

# Chapter 97

## Logic and Knowledge Bases

**John Grant**

*Towson University, USA*

**Francesco Parisi**

*University of Calabria, Italy*

*Category: Technologies for Knowledge Management*

### INTRODUCTION

Knowledge bases (KBs) must be able to capture a wide range of situations. One must be able to represent and answer questions regarding indefinite information where it is not clear that there is a unique answer to a question. One must also represent and answer questions about negative information. We discuss a powerful way to represent such information, namely through reasoning about knowledge bases using logic.

In the real world, information known at one time may change. However, in first order logic, information once known cannot change. This phenomenon is known as *monotonicity*. Since KBs deal with incomplete information, they are not monotonic. We shall discuss a form of *logic programming*, below, which is able to handle nonmonotonic information and situations required by KBs such as definite and indefinite data, and logical and default negation.

The question of how to adapt first order logic to handle complex situations started in the 1950s. Early systems handled problems in an ad hoc way. Several primitive deductive databases, (DDBs), function-free logic programs, were developed in the 1960s. Robinson (1965) developed a general method for automated theorem proving to perform deduction. This method is known as the Robinson

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-59904-931-1.ch099

Resolution Principle; it is a generalization of *modus ponens* to first order predicate logic. Green and Raphael (1968) were the first to recognize the importance and applicability of the work performed by Robinson and developed a system using this principle.

The modern era of DDBs started in the 1970s, when several researchers performed work in deduction using the Robinson Resolution Principle. Many significant contributions were described in the book *Logic and Data Bases*, edited by Gallaire and Minker (1978). Nicolas and Gallaire discussed the difference between model theory and proof theory. Reiter discussed the closed world assumption (CWA), whereby in a theory, if one cannot prove that an atomic formula is true, then the negation of the atomic formula is assumed to be true. The CWA is a default rule that permits one to make a decision on negation even if the decision may not be correct. Clark presented an alternative theory of negation, the concept of *if-and-only-if* conditions that underlie the meaning of negation, called *negation-as-finite-failure*. The Reiter and Clark papers are the first to formally define default negation in logic programs and deductive databases. Nicolas and Yazdanian described the importance of integrity constraints in deductive databases. The book provided, for the first time, a comprehensive description of the interaction between logic and databases, and knowledge bases.

References to work on the history of the development of the field of deductive databases and to a description of early systems may be found in Minker (1996).

## **BACKGROUND**

Much of the world's data is stored in relational databases. A relational database consists of tables, each with a fixed number of rows. Each row of a table contains information about a single object. For example, an employee table may contain col-

umns for an employee number, name, address, age, salary, and department name. Each row contains data about one employee. In the same database a department table may contain department name, department number, phone number, and manager's employee number. The connection between the two tables is provided by the common column on department name. Relational databases also allow for integrity constraints that prevent some types of incorrect updates. For example, the specification of employee number as the key of the employee table means that only one row is allowed for any employee number.

Writing a relational database in logic formalism, we associate a predicate with each table, using the same name for convenience. Then an atomic formula (*atom*), such as

Department(sales, 5, 1234567, 11223)

means that there is a row in the Department table with the values listed there. In general, an atom consists of a predicate and a set of arguments which may be constants, variables, or function symbols with arguments. We shall deal only with function-free atoms. Deductive databases extend the concept of relational databases by allowing tables to be defined implicitly by using a formula. In this example, we may define an intensional predicate,

Supervisor(emp1,emp2)  
←Employee(emp1,\_,\_,\_,dept1),  
Department(dept1,\_,\_,emp2)

to stand for the fact that emp2 is the manager of emp1's department. We use underscores to indicate irrelevant attributes.

This type of definition is allowed for relational databases, where it is called a *view*. However, the following definition:

Superior(emp1,emp2) ←  
Supervisor(emp1,emp2)

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/logic-knowledge-bases/49048](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/logic-knowledge-bases/49048)

## Related Content

---

### Application of Mental Health Education in the Scientific Research of People Education in Universities Under the New Engineering Background

Ying Yang (2025). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 1-19).

[www.irma-international.org/article/application-of-mental-health-education-in-the-scientific-research-of-people-education-in-universities-under-the-new-engineering-background/384774](http://www.irma-international.org/article/application-of-mental-health-education-in-the-scientific-research-of-people-education-in-universities-under-the-new-engineering-background/384774)

### Knowledge Patterns

Jörg Rech, Raimund L. Feldmann and Eric Ras (2011). *Encyclopedia of Knowledge Management, Second Edition* (pp. 833-841).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-patterns/49031](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-patterns/49031)

### Multi-Perspective Knowledge Distillation of LLM for NER in IPE Courses

Baian Gui and Weiming Sun (2025). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 1-17).

[www.irma-international.org/article/multi-perspective-knowledge-distillation-of-llm-for-ner-in-ipe-courses/372672](http://www.irma-international.org/article/multi-perspective-knowledge-distillation-of-llm-for-ner-in-ipe-courses/372672)

### Digital Disruption in the Accounting Profession: The Case of Greek Accountants

Athanasios A. Mandilas, Dimitrios Kourtidis, Ioannis E. Petasakis and Stavros Ioannis Valsamidis (2022). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations* (pp. 1-19).

[www.irma-international.org/article/digital-disruption-in-the-accounting-profession/305347](http://www.irma-international.org/article/digital-disruption-in-the-accounting-profession/305347)

### Personal Interaction Drives Innovation: Instrumental Guanxi-Based Knowledge Café Approach

Muhammad Waseem Bari and Meng Fanchen (2017). *Handbook of Research on Tacit Knowledge Management for Organizational Success* (pp. 176-200).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/personal-interaction-drives-innovation/181351](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/personal-interaction-drives-innovation/181351)