

Chapter 1.10

Games, Simulations, and Simulation Games for Learning: Definitions and Distinctions

Louise Sauvé

Télé-université, Canada

Lise Renaud

University of Quebec in Montreal, Canada

David Kaufman

Simon Fraser University, Canada

ABSTRACT

The authors of this chapter carried out a systematic review of the literature from 1998 to 2008 with the goal of developing conceptual definitions of *game*, *simulation*, and *simulation game* based on their essential attributes. This chapter first describes the motivation for this project and its methodological approach. It then introduces the databases consulted, and the analysis grid used. Finally, it presents the review results, which suggest a differentiation among games, simulations and simulation games. This analysis is intended to improve the precision of future research studies concerning the effects on learning of games, simulations, and simulation games.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60690-195-9.ch110

INTRODUCTION

It is striking to note that, despite many studies, researchers and theoreticians do not always agree on precise meanings for the concepts of *game*, *simulation* and *simulation game*. Research to date on the learning efficacy of games, simulations and simulation games has suffered from an absence of clear concept definitions, comparing very different tools and activities without distinguishing among them. This has produced indecisive and sometimes divergent results. To attempt to remedy this methodological weakness, we carried out a systematic literature review to establish definitions and articulate the essential attributes of games, simulations, and simulation games (Sauvé et al., 2005), relating these definitions to the learning-oriented concept of *serious games*.

As seen in the examples of Crookall (1995); de Freitas, Savill-Smith, and Attewell (2006); Feinstein, Mann, and Corsun (2002); Kirriemuir and McFarlane (2004); Jones (1998); Sauv  (1985); Sauv  and St-Pierre (2003); and Wolfe and Crookall (1998), it is clear that the absence of consensus on terminology has led to contradictory research results on learning from games, simulations and simulation games. Since our larger project aims at examining the efficacy of games, simulations, and simulation games for learning, it is important to clearly define these concepts and to articulate their essential attributes.

It is not, however, easy to establish the critical attributes of these three types of activities when we are confronted with a plethora of definitions. Certain authors, notably supporters of *serious games* (e.g., Alvarez, 2007) opt for treating games and simulations as similar activities, emphasizing their technological attributes and the application domains in which they are used. Others identify certain characteristics (e.g., competition, risk, fantasy and suspense) which are more relevant to the spirit of game (Lh te, 1986) or to motivation¹ (Rieber, 1996) than to the concept itself. Others describe them from a purely technology or mathematical perspective (Landry, 2003)². Finally, many authors experiment with activities that they describe as games or simulations without defining them (e.g., Hunsaker, 2007; Mzoughi, Herring, Foley, Morris, & Gilbert, 2007). These practices reaffirm the importance and relevance of proposing essential attributes for games, simulations, and simulation games (Sauv , Renaud, Kaufman, & Marquis, 2007).

To attempt to remedy this methodological weakness, we carried out a systematic literature review to establish definitions and articulate the essential attributes of games, simulations and simulation games (Sauv  et al., 2005). According to Larousse en-ligne (www.larousse.fr), an attribute is defined as “*that which belongs, that which is inherent to something.*” We understand an essential attribute to be a characteristic or specific

property which describes the element; without this property, the element is no longer recognized as such. We address the essential attributes in this chapter. In the first section, six critical attributes of educational games are examined: (1) player(s), (2) conflict, (3) rules, (4) predetermined goal(s), (5) artificial character, and (6) educational character. In the second section, five attributes of educational simulations are explained: (1) a model of reality defined as a system, (2) dynamic, (3) simplified, (4) having validity, and (5) having an educational purpose. In the third part, four attributes of educational simulation games are described: (1) a simulation (model of a real or fictitious, simplified and dynamic system); (2) players in competition or cooperation; (3) rules; and (4) educational character. Simulation games are then related to “serious games” as the term is now being used in the literature. In conclusion, a distinction will be made among the three concepts.

EDUCATIONAL GAMES

The literature on video games and serious games does not distinguish between games, simulations and simulation games³ (Usta, Akbas, Cakir, & Ozdemir, 2008). Acknowledging that the essential attributes of a game are still very controversial, and that many authors define games to include attributes of a simulation, we base our argument, for the purposes of this chapter, on the authors who distinguish among the terms “game,” “simulation,” and “simulation game.”

According to Stolovitch (1983), four essential properties define a game: *contrivance*, *conflict*, *control*, and *closure*. In other words, a game describes a fictitious (contrived) situation in which players are in position of conflict either with others or against outside forces, where rules provide a control structure for player actions, and where players pursue the purpose of winning (closure). Chamberland, Lavoie and Marquis (1995) define a game as an “interaction of learners in an activity

24 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/games-simulations-simulation-games-learning/49380

Related Content

Design and Evaluation of Embodied Conversational Agents for Educational and Advisory Software

Elisabeth André (2011). *Gaming and Simulations: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 668-686).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/design-evaluation-embodied-conversational-agents/49411

Overview of Security Issues in Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks

José María De Fuentes, Ana Isabel González-Tablas and Arturo Ribagorda (2011). *Handbook of Research on Mobility and Computing: Evolving Technologies and Ubiquitous Impacts* (pp. 894-911).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/overview-security-issues-vehicular-hoc/50631

An Investigation of Current Online Educational Games

Yufeng Qian (2011). *Gaming and Simulations: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 1666-1681).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/investigation-current-online-educational-games/49471

Educational Gaming Avatars

Colette Wanless-Sobel (2011). *Gaming and Simulations: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 1023-1032).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/educational-gaming-avatars/49433

Media Synchronization Control in Multimedia Communication

Mya Sithuand Yutaka Ishibashi (2018). *Digital Multimedia: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1727-1763).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/media-synchronization-control-in-multimedia-communication/189551