



Chapter IV

Relating IS Infrastructure to Core Competencies and Competitive Advantage

Terry Anthony Byrd
Auburn University, USA

The value of information technology (IT) in today's organizations is constantly debated. Researchers and practitioners have examined organizations to try to discover causal links between competitive advantage and IT. This paper presents and details a model that depicts a possible connection between competitive advantage and IT. Furthermore, this paper attempts to show how one major component of the overall IT resources, the information systems (IS) infrastructure, might yield sustained competitive advantage for an organization. More precisely, IS infrastructure flexibility is examined as an enabler of "core competencies" that have been closely related to sustained competitive advantage in the research literature. The core competencies enabled by IT that are the focus of this study are mass customization and time-to-market. By showing that IS infrastructure flexibility acts as an enabler of these competencies, the relationship to sustained competitive advantage is demonstrated.

INTRODUCTION

A fiercely competitive business environment is an omnipresent reality in many commercial industries today. Forces such as global competition, ever changing consumer attitudes, rapidly decreasing cycles of technological innovations, social and cultural upheavals, and instantaneous access to widespread information have been catalysts of this competitive climate. These competitive pressures have prompted business organizations in virtually every industry to institute radical

This chapter appears in the book, *Advanced Topics in Information Resources Management* by Mehdi Khosrow-Pour.

Copyright © 2002, Idea Group Publishing.

organizational initiatives and mandates to do battle among themselves. In recent years, senior management in large and small organizations has tried many different maneuvers such as total quality management (Choi & Behling, 1997), reengineering (Hammer, 1990; Hammer & Champy, 1993), downsizing (Robbins & Pearce, 1992), rightsizing (Zeffane & Mayo, 1994), and flattening organizational structures (Daft & Lewin, 1993; Heydebrand, 1989) to stay competitive or to gain a sustained competitive advantage.

Many researchers and practitioners have advocated using information technology (IT) as a source of competitive advantage (Benjamin, Rockart, & Scott Morton, 1984; Clemons, 1986, 1991; Feeny, 1988; King, Grover, & Hufnagel, 1989; Neo, 1988; Parsons, 1983; Porter & Millar, 1985). Companies such as Wal-Mart, American Airlines, and Baxter International have been cited as corporations that gained sustained competitive advantage from IT. This paper investigates this concept of IT being an agent of competitive advantage and attempts to show how one major component of the overall IT resource, information systems (IS) infrastructure flexibility, might yield sustained competitive advantage for a firm. More precisely, IS infrastructure flexibility is examined through its relationships as an enabler of core competencies that have been closely linked to sustained competitive advantage in the management literature. The core competencies that are closely linked here with IS infrastructure flexibility are mass customization and time-to-market.

At one time, the competitive value of IT was thought to come from so-called strategic information systems (SISs) (Reich & Benbasat, 1990; Sabherwal & King, 1995; Sabherwal & Tsoumpas, 1993; Wiseman, 1988). SISs change the goals, operations, products, or environmental relationships of organizations to help them gain an advantage, at least temporarily, over other companies in their industry (Wiseman, 1988). During the 1980s and early 1990s, strategic systems like American Airlines' Sabre System (Hopper, 1990), Digital Equipment Corporation's XCON (Sviokla, 1990), Federal Express's tracking and sorting system (Stahl, 1995), and Baxter's International ASAP system (Scott, 1988) were popular. Many companies were desperately trying to develop their own SISs to win customers and market share.

However, some recent research evidence has cast doubt on the ability of SISs to sustain competitive advantage for their companies. Mata, Fuerst, and Barney (1995) reasoned that proprietary technologies like SISs are becoming increasingly difficult to keep proprietary. They noted that a wide variety of factors—workforce mobility, reverse engineering, and formal and informal technical communications—are present to disseminate detailed information about proprietary technology like SISs. Kettinger, Grover, Subashish, and Segars (1994) provided evidence that companies implementing SISs typically did not maintain their competitive advantage over time without other factors being present. In their study, they uncovered information that the preexistence of unique structural characteristics is an important determinant of SISs' outcomes, that is, whether they provide sustained competitive advantage or not. Neumann (1994) also rationalized that SISs need complementary

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/relating-infrastructure-core-competencies-competitive/4578

Related Content

The Impact of Perceived Innovation Characteristics on Intention to Use Groupware

Craig Van Slyke, Hoa Louand John Day (2002). *Information Resources Management Journal* (pp. 1-12).

www.irma-international.org/article/impact-perceived-innovation-characteristics-intention/1184

Addressing the Cultural Dimensions of E-Learning: where to Begin?

Andrea L. Edmundson (2008). *Information Communication Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2252-2267).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/addressing-cultural-dimensions-learning/22814

Spreadsheets as Knowledge Documents: Knowledge Transfer for Small Business Web Site Decisions

Stephen Burgessand Don Schauder (2003). *Annals of Cases on Information Technology: Volume 5* (pp. 521-537).

www.irma-international.org/article/spreadsheets-knowledge-documents/44562

Business Rule Management for Enterprise Information Systems

Shouhong Wangand Hai Wang (2010). *Information Resources Management Journal* (pp. 53-73).

www.irma-international.org/article/business-rule-management-enterprise-information/38910

The Integration of Library, Telecommunications, and Computing Services in a University

Susan A. Sherer (1999). *Success and Pitfalls of Information Technology Management* (pp. 200-212).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/integration-library-telecommunications-computing-services/33492