

Chapter XVII

Graph Based Evolutionary Algorithms

Steven M. Corns

Iowa State University, USA

Daniel A. Ashlock

University of Guelph, Canada

Kenneth Mark Bryden

Iowa State University, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter presents Graph Based Evolutionary Algorithms. Graph Based Evolutionary Algorithms are a generic enhancement and diversity management technique for evolutionary algorithms. These geographically inspired algorithms are different from other methods of diversity control in that they not only control the rate of diversity loss at low runtime cost but also allow for a means to classify evolutionary computation problems. This classification system enables users to select an algorithm a priori that finds a satisfactory solution to their optimization problem in a relatively small number of fitness evaluations. In addition, using the information gathered by evaluating several problems on a collection of graphs, it becomes possible to design test suites of problems which effectively compare a new algorithm or technique to existing methods.

INTRODUCTION

Graph based evolutionary algorithms (GBEAs) are a diversity management technique for evolutionary algorithms which places the members of the evolving population on the vertices of a combinatorial graph. They also provide a generic improvement by reducing the number of fitness

evaluations required to find an acceptable solution at exceedingly low runtime cost through the selection of the correct graph as a population structure. The combinatorial graph provides a geography for the evolving population of solutions. Different graphs yield different levels of diversity preservation. The level of preservation needed for effective solution is problem specific.

The amount of diversity present in a population initially is related to population size, but, even in a large population, this initial diversity can disappear rapidly. Graph based evolutionary algorithms impose restrictions on mating and placement within the evolving population (new structures are placed in their parents' graph neighborhood). This simulates natural obstacles (like geography) or social obstacles (like the mating dances of some bird species) to mating (Kimura & Crow, 1963; Wright, 1986).

The GBEA technique differs from other AI enhancements to search and optimization in that they are a minor algorithmic modification that can be added to any evolutionary algorithm without requiring complex pre-calculation, costly additional data structures, or extensive modifications of the algorithm being enhanced. Only the adjacency matrix of a combinatorial graph need be added, and it is used only to limit mate choice – typically replacing a single call to a randomization algorithm. GBEAs are an exceptionally simple enhancement, provided the correct graph for a given problem is known.

When Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs) are used as an optimization process, populations of potential solutions are evolved to search a solution space for an acceptable answer. This often avoids problems with convergence to local optima that are found in gradient search methods (Goldberg, 1989) and enables the search of discrete spaces. These methods have provided novel and superior solutions for a wide range of problems in physics, engineering, biology, economics and many other areas (Blaize, Knight, & Rasheed, 1998; Fabbri, 1997; Keane, 1994; Vavak, Jukes, & Fogarty, 1997). A key issue when applying EAs is how to maintain sufficient diversity. When diversity loss is too rapid, an ineffective search of the solution space may occur, leading to premature convergence. When diversity is preserved too aggressively, the algorithm may converge slowly or not at all. The right amount of diversity is problem specific. A rule of thumb is that the

need to preserve diversity increases with problem complexity and deceptiveness (Bryden, Ashlock, Corns, & Willson, 2006).

There are several available methods for preserving diversity, including EcoGAs (Davidor, 1993), island GAs (Whitley & Starkweather, 1990), niching (Goldberg, 1989), and taboo search (Goldberg, 1989). EcoGAs and Island GAs are essentially the same as a type of GBEA, albeit using a less diverse class of graphs. EcoGAs use a grid shaped graph, and Island GAs use clusters of complete graphs connected through controlled migration events. Niching and taboo search require comparison of solutions in the evolving population either to all other solutions in the population or a taboo list, and so incur additional computational costs. GBEAs have exceedingly low computational overhead because of the fashion in which they mimic passive methods of diversity preservation found in nature.

Carefully choosing the connectivity of the combinatorial graphs used permits the level of diversity preservation to be increased or decreased (Bryden et al., 2006). Greater diversity causes more candidate solutions to evolve within the population. They may compete or cooperate (by juxtaposing compatible sub-structures) as they explore the search space. This can also result in finding multiple solutions for multi-modal problems.

An unexpected and welcome outcome of research on GBEAs has been that, by examining the effects that different graph structures have on the time to solution for different problems, it was observed that similar problems grouped together. This makes it possible to use the performance on different graphs as a taxonomic character, giving a similarity measure with which to compare problems. These graph-based characters of problems make it possible to generate and evaluate test suites that ensure a thorough evaluation of new techniques or algorithms. In addition, having a database of problems that documents the best graph for each problem allows the user to make

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/graph-based-evolutionary-algorithms/4985

Related Content

Multi-Objective Binary Fish School Search

Mariana Gomes da Motta Macedo, Carmelo J. A. Bastos-Filho, Susana M. Vieira and João M. C. Sousa (2018). *Critical Developments and Applications of Swarm Intelligence* (pp. 53-72).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/multi-objective-binary-fish-school-search/198921

Analysis and Implementation of Artificial Bee Colony Optimization in Constrained Optimization Problems

Soumya Sahoo, Sushruta Mishra, Brojo Kishore Kishore Mishra and Monalisa Mishra (2018). *Handbook of Research on Modeling, Analysis, and Application of Nature-Inspired Metaheuristic Algorithms* (pp. 413-432).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/analysis-and-implementation-of-artificial-bee-colony-optimization-in-constrained-optimization-problems/187698

News Headline Building using Hybrid Headline Generation Technique for Quick Gist

Urmila Shrawankar and Kranti Wankhede (2017). *International Journal of Natural Computing Research* (pp. 36-52).

www.irma-international.org/article/news-headline-building-using-hybrid-headline-generation-technique-for-quick-gist/188781

On Technological Specialization in Industrial Clusters

H. Dawid (2007). *Handbook of Research on Nature-Inspired Computing for Economics and Management* (pp. 367-378).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/technological-specialization-industrial-clusters/21140

Mechanical Models of Cell Adhesion Incorporating Nonlinear Behavior and Stochastic Rupture of the Bonds: Concepts and Preliminary Results

Jean-François Ganghoffer (2011). *Handbook of Research on Computational and Systems Biology: Interdisciplinary Applications* (pp. 599-627).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/mechanical-models-cell-adhesion-incorporating/52335