Chapter 6.6 Business Process Modification Management

Benjamin Urh University of Maribor, Slovenia

Tomaž Kern University of Maribor, Slovenia

Matjaž Roblek University of Maribor, Slovenia

INTRODUCTION

The pace of development of products and services has rapidly increased in the last two decades. Enterprises are expected to respond to new market requirements with their products and services in the shortest possible time. Expanding globalized markets makes response to the changing demand for products and services even more imperative for enterprises. Executives in companies have become aware that the saying, "Fail to keep pace with time and time will pass you by," applies more than ever. This situation has become a factor to be reckoned with by all enterprises, causing a lot of headache to executives. The existing organizational structure of companies was simply unable to cope with new and fastchanging market demands. The established way of doing things and classical organizational structures (guidelines were described by Max Weber), which may have served companies well for decades became too rigid and ill-suited for flexible adjustment to new demands. The executives attempted to solve problems in companies, resulting from changing demands, by staff reorganizations. However, it quickly became apparent that the selected solution failed to deliver and soon new reorganizations were needed. The new organizational structure was suitable only until new demands appeared on the market.

Organizational structures define responsibilities and competences, guide the flow of information in an enterprise, and assist in work coordination. They

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-59904-885-7.ch015

represent a stable part of an enterprise's organization, designed for repeat activities. Organizational structures specify the division of work of performers, work hierarchy and methods for achieving goals. However, the business environment in the last decade is notable for its turbulence where the only constant is change, as it is often said.

Experts in the field, both theoretical and practical, have, in the last few decades, paid special attention to organizational structures. The emphasis was on finding the organizational structure providing success and efficiency of enterprises in the new situation. The fact that companies function on the basis of different processes taking place within them, which may be relatively numerous, has eluded them for a long time. Of course not all processes are equally important, some are of key importance, while others are less significant. The majority of key processes run through several managerial positions, departments and offices.

Among the first ones to point out the new discovery of processes were Hammer and Champy (1993), who also wrote the bestseller, "Re-Engineering the Corporation: A Manifesto For Business Revolution," which caused a revolution in the business world. Since the 1990s, many companies have tried to solve their problems by reinventing their business processes (Davenport, 1992). The most commonly used term in literature is business process reengineering (BPR), which requires radical changes in work implementation and establishing processes. In addition to business process reengineering, a number of other approaches to reinventing business operations appear in that period, more than 20, for example, continuous process improvement (Harkness, Kettinger, & Sagars, 1996), total quality management (Deming, 1982), business process regeneration (Kettinger & Teng, 2000), business process redesign (Davenport & Short, 1990), Kaizen and others, supported by particular methodologies. The entire range of approaches has more or less the same aim, namely to improve the efficiency of business enterprises. The approaches differ in the methods proposed for achieving the goal, ranging from fast and radical to slow and gradual changes.

The persons responsible in companies for the reinvention of business processes quickly established that reinvented processes and the changed organizational structure of an enterprise demand a changed and improved information system (Davenport & Beers, 2000). Reinvention of business processes is often introduced together with the implementation of a new integrated information system in the enterprise, the most commonly used term in literature is enterprise resource planning (ERP), or some other partial solution (IDS Scheer, 2000). Both the introduction of a new information system and reinvention of business processes are usually one-off processes requiring substantial input from companies. However, the market and customers' demands change virtually on a daily basis and require companies to continuously improve and adapt their processes to new changes and demands. Company executives have realized that reinventing business processes is not enough, processes need to be constantly adapted and improved even after successfully implemented reengineering, if they want good results. In other words, reinventing business processes does not mean that the job of establishing and adjusting processes is completed, instead it represents a milestone, an actual start of changes, which companies must take on if they want to retain their competitive edge.

FROM BPM TO BPE

Executives in companies, in which they have realized that their future can be successfully planned only by constant adjustments to new situations, demands and conditions, follow the guidelines set up in the last few years. Guidelines being a somewhat logical extension of reengineering are business process management (BPM) (Holt, 2000; 10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/business-process-modification-

management/44165

Related Content

The Business Knowledge and Information Policy Model

Mujgan San (2010). Business Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications (pp. 1784-1800).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/business-knowledge-information-policy-model/44168

In Search of Social Intelligence

Jairo Simião Dornelasand James Anthony Falk (2014). *Information Systems and Technology for Organizational Agility, Intelligence, and Resilience (pp. 41-61).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/in-search-of-social-intelligence/107101

Cybersecurity Workforce Development Through Innovative High School Programs

Aikyna Finch, Darrell Norman Burrell, Calvin Nobles, Kevin Richardson, Horace C. Mingo, Jennifer Ferreras-Perez, Philip Shen, Laura Ann Jonesand Katrina Khanta (2023). *Handbook of Research on Cybersecurity Risk in Contemporary Business Systems (pp. 168-185).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/cybersecurity-workforce-development-through-innovative-high-schoolprograms/321018

Issues Facing Website Evaluation: Identifying a Gap

Ahmad Ghandour, Kenneth R. Deansand George L. Benwell (2012). *Measuring Organizational Information Systems Success: New Technologies and Practices (pp. 233-252).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/issues-facing-website-evaluation/63455

Architectural Slicing to Support System Evolution

Jianjun Zhaoand Limin Xiang (2005). *Managing Corporate Information Systems Evolution and Maintenance* (pp. 197-210).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/architectural-slicing-support-system-evolution/25749