Chapter 6
Doctoral Faculty 2020:
Preparing for the Future in Organizational Leadership

Peter E. Williams
University of La Verne, USA

L. Hyatt
University of La Verne, USA

ABSTRACT

Leaders are in demand and leadership institutions are necessary to prepare students for important roles in our society (Green, Alexander, & Boryczka, 2001). Faculty are a central factor in graduate and post-graduate programs as they are responsible for guiding student learning (Bryant, 2003). This case reports on an exploratory case study of 21st century competencies for faculty in an organizational leadership doctoral program. Esteemed researchers have conducted studies regarding transitioning students to become new faculty (e.g. Austin, 2002; Austin, Connolly, & Colbeck, 2008; Gardner, 2005; Purcell 2007); however, little research exists specific to the new-century skills necessary for doctoral faculty in leadership programs. As the surge in technology continues to bring about global changes, preparing potential leaders is our best hope going forward. It follows then, that it is important to discover the competencies doctoral faculty will need in order to address the challenges of the future.

BACKGROUND

U.S. Doctoral Education

By the mid-1800s higher education institutions in America had begun to recognize a need for further intellectual preparation (Storr, 1969). Rather than representing the "completion of formal study," as was its original intent, undergraduate degrees became known as a "first degree of academic life" (p. 1). Even though these degrees provided students with sufficient general knowledge about certain fields e.g. philosophy, religion, mathematics, and social and physical sciences, Storr states that students "…did not necessarily love good literature, recognize their own special talents, or command any profound knowledge of things outside the limits of conventional learning" (p. 2).

Although undergraduate level institutions provided new materials for students, they rarely

treated subjects thoroughly. Storr (1969) notes that the professors did not necessarily leave lasting impressions with students, and coverage of materials offered only occasional opportunities for student dialogue and debate. The need for universities to offer more than undergraduate studies and preparation for specific areas, such as medicine and law, inevitably gave rise to the graduate school.

In 1861, Yale University awarded the first U.S. doctorates. It took another decade for the University of Pennsylvania and Harvard University to begin conferring doctorates. In addition to acknowledging a need for graduate and post graduate education, there were additional events that drove an increase in doctoral education in America. The two Morrill Land Grant Acts, the first in 1862, provided land for states to establish colleges to meet the new industrial needs of society. The second Morrill Land Grant Act in 1890 led to the development of colleges in response to segregation resulting in historically black colleges and universities (HBCUs). While the Morrill Land Grant Acts were growing the number of public higher education institutions, there was also an increase in the number of private colleges and universities forming (e.g.: Johns Hopkins University, 1876; Stanford University, 1891; University of Chicago, 1892) and/or reorganizing (e.g.: Columbia and Harvard) to offer graduate education. Although the U.S. model of doctoral education was established by the late 1880s and early 1900s, large numbers of American students were still drawn to European institutions especially those in Germany. This was attributed to a lack

of regulations and accountability, and a perceived inconsistency in the quality of U.S. colleges and universities (Speicher, 2000).

To stem the tide of the student exodus, 14 leading U.S. doctoral-granting institutions sent representatives to a conference in January, 1900 at the University of Chicago. This resulted in the formation of the Association of American Universities (AAU). The founding AAU member institutions are listed in Figure one.

During the 1930s, 1940s, and 1950s U.S. graduate and post graduate education grew steadily. The federal government increasingly looked to universities for research in technology and policy in the New Deal era of the 1930s. The emphasis on research continued producing alliances with such federal government agencies as the National Institutes of Health (NIH) in 1946, The Office of Naval Research (ONR) 1949, and the National Science Foundation (NSF) in 1950 (Geiger, 1993). A significant driver of U.S. graduate and post graduate education came from another European event. The Soviet Union's launching of the Sputnik satellite in 1957 resulted in another growth spurt in institutions granting doctorates. Research and development spending tripled between 1957 and 1970 and higher education more than doubled rising from three million to seven million (Thurgood, Golladay, & Hill, 2006).

Steady but slowed growth characterized the 1980s and most of the 1990s. However, at the beginning of the 21st century, in 2003, the successful plotting of the human genome fostered renewed interest in universities and research. Currently, university research attentions are fo-

Table 1. Colleges and universities at the 1900 AAU meeting (AAU, 2003)

Catholic University of America	Johns Hopkins University	University of Michigan
Clark University	Princeton University	University of Pennsylvania
Columbia University	Stanford University	University of Wisconsin-Madison
Cornell University	University of California-Berkeley	Yale University
Harvard University	University of Chicago	

Related Content

Enhancing Web Search through Query Log Mining
Ji-Rong Wen (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 758-763).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/enhancing-web-search-through-query/10905

Reasoning about Frequent Patterns with Negation
Marzena Kryszkiewicz (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 1667-1674).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/reasoning-frequent-patterns-negation/11042

Evaluation of Decision Rules by Qualities for Decision-Making Systems
Ivan Bruha (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 795-801).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/evaluation-decision-rules-qualities-decision/10911

Topic Maps Generation by Text Mining
Hsin-Chang Yangand Chung-Hong Lee (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 1979-1984).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/topic-maps-generation-text-mining/11090

Association Rule Hiding Methods
Vassilios S. Verykios (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 71-75).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/association-rule-hiding-methods/10800