required longevity, privacy, and confidentiality concerns associated with health data are also distinguishing characteristics. Combine these inherent features with the need to share and integrate the data across distributed and disparate entities such as the Ministry or Department of Health, regional health boards, hospitals, general practices, and individual specialists, and it is hardly surprising that the improvement of healthcare data quality is multifaceted and more involved than in many other domains.

## **THE DEVELOPMENT OF A DATA QUALITY EVALUATION FRAMEWORK**

A common imperative in the health sector is the need to structure and improve the management of data quality within regional or national health data collections that aggregate patient health data and use the combined data for epidemiological and service planning purposes. Clinicians and managers recognize the need for assessment tools

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:  
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/improving-data-quality-health-care/49865](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/improving-data-quality-health-care/49865)

## Related Content

---

### Moving Toward an e-Hospital

Vidyaranya B. Gargeya and Deborah I. Sorrell (2005). *Creating Knowledge-Based Healthcare Organizations* (pp. 50-64).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/moving-toward-hospital/7226](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/moving-toward-hospital/7226)

### The Politics of Medical Curriculum Accreditation: Thoughts, Not Facts?

Evelyne de Leeuw (2012). *International Journal of User-Driven Healthcare* (pp. 53-69).

[www.irma-international.org/article/politics-medical-curriculum-accreditation/64331](http://www.irma-international.org/article/politics-medical-curriculum-accreditation/64331)

### Implementation Issues on a National Electronic Health Record Network

John McGaha (2013). *User-Driven Healthcare: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1236-1251).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/implementation-issues-national-electronic-health/73888](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/implementation-issues-national-electronic-health/73888)

### Human-Factor-Based Risk Management in the Healthcare to Improve Patient Safety

Barbara Streimelweger, Katarzyna Wacand Wolfgang Seiringer (2016). *International Journal of E-Health and Medical Communications* (pp. 16-28).

[www.irma-international.org/article/human-factor-based-risk-management-in-the-healthcare-to-improve-patient-safety/161696](http://www.irma-international.org/article/human-factor-based-risk-management-in-the-healthcare-to-improve-patient-safety/161696)

### New Telerehabilitation Services for the Elderly

António Teixeira, Carlos Pereira, Miguel Oliveira e Silva, Joaquim Alvarelhão, Anabela G. Silva, Margarida Cerqueira, Ana Isabel Martins, Osvaldo Pacheco, Nuno Almeida, Catarina Oliveira, Rui Costa, António Neves, Alexandra Queirós and Nelson Rocha (2013). *Handbook of Research on ICTs and Management Systems for Improving Efficiency in Healthcare and Social Care* (pp. 109-132).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/new-telerehabilitation-services-elderly/78020](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/new-telerehabilitation-services-elderly/78020)