Chapter 2 A Model for Online Instruction and Faculty Assessment

Michael Thomas Shaw

SilkWeb Consulting & Development, USA

Thomas M. Schmidt

University of Phoenix, USA

ABSTRACT

Differing methods of course development can lead to widely varying results. The University of Phoenix develops courses for both on-campus and on-line (e-learning) delivery, using electronic collaboration as well as in-person teamings. Course developers at the University rigorously measure feedback about course materials, and revise courses based on learners'input. This paper describes a model for developing and delivering e-learning doctoral-level curricula based on current research and a learner needs analyses. Suggestions for further improvements and surprising results about the most effective method for deriving E-learning materials are explored.

A MODEL FOR ONLINE INSTRUCTION AND FACULTY ASSESSMENT

University of Phoenix (UoPhx) is the largest forprofit university in the U.S. The institution is accredited by the Higher Learning Commission and offers degree programs at the associates, bachelors, masters, and doctoral levels. The University's central office is located in Phoenix, Arizona. Its mission as stated on its student home page is "to provide access to higher education opportunities that enable students to develop the knowledge and

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60566-936-6.ch002

skills necessary to achieve their professional goals, improve the productivity of their organizations, and provide leadership and service to their communities" (University of Phoenix, 2006).

A pioneer in adult education, the institution has broken new ground in electronic course delivery, originally using a fax system and now delivering course content and library materials over the World Wide Web (the Web). With over half of its 200,000 students enrolled in online programs, its materials must be geared to work effectively in an e-learning context, and must remain current and topical.

The University created the School of Advanced Studies (SAS) in 1998 to address the needs of stu-

dents desiring to pursue studies beyond the level of a Master's degree. As part of this effort, several doctoral-level degree programs were created, including the Doctor of Business Administration, the Doctor of Health Administration, Doctor of Management, Doctor of Education, each with several specializations including Curriculum and Instruction and Information Systems and Technology.

The following paper will document the model around which online courses have been developed at UoPhx and discuss supporting literature This study will conclude with a revised model for online instruction and a faculty assessment tool to support the new model.

THE ORIGINAL MODEL

Moskal (2006) investigated online student satisfaction. The authors found that 83% of learners indicated that they were satisfied with their program of study, citing convenience and flexibility as the major reasons. Eighty percent of students polled attributed their ability to complete their respective course of study to the online modality. Universities around the world are increasingly turning to this mode of education, making it necessary to review common approaches and practices.

The online environment possesses several advantages and some disadvantages over a classroom environment. Tallent-Runnels (2005) discussed best practices related to online instruction. They focused on five enabling factors for successful online courses, including organization of the platform, pace of learning, support for learning, resources available to students, and maintaining a welcoming environment.

The platform is the substructure that supports the online course. The methods used to organize the platform significantly affect learning outcomes. Ideally, the platform is logically organized into folders containing resources that meet the needs of both faculty and students. According to a review

conducted by Tallent-Runnels, online courses should organize electronic resources and materials in weekly folders.

Pacing is another significant element of online instruction. Findings by Tallent-Runnels indicate that students appreciate the ability to move at their own pace. Not surprisingly, their evidence strongly supports asynchronous discussions and faculty feedback. Asynchronous discussions allow students to research and debate ideas and create a virtual community. To further this fostering of community, faculty should provide timely feedback. Without feedback, students tend to withdraw from discussion.

Platform

The School of Advanced Studies programs are essentially hybrid in nature. Courses are delivered partially on location in Phoenix, Arizona in the form of residencies, and partially through a customized Learning Management System (LMS). Shifting away from reliance upon locally-installed, computer based software (such as Outlook Express), the LMS allows learners and faculty to use browser-based classroom software to engage in classroom discussions, submit assignments, and meet with team members from any Web-connected computer (i.e. not just their personal computer), allowing access from a range of locations.

Class discussions are held asynchronously, allowing learners and faculty the convenience of participating at times that best match their schedules. Althaus (1997) found asynchronous learning environments allowed students more time to read and respond to messages. In addition, the author found a positive relationship between discussion involvement and student grades. Rovai (2001) noted that asynchronous learning environments promote synthesis of knowledge and contribute to better-informed critical discussion. Furthermore, Heckman and Annabi (2003) found that asynchronous environments allow for more formal and careful responses.

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/model-online-instruction-faculty-assessment/38385

Related Content

Discovering the Two-Step Lag Behavioral Patterns of Learners in the College SPOC Platform

Zhi Liu, Hercy N.H. Cheng, Sanya Liuand Jianwen Sun (2017). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education (pp. 1-13).*

www.irma-international.org/article/discovering-the-two-step-lag-behavioral-patterns-of-learners-in-the-college-spoc-platform/169109

Exploring the Relationship Between MOOC Resource Management and Students' Perceived Benefits and Satisfaction via SEM

Seng Yue Wongand Simin Ghavifekr (2021). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies (pp. 51-69).*

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-the-relationship-between-mooc-resource-management-and-students-perceived-benefits-and-satisfaction-via-sem/282663

Ethics for the Graduating Class: Issues, Needs, and Approaches

Theresa M. Vitolo (2007). *Information Systems and Technology Education: From the University to the Workplace (pp. 299-310).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ethics-graduating-class/23404

Constructing Knowledge through Online Bulletin Board Discussions

Adams Bodomo (2005). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning (pp. 386-393)*. www.irma-international.org/chapter/constructing-knowledge-through-online-bulletin/12136

Trend of E-Learning: the service Mashup

Neil Y. Yen, Timothy K. Shih, Qun Jin, Hui-Huang Hsuand Louis R. Chao (2010). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies (pp. 69-88).*

www.irma-international.org/article/trend-learning-service-mashup/40329