


Chapter 9

Job Insecurity and Tenure Pressures: A Faculty Perspective

Dilpreet Kaur

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0517-1688>

Sri Balaji University, Pune, India

Namrata Singh

NIET Business School, Greater Noida, India

Mohammad Ahsan

Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Tripti Desai

New Delhi Institute of Management, India

ABSTRACT

The labyrinthine realities of academia are underscored by a paradoxical juxtaposition: the desire to be one's own master and the general instability of careers' paths. This chapter problematizes the interaction between job insecurity and tenure demands, outlining the negative effects they hold for both individual and system wellness among faculty members. The tenure-track model promising stability and academic freedom produces the existential drama where untenured scholars face increasing scores of assessments, bureaucracy, and potential dismissal. Combining a survey of the extant literature with first-person narratives, the discourse explains how this lack of security negatively impacts employees' well-being, including through generating constant stress, imposter feelings, and the demotivation stemming from fear of termination. It also provides concerns towards systematic structures that enhance these pressures; adjunct faculty endure professional marginalization; and women and underrepresented racial minorities face double-vulnerability from structural racism. The final parts of the chapter review strategic directions for broad change, including the work on equitable faculty promotion and tenure processes, clear and fair assessment systems, as well as comprehensive support systems for diverse faculty populations. This discourse aims to disrupt the celebration of overwork and approach the tenure process as one promoting positive change in the expectations of academic work, productivity, and lives, promoting a healthier, welcoming, and growth-oriented vision for academicians.

DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3373-0928-6.ch009

1. INTRODUCTION: THE PARADOX OF ACADEMIA

1.1 outline of the Duality of Academic Careers: Autonomy vs. Instability

Academic careers settle a singular place within the broader professional landscape, delivering an enticing assurance of intellectual autonomy that is paradoxically tethered to an underlying structure of systemic instability. While the academy sets itself as a shelter for free inquiry and innovative exploration, it simultaneously works on precarious employment networks that exacerbate apprehension (R. Kumar, Lande, Kumar, et al., 2023). On the one hand, scholars are afforded an extent of latitude exceptional in most occupations—control over their research revolutions, the ability to challenge persisting paradigms, and the prospect of contributing to expanding human learning. However, this autonomy frequently exists in a tenuous equilibrium with the deep precarity that represents the professional lives of many faculty fellows. Career insecurity looms eternally for those steering the labyrinthine tenure-track design or existing in adjunct or contract-based functions (R. Kumar, Kathuria, Malholtra, et al., 2023; R. Kumar, Malholtra, Singh, et al., 2023). This insecurity displays a dissonance between pursuing academia as a stronghold of freedom and the lived reality of its contingent force, which must contend with unrelenting needs, diminishing resources, and an overarching culture of hyper-competitiveness. While inherent to the academy's design, this duality has profound substances for its patrons. It forms an atmosphere where the assurance of intellectual self-determination is outweighed by the psychological toll of professional instability, promoting a culture in which personal and institutional wellness is routinely offered at the altar of perceived productivity (Malhotra et al., 2021; Neha et al., 2023).

1.2 Historical Evolution of Tenure and Its Role in Academic Freedom

The term system, often enshrined as the cornerstone of academic autonomy, has a storied history implanted in the necessity of insulating scholars from outer pressures. Initiating in the early 20th century as an answer to the arbitrary layoff of academics, the tenure standard was designed to shield intellectual endeavours from political, corporate, or idealistic interference encroachments.

The seminal *1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure*, drafted by the American Association of University Professors, standardized the conceptual reinforcements of tenure. This record articulated the term as a protective tool for faculty autonomy and a means of providing the academy's position as a crucible for unfettered intellectual discourse. Beneath this framework, tenure was imagined as an assurance between employer and employee and a covenant safeguarding the public good by maintaining academic independence (Rana, Cavaliere, et al., 2022).

Nevertheless, the contemporary growth of the tenure model indicates a complex fact. While the term provides unparalleled stability and autonomy for those acquiring it, securing it has become increasingly tricky and exclusionary. The tenure-track paradigm now embodies a gruelling trial by ordeal, wherein faculty are subjected to an intensifying gauntlet of implementation metrics, managerial scrutiny, and competitive pressures. Likewise, the rise of contingent and adjunct works and shrinking tenure-track prospects have fundamentally changed the academic labour market, relegating a growing ratio of scholars to precarious, under-compensated roles lacking term protections and concessions (C. Gupta et al., 2022; R. Kumar, Khanna Malholtra, & Grover, 2023).

24 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/job-insecurity-and-tenure-pressures/394346

Related Content

Sexuality, Parenting Skills, and Related Issues in Persons with Intellectual Disability

Rejani Thudalikunnil Gopalanand Prathibha Agustus (2016). *Handbook of Research on Diagnosing, Treating, and Managing Intellectual Disabilities* (pp. 386-395).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/sexuality-parenting-skills-and-related-issues-in-persons-with-intellectual-disability/150844

A Hidden Oasis of Care: Trauma-Informed Educational Practices and Teachers of Less Commonly Taught Content Areas (LCTCA)

Maggie Broderick (2025). *Frameworks for Multi-Tiered Systems of Support for Trauma-Informed Educational Settings* (pp. 203-222).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-hidden-oasis-of-care/378468

The Benefits of Self-Talk and Imagination for Your Mental Health and Happiness Adolescence in Higher Education

Vijendra Nath Pathakand Prattusha Chatterjee (2024). *Mental Health Crisis in Higher Education* (pp. 278-293).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-benefits-of-self-talk-and-imagination-for-your-mental-health-and-happiness-adolescence-in-higher-education/335992

Training Needs for Teachers Teaching Children With Autism in Special Schools

Nausheen Ameen (2021). *Social, Educational, and Cultural Perspectives of Disabilities in the Global South* (pp. 83-100).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/training-needs-for-teachers-teaching-children-with-autism-in-special-schools/268107

The Impact of the Psychoeducational Approach: Changing the Stigma of Mental Health

Sindu Padmanabhan (2023). *Changing the Stigma of Mental Health Among African Americans: Moving From Denial to Acceptance* (pp. 283-294).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-impact-of-the-psychoeducational-approach/330780