


Chapter 4

Resilient Peacebuilding in Conflict–Affected Educational Institutions: A Deep Learning Approach

Usharani Bhimavarapu

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0246-1420>

*Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Koneru Lakshmaiah
Education Foundation, Vaddeswaram, India*

ABSTRACT

This research assesses resilient peacebuilding in education in schools experiencing armed conflict based on a mixed-methods study. Field data for teachers were collected using focus group interviews and in-depth interviews and measured the effects of armed conflict on schooling. Preprocessing of the data was conducted through standardization and principal component analysis (PCA) for feature reduction. Stacked artificial neural network (ANN) was applied to screen resilience factors and identified most critical determinants like psychological support, active engagement of society, and institutional recovery processes. The study findings provide evidence-based facts regarding sustainable peacebuilding projects and offer meaningful recommendations to policymakers and educators who work in conflict zones.

DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3373-0260-7.ch004

INTRODUCTION

Resilient peacebuilding is the practice of achieving lasting stability in areas of conflict by establishing social, political, and economic resilience. It is more sophisticated than traditional peacekeeping because it ensures that communities have the capacity to address future conflicts through sustainable solutions. Resilient peacebuilding integrates conflict resolution, economic progress, education, and psychological well-being to achieve lasting peace. It is distinct from transient peace settlements, which focus more on creating local capacity to end conflicts peacefully. It is focused on inclusivity so that the process will involve all of the actors—women, marginal groups, and youth. Local citizens are taken to the fore, with solutions needing to be fitted into local culture and needs. The intervention resolves the root causes of conflict such as inequality and political instability in a manner that is not prone to future violence. The use of technology and evidence-based approaches also enhances peacebuilding. Lastly, resilient peacebuilding aims to build self-sustaining, adaptive, and autonomous societies that can sustain peace without external assistance.

Post-conflict states experience economic collapse, political uncertainty, and psychological trauma and hence require peacebuilding. Lack of long-term strategies causes eventual collapse of short-term ceasefires, and violence still persists. Peacebuilding that is resilient addresses the challenge of stability by employing community-led mechanisms of conflict resolution. It makes sure that former foes coexist peacefully with each other through encouraging dialogue, reconciliation, and mutual understanding between them. The majority of post-conflict areas are faced with poor institutions, and therefore, there is a need to rebuild inclusive and transparent institutions. The economy can create imbalances leading to more conflict, and hence, there is a need for sustainable development programs. Violence would traumatize individuals, rendering them incapable of returning to society, and hence, there is a need for psychological support programs. There should be education because this instills tolerance and critical thinking among the young people. Reconstruction of infrastructure is also required for restoring normalcy to the affected communities. Addressing these challenges in an all-round manner is what ensures resilient peacebuilding holds the potential for long-term stability and unwillingness to return to violence.

Involvement of the people is one of the mainstays of sustainable peacebuilding because people better comprehend their needs and conflicts. Peacebuilding is more effective and sustainable if there is people's involvement. Community leaders, religious leaders, and civil society organizations facilitate mediation of conflict and establishment of trust. Community-based initiatives guarantee that the peacebuilding efforts are owned and culturally customized at large. Collaboration with excluded

22 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/resilient-peacebuilding-in-conflict-affected-educational-institutions/384423

Related Content

Good Practices and Program Standards: Considering the Unique Needs of Community Colleges

Carola Smith and Ann Hubbard (2019). *Study Abroad Opportunities for Community College Students and Strategies for Global Learning* (pp. 37-52).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/good-practices-and-program-standards/219824

Violent Extremism and the Politics of Education in Pakistan: An Analysis of the Links Between Anti-Terror Laws, the Curriculum, and the Islamised Public Sphere

Zahid Ullah (2022). *Public Affairs Education and Training in the 21st Century* (pp. 256-275).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/violent-extremism-and-the-politics-of-education-in-pakistan/292851

Student Outcome Assessment for ABET Accreditation in an Artificial Intelligence Undergraduate Program

Saqib Saeed, Mehwash Farooqui, Amal A. Alahmadi, Yasser A. Bamarouf and Abdullah M. Almuhaideb (2025). *Higher Education and Quality Assurance Practices* (pp. 365-390).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/student-outcome-assessment-for-abet-accreditation-in-an-artificial-intelligence-undergraduate-program/366277

Closing the Gap Between Students' Career Readiness and Employers' Expectations: An Innovative Competency-Based Approach

Jennifer L. Doherty-Restrepo, Katherine Perez, Michael Creeden, Bridgette Cramand McLudmer Charite (2023). *International Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/closing-the-gap-between-students-career-readiness-and-employers-expectations/327348

Higher Education in India and the Influence of Online Certification Programs

G. Ravi Kiran, Geetha Manoharan, Subhashini Durai, Sunitha Purushottam
Ashtikarand Rajyalakshmi Kunchala (2024). *Evaluating Global Accreditation
Standards for Higher Education* (pp. 149-163).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/higher-education-in-india-and-the-influence-of-online-certification-programs/344932