

## Chapter 1.18

# The Quality of Service Issue in Virtual Environments

**Pedro Morillo**

*Universidad de Valencia, Spain*

**Juan Manuel Orduña**

*Universidad de Valencia, Spain*

**Marcos Fernandez**

*Universidad de Valencia, Spain*

### INTRODUCTION

*Networked virtual environments* (NVEs) have become a major trend in distributed computing, mainly due to the enormous popularity of multi-player online games in the entertainment industry. Nowadays, NVE systems are considered as the supporting technology for many networked and virtual organizations (NVO) (Singhal & Zyda, 1999), especially to those classified within the field of computer supported cooperative work (CSCW), where networked computer can be seen as a standard to provide the technological means to support the team design (Ott & Nastansky, 1997). These highly interactive systems simulate a virtual world where multiple users share the same

scenario. The system renders the images of the virtual world that each user would see if he was located at that point in the virtual environment. Each user is represented in the shared virtual environment by an entity called *avatar*, whose state is controlled by the user through the client computer. Hundreds and even thousands of client computers can be simultaneously connected to the NVE system through different networks, and even through the Internet. NVE systems are currently used in many different applications (Singhal & Zyda, 1999) such as civil and military distributed training (Miller & Thorpe, 1995), collaborative design (Salles, Galli, Almeida et al., 1997) and e-learning (Bouras, Fotakis, & Philopoulos, 1998). Nevertheless, the most extended example of NVE systems are commercial multi-player online game (MOG) environments. These systems use the same

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-195-9.ch118

simulation techniques that NVE systems do, and they are predicted to make up over 25 percent of local area network (LAN) traffic by 2010 (McCreary & Claffy, 2000).

Although centralized server (also client-server) architectures or peer-to-peer (P2P) architectures were also proposed for NVE systems (Singhal & Zyda, 1999), architectures based on multiple servers are becoming a de-facto standard for this type of distributed systems (Greenhalgh, Bullock, Frecon et al., 2001; Lui & Chan, 2002; Steed & Abou-Haidar, 2003). The reason for this trend is that on one hand, architectures based on a single, centralized server are not scalable with the number of connected clients (particularly for the most extended application of NVE systems, multi-player online games). On the other hand, NVE systems based on peer-to-peer architectures seems to be scalable enough, but they must still efficiently solve the awareness problem. This problem consists of ensuring that each *avatar* is aware of all the *avatars* in its neighborhood (Smith, Hixon, & Horan, 2001).

In multiple server architectures, servers must contain the current status of different 3D models, perform positional updates of *avatars* and transfer control information among different clients. Thus, each new *avatar* represents an increase in both the computational requirements of the application and the amount of network traffic. When the number of connected clients increases, the number of updating messages must be limited in order to avoid a message outburst. In this sense, different approaches have been proposed in order to limit the number of surrounding *avatars* that a given *avatar* must communicate with. Concepts like areas of influence (AOI) (Singhal & Zyda, 1999; Zou, Ammar, & Diot, 2001), locales (Anderson, Barrus, Howard et al., 1995) or auras (Greenhalgh et al., 2001) define a neighborhood area for *avatars*, in such a way that a given *avatar* must notify its movements (by sending a message) only to those *avatars* located in its neighborhood. Thus, the AOI of a given *avatar* determines the

amount of network traffic generated by that *avatar*. Other approaches use three tiered architectures (Abrams, Watsen & Zyda, 1997; Lee & Lee, 2003) data filtering (Trefftz, Marsic, & Zyda, 2003) or distributed cache management (Capps, 2000) in order to minimize the impact of network traffic on the performance of the NVE systems.

Users in distributed systems usually perceive system performance either as the system *latency* (time interval required by the system in order to process each information unit) or as the system response time (Blommers, 1996; Duato, Yalaman-chili, & Ni, 1997). Nevertheless, users in a NVE system perceive system performance not only as system *latency*, but also as system *throughput*. System *throughput* can be defined as the maximum number of users that the system can simultaneously support. For example, users in a multi-player game environment want not only that the system quickly respond to their movements, but also they want that the system allow them to simultaneously play with the greatest number of players (users) as possible. In order to design actually efficient NVE systems, this special feature must be taken into account.

### THE QOS PROBLEM IN NVE SYSTEMS

The term *QoS* can be applied to any system, meaning that the system not only supports a given client but also it fulfills some specific requirements (potentially in different dimensions) of that client, regardless of eventual system bottlenecks. For example, in network environments the term *QoS* means the ability of some networks to conform to some specific user requirements of *latency*, jitter delays, traffic peaks, etc, regardless of the current network traffic (Alfaro, Sanchez, Orozco, & Duato, 2003). System bottlenecks arise because the system is designed with a given capacity in its resources, and the workload that the system supports (in computers, the amount of informa-

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:  
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/quality-service-issue-virtual-environments/49388](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/quality-service-issue-virtual-environments/49388)

## Related Content

---

### Development of IT and Virtual Communities

Stefano Tardini (2009). *Encyclopedia of Multimedia Technology and Networking, Second Edition* (pp. 349-355).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/development-virtual-communities/17422](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/development-virtual-communities/17422)

### Migration to IP Telephony

Khaled A. Shuaib (2005). *Encyclopedia of Multimedia Technology and Networking* (pp. 593-600).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/migration-telephony/17303](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/migration-telephony/17303)

### Enabling Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks

Jing Wang (2009). *Handbook of Research on Mobile Multimedia, Second Edition* (pp. 17-35).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/enabling-wireless-multimedia-sensor-networks/20992](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/enabling-wireless-multimedia-sensor-networks/20992)

### Message-Based Service in Taiwan

Maria Ruey-Yuan Lee and Feng Yu Pai (2005). *Encyclopedia of Multimedia Technology and Networking* (pp. 579-584).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/message-based-service-taiwan/17301](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/message-based-service-taiwan/17301)

### MINTCar: A Tool Enabling Multiple Source Multiple Destination Network Tomography

Laurent Bobelin (2012). *Advancements in Distributed Computing and Internet Technologies: Trends and Issues* (pp. 86-111).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/mintcar-tool-enabling-multiple-source/59679](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/mintcar-tool-enabling-multiple-source/59679)