

E*Trade Securities, Inc., Pioneer On-Line Trader, Struggles to Stay on Top

Adam T. Elegant and Ramiro Montealegre
University of Colorado, Boulder, USA

*E*Trade revolutionized the securities brokerage industry by “creating” Internet trading. E*Trade’s original strategy was to deliver cost savings to customers while amortizing fixed costs over a greater number of accounts. In 1997, several competitors established Internet sites and E*Trade was dethroned as the price leader. Its management team introduced a strategic initiative to transform the company into a financial, one-stop shop for investors. The initiative included expanding its information technology, improving its marketing and advertising program, and developing new strategic alliances.*

*By early 1999, E*Trade had established a popular web site offering the convenience and control of automated stock, options, and mutual fund order placement at low commission rates. E*Trade’s success pleased management but was challenged by fierce competition and emerging ethical and operational problems.*

BACKGROUND

The Securities Brokerage Industry

Before the securities industry deregulation on May 1, 1975, *full-service brokerages* charging fixed commissions were the only firms in the industry (Glasgall, 1999). A full-service broker is a stockbroker who gives personal attention and advice to clients and charges a flat fee or percentage of the transaction. Such a broker acts as an agent, providing advice and buying or selling securities for the client. The client interacts with the broker face to face or over the telephone. Full-service brokers provide a wide array of services, including investment strategizing, estate planning, and insurance advice, and they usually attempt to influence their clients’ investment decisions.

After deregulation, most full-service brokers began to target households with assets

ranging from \$100,000 into the millions. In addition, given that commissions were no longer fixed, *discount brokerage firms* began to appear that targeted price-sensitive, self-directed investors who did not require the level of service and high-priced advice offered by full-service firms. Discount brokerage firms made profit from margin balances and per-trade commissions; technology also enabled them to employ less-skilled labor. Fidelity and Charles Schwab, two of the dominant discounted brokerage firms in the mid-1990s, led the charge by introducing lower-cost investment services without advice at significantly lower commissions. Discount brokerage customers typically had assets ranging from \$5,000 to \$250,000. To execute trades, they could visit a branch office or they could call an “800” number to speak with a “live order taker” who would place their trade orders but was prohibited from giving any investment advice. Over time, discount brokers added touch-tone trading, which offered further commission reductions to investors who would key-in their trade orders.

By 1995, with the continued expansion of the Internet, technology offered another alternative with more convenience, lower costs, and easy access to investment information: on-line trading. Most on-line trading firms were offering customers numerous ways to access their accounts and place trades, including individual company web sites, direct dial-up connections, on-line services (America Online, CompuServe, and Microsoft Network), interactive television, touch-tone telephone service, a broker on the telephone (as a situational alternative to on-line trading, for an additional fee), and 3Com Palm Pilots (available through selected on-line trading firms). With on-line trading, investors paid lower commissions—ranging from \$10 to \$30. They had full control over their investment decisions, with no one to blame but themselves—investors could enter trade orders any time of the day or night (Piper Jaffray, 1998d). On-line brokerage accounts could be opened with as little as \$1,000. While these firms first targeted frequent traders by offering low commissions, on-line trading evolved to support the needs of almost any individual investor.

History of the Company

E*Trade was a pioneer in on-line trading, a phenomenon that was rapidly changing the face of investing for both individuals and the firms that served them. The firm’s growth mirrored that of the on-line trading industry as a whole. Trade*Plus, the company that would later become E*Trade, was founded as a service bureau in 1982 by Bill Porter, a physicist and inventor with more than a dozen patents to his credit. The company provided on-line quote and trading services to Fidelity, Charles Schwab, and Quick & Reilly. Using primitive information technology, Porter placed the first on-line trade on July 11, 1983. He imagined that someday everyone would own computers and “invest through them with unprecedented efficiency and control.”¹ He spent most of the 1980s refining and adding to his vision without introducing his plan to the world.

In 1992, Porter founded E*Trade Securities, Inc. and began providing back-office, on-line processing services to discount brokerage firms and offering all-electronic investing through America Online and CompuServe. The minimum balance required by E*Trade to open an account was \$1,000 (\$2,000 for a margin account), well below industry standards at that time, and only a short, user-friendly application was required to set up an account. E*Trade began offering on-line trading through its company web site in February 1996, and the demand flourished. To transact securities, a customer had only to logon to E*Trade via

24 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/trade-securities-inc-pioneer-line/44609

Related Content

Intelligent Software Agents in E-Commerce

Mahesh S. Raisinghani, Cristpoher Klassenand Lawrence L. Schkade (2005). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, First Edition* (pp. 1603-1606). www.irma-international.org/chapter/intelligent-software-agents-commerce/14481

Decision-Making Support Systems

Guisseppi Forgionne, Manuel Mora, Jatinder N.D. Guptaand Ovsei Gelman (2009). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Second Edition* (pp. 978-984). www.irma-international.org/chapter/decision-making-support-systems/13694

The Post-Adoption Phase of Broadband in Small Businesses

Roya Gholami, Elizabeth Kohand John Lim (2010). *Information Resources Management Journal* (pp. 35-52). www.irma-international.org/article/post-adoption-phase-broadband-small/38909

The Expert's Opinion

Mehdi Khosrow-Pour, D.B.A. (1990). *Information Resources Management Journal* (pp. 39-42). www.irma-international.org/article/expert-opinion/50931

Examining Online Purchase Intentions in B2C E-Commerce: Testing an Integrated Model

C. Ranganathanand Sanjeev Jha (2007). *Information Resources Management Journal* (pp. 48-64). www.irma-international.org/article/examining-online-purchase-intentions-b2c/1326