


Chapter 4


AI-Enhanced Agile Delivery Forecasting With Probabilistic Models, Flow Metrics, and Human Governance

Gusti Muhamad Sardana

 <http://orcid.org/0009-0001-4281-2432>

Universitas Esa Unggul, Indonesia

Binastya Anggara Sekti

 <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5489-4888>

Universitas Esa Unggul, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Accurate delivery forecasting remains a challenge in Agile software development due to uncertainty, evolving requirements, and complex socio-technical dynamics. Traditional estimation techniques often produce deterministic predictions that fail to communicate delivery risk and scale effectively across teams. This chapter presents an AI-enhanced Agile delivery forecasting framework that integrates probabilistic models, flow metrics, and human-in-the-loop governance. Probabilistic techniques such as Monte Carlo simulation and Bayesian inference are combined with flow-based metrics, including cycle time, throughput, and work-in-progress, to generate adaptive and risk-aware forecasts across sprint, release, and portfolio levels. Machine learning methods support continuous refinement of predictions using historical and

DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3373-6851-1.ch004

Copyright © 2026, IGI Global Scientific Publishing. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global Scientific Publishing is prohibited. Use of this chapter to train generative artificial intelligence (AI) technologies is expressly prohibited. The publisher reserves all rights to license its use for generative AI training and machine learning model development.

real-time data, while human oversight ensures contextual interpretation, ethical use, and accountability. The chapter illustrates how combining AI with human judgment improves delivery predictability while preserving core Agile principles.

1. INTRODUCTION

Agile software development has reshaped how organizations deliver digital products and services by emphasizing iterative progress, customer collaboration, and adaptive planning rather than rigid, long-term forecasting. Despite its widespread adoption, however, accurate forecasting of completion dates, resource requirements, and delivery timelines remains a persistent challenge in Agile delivery contexts. Stakeholders across organizational levels ranging from product owners and development teams to executives and customers continue to require forecasts that are sufficiently reliable to inform decisions related to resource allocation, budget planning, and strategic commitments (Chowdhury et al., 2024).

Traditional forecasting approaches in Agile environments have largely relied on velocity-based calculations, story point estimations, and expert judgment through techniques such as planning poker. While these methods can be effective for short-term, sprint-level planning, their limitations become apparent when organizations attempt to forecast at scale, coordinate multi-sprint releases, or explicitly account for the uncertainty and variability inherent in complex software development efforts (Sarwar & Rahman, 2024). Increasing system complexity, distributed team structures, and volatile market conditions have further challenged the adequacy of these conventional approaches, highlighting the need for more systematic, data-informed forecasting practices (Velikov & Ivanova, 2025).

Within Agile practice, long-term forecasting has often been viewed as incompatible with Agile principles, leading some practitioners to adopt a deliberately cautious stance toward predictive commitments. While this perspective aligns with Agile's emphasis on adaptability, it can create friction with legitimate organizational needs for planning and coordination. Common estimation techniques such as story points and planning poker, although valuable for facilitating shared understanding and relative sizing, exhibit well-documented weaknesses. Story point estimates are inherently subjective and context-dependent, varying across teams and over time, which limits their usefulness for cross-team comparison and long-term analysis (Tasneem et al., 2025). Similarly, planning poker is susceptible to cognitive biases, including anchoring and groupthink, and struggles to adequately represent uncertainty in complex, interdependent work. As these techniques typically yield point estimates rather than probability distributions, they often obscure uncertainty and can unintentionally encourage stakeholders to treat estimates as firm commitments

44 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/ai-enhanced-agile-delivery-forecasting-with-probabilistic-models-flow-metrics-and-human-governance/406841

Related Content

Agent-Based Modeling for Simulation of Complex Business Systems: Research Design and Validation Strategies

Christoph Schlueter Langdon (2005). *International Journal of Intelligent Information Technologies* (pp. 1-13).

www.irma-international.org/article/agent-based-modeling-simulation-complex/2386

Efficient Multi Focus Image Fusion Technique Optimized Using MOPSO for Surveillance Applications

Nirmala Paramanandhamand Kishore Rajendiran (2018). *International Journal of Intelligent Information Technologies* (pp. 18-37).

www.irma-international.org/article/efficient-multi-focus-image-fusion-technique-optimized-using-mopso-for-surveillance-applications/204951

Incentive Mechanism for Federated Learning in Data Heterogeneity and Consumer Privacy Protection

Yang Cao, Huimin Cai, Ting Zhiand Guilin Guan (2026). *International Journal of Intelligent Information Technologies* (pp. 1-29).

www.irma-international.org/article/incentive-mechanism-for-federated-learning-in-data-heterogeneity-and-consumer-privacy-protection/408164

Looking Ahead: The Next Decade of Neuromarketing and Quantum AI

Vineet Singhand Pranjali Gajbhiye (2025). *The Quantum AI Era of Neuromarketing* (pp. 429-436).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/looking-ahead/365532

Content, Context & Connectivity Persuasive Interplay

Christian Grund Sørensen (2013). *International Journal of Conceptual Structures and Smart Applications* (pp. 69-77).

www.irma-international.org/article/content-context--connectivity-persuasive-interplay/100455