

Chapter 138

Social Capital Knowledge

Daniel L. Davenport

University of Kentucky Chandler Medical Center, USA

Clyde W. Holsapple

University of Kentucky, USA

Category: Theoretical Foundations of Knowledge Management

INTRODUCTION

Organizations have capabilities for creating and sharing knowledge (intellectual capital) that give them their distinctive advantage over other institutional arrangements, such as markets (Ghoshal & Nahapiet, 1998). But, what is the basis of a firm's knowledge development capabilities? At least in part, the answer is that these capabilities stem from the social capital that an organization possesses as a result of bringing people together for extended periods of time, creating interdependence through specialization and integration, forcing interaction, and providing boundaries and directions. Following the resource-based theory of the firm (Conner & Prahalad, 1996), enterprises that cultivate particular forms of social capital are likely to realize competitive advantages (Ghoshal & Nahapiet, 1998).

This article traces the connections between an organization's social capital and the organization's

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-59904-931-1.ch138

development of knowledge. Understanding these connections is important for leaders of knowledge management initiatives, particularly if they seek to leverage knowledge production into enhanced competitiveness. We begin with a background discussion of the nature of social capital including its structural, cognitive, and relational dimensions. This is followed by a consideration of intellectual capital (i.e., knowledge that can be used to achieve an organization's purpose) and an explanation of the supportive role of social capital in furnishing conditions necessary for developing this knowledge. We describe a model of knowledge conversion processes whereby intellectual capital is developed within a social capital context known as Ba. Some future trends in socially-based processes of knowing by people and organizations are outlined, followed by concluding remarks.

BACKGROUND

Social capital is the "sum of actual and potential resources embedded within, available through, and derived from the network of relationships

possessed by an individual or social unit. Social capital thus comprises both the network and the assets that may be mobilized through that network” (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998, p. 243). All social capital constitutes some aspect of social structure and facilitates the actions of individuals within that structure (Coleman, 1990). Social capital is inherent in relationships among persons and is a productive asset facilitating some forms of social action while inhibiting others. It has three dimensions: (1) structural, (2) relational, and (3) cognitive.

The *structural dimension* of social capital includes three “properties of the social system and of the network of relations as a whole” (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998, p. 244): appropriable organization structure, network ties, and network configuration within a set of relationships. Appropriable organization structure refers to structure created for one purpose which provides a valuable source of resources for another purpose. Network ties are social relations that provide information benefits in the form of access, timing, and referrals. Network configuration refers to the structure of network ties that influence the range of information and the cost in accessing it.

The *cognitive dimension* of social capital includes those resources providing shared representations, interpretations, and systems of meaning among parties (Cicourel, 1973). Examples are shared language and codes, ontologies, and shared narratives.

- **Shared language and codes:** The means by which people discuss and exchange information, ask questions, and conduct business. Language and codes organize sensory data into perceptual categories and provide a frame of reference for observing and interpreting our environment. Language and codes filter our awareness. A common language enhances the capacities for sharing knowledge and for combining knowledge.
- **Ontologies:** Simplified, abstract views of

a domain adopted by participants in an organization that characterizes key concepts and offers axioms about them and their relationships (Gruber, 1995). Commitment by participants to an ontology promotes sharing and reuse of knowledge, collaborative exploration of the domain, and development of new knowledge about the domain.

- **Shared narratives:** Myths, stories, and metaphors that provide powerful means in communities for creating, exchanging, and preserving rich sets of meanings (Denning, 2000).

The *relational dimension* of social capital includes the kinds of personal relationships that people have developed with each other through a history of interactions (Granovetter, 1992). This dimension stems from, or is conditioned by, an organization’s culture and subcultures. It includes the trust, norms, obligations, and identification within a set of relationships.

Trust is a belief that results of an entity’s intended action will be beneficial (or at least not harmful) to our interests (Miztal, 1996). Factors that promote trust include open communication, participation in decision-making, sharing valuable knowledge, and sharing viewpoints and attitudes (Mishra & Morrissey, 1990). Where relationships are high in trust, people are more willing to engage in social exchange, in general, and cooperative interaction, in particular (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998). A norm exists when the socially-defined right to control an action is held not by the actor but by others; norms are expectations that bind (Kramer & Goldman, 1995). Norms may have a significant influence on exchange processes involved in knowledge development, opening up access to parties for the exchange of knowledge and ensuring the motivation to engage in such exchange (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998).

Obligations and expectations refer to commitments or responsibilities to undertake some activity in the future. They differ from norms in

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/social-capital-knowledge/49089

Related Content

Can Soft Systems Methodology Identify Socio-Technical Barriers to Knowledge Sharing and Management?: A Case Study from the UK National Health Service

Alan C. Gillies and Jeanette Galloway (2008). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 90-111).

www.irma-international.org/article/can-soft-systems-methodology-identify/2740

Gender Differences in Quality of Work Life: An Empirical Study

Shivani Agarwal (2020). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations* (pp. 52-59).

www.irma-international.org/article/gender-differences-in-quality-of-work-life/263037

The Implications of the Development and Implementation of CRM for Knowledge Management

Diana Luck (2010). *Knowledge Management Strategies for Business Development* (pp. 338-352).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/implications-development-implementation-crm-knowledge/38475

Task-Based Knowledge Management

Frada Burstein and Henry Linger (2006). *Encyclopedia of Knowledge Management* (pp. 840-847).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/task-based-knowledge-management/17035

A Knowledge Management Portal System for Construction Projects Using Knowledge Map

H. Ping J. Tserng and Yu-Cheng (V.) Lin (2005). *Knowledge Management in the Construction Industry: A Socio-Technical Perspective* (pp. 299-322).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-management-portal-system-construction/25014