

# Chapter 8

## AI in Corporate Governance: Strengthening Oversight

Mustafa Kayyali

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3300-262X>

*Maaref University of Applied Sciences, Syria*

### ABSTRACT

*As artificial intelligence continues to reshape the fabric of modern finance, its integration into corporate governance raises urgent questions about oversight, ethics, and decision-making authority. This chapter explores how AI technologies—particularly machine learning, predictive analytics, and intelligent auditing systems—are transforming boardroom dynamics and redefining what it means to govern responsibly. Far from being a mere tool, AI is becoming a co-decision-maker, influencing everything from financial disclosures to risk mitigation strategies. Yet, alongside these efficiencies come profound challenges: algorithmic bias, opacity, and the erosion of human accountability. Through a critical lens, this chapter investigates how corporate structures can adopt AI responsibly, embedding transparency, ethical standards, and regulatory foresight into the very architecture of governance. Ultimately, it calls for a reframing of oversight itself—not as a control mechanism alone, but as a shared moral and strategic enterprise in the age of intelligent systems.*

DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3373-3626-8.ch008

Copyright © 2026, IGI Global Scientific Publishing. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global Scientific Publishing is prohibited. Use of this chapter to train generative artificial intelligence (AI) technologies is expressly prohibited. The publisher reserves all rights to license its use for generative AI training and machine learning model development.

## INTRODUCTION

Corporate governance has always carried a weight that goes beyond bylaws, financial audits, or quarterly reports. At its core, governance is a question of trust—trust in systems, in people, in accountability, and in the invisible thread of values that binds power to responsibility. But in a world where algorithms are increasingly woven into the very structure of decision-making, that trust is being renegotiated. Slowly, subtly, yet unmistakably, we are witnessing a shift. What once relied heavily on human judgment, intuition, and lived experience is now intersecting with machine logic, predictive models, and data-driven forecasts. This isn't just a technical evolution. It is a philosophical one.

Artificial intelligence, for all its promises, forces us to confront uncomfortable questions about who—or what—governs (Bremmer & Suleyman, 2023). In the sleek boardrooms of multinational firms and the fluorescent-lit offices of regulatory bodies, conversations are changing. It's no longer just about profits or compliance; it's about whether a neural network should have a say in assessing risk, flagging anomalies, or even shaping the trajectory of a company. Governance, traditionally human-centric, is being augmented, and at times challenged, by technologies that neither sleep nor forget. Algorithms don't come with conscience. They do not fear public backlash, and they do not sweat under moral ambiguity. But they do learn. They observe. They anticipate. And in doing so, they influence—sometimes invisibly—the direction of corporate choices.

The rise of AI in corporate governance is not just about automation or efficiency (Cihon et al, 2021). That's the surface layer. Dig deeper, and it becomes a reflection of our evolving relationship with authority, accountability, and truth itself. Can a system trained on past data recognize emerging ethical dilemmas? Can it weigh not just financial costs but social consequences? And if it errs—as all systems do—who takes responsibility? The board? The developer? The dataset? Or is responsibility itself becoming so diluted that no one, ultimately, is held accountable?

This chapter does not aim to either glorify AI or sound alarmist notes. Instead, it positions itself in the space between—where nuance lives. It looks at how AI is reshaping oversight structures, redefining the boundaries

24 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/ai-in-corporate-governance/391167](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/ai-in-corporate-governance/391167)

## Related Content

---

### Non-Parametric Statistical Analysis of Rare Events in Healthcare: Case of Histological Outcome of Kidney Transplantation

Soheila Nasiriand Bijan Raahemi (2017). *Handbook of Research on Data Science for Effective Healthcare Practice and Administration* (pp. 198-212).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/non-parametric-statistical-analysis-of-rare-events-in-healthcare/186938](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/non-parametric-statistical-analysis-of-rare-events-in-healthcare/186938)

### Analyzing the Strategy of Sustainable Competitive Advantage in Taiwan's Photovoltaic Industry

Yi-Fen Chen, Chang-Lung Hsieh, Wen-Yu Chen, Chai-Wen Tsaiand Lee-Wei Wei (2011). *International Journal of Decision Support System Technology* (pp. 42-57).

[www.irma-international.org/article/analyzing-strategy-sustainable-competitive-advantage/62565](http://www.irma-international.org/article/analyzing-strategy-sustainable-competitive-advantage/62565)

### An Unified Analytical Network Process (ANP) and Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) Approach for Manufacturing Strategy Decision

Manoj Kumar, Jyoti Ramanand Priya Singh (2015). *International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences* (pp. 57-82).

[www.irma-international.org/article/an-unified-analytical-network-process-anp-and-data-envelopment-analysis-dea-approach-for-manufacturing-strategy-decision/131437](http://www.irma-international.org/article/an-unified-analytical-network-process-anp-and-data-envelopment-analysis-dea-approach-for-manufacturing-strategy-decision/131437)

### A Multi-Criteria Predictive Framework for Sustainable Tomato Irrigation: Baseline Modeling Toward Treated Wastewater Integration

Noura Benlemlih, Safae El Aammouri, Youness Nizar, Abdellah Lemfarrakand Mohammed Ibriz (2026). *Advancements in Numerical Analysis and Quantitative Decision-Making* (pp. 333-354).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-multi-criteria-predictive-framework-for-sustainable-tomato-irrigation/399645](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-multi-criteria-predictive-framework-for-sustainable-tomato-irrigation/399645)

### A DSS User is a Happy Negotiator: The Impact of Decision Support on Emotional Behaviors in Text-Based Online Negotiations

Patrick Hippmann (2014). *International Journal of Decision Support System Technology* (pp. 1-20).

[www.irma-international.org/article/a-dss-user-is-a-happy-negotiator/123992](http://www.irma-international.org/article/a-dss-user-is-a-happy-negotiator/123992)