


Chapter 13


The Power of Allyship: Advancing Gender Equity in the Workplace

N. Harshitha

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-3974-7376>

Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering, India

N. Shreevamshi

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6731-132X>

Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering, India

ABSTRACT

This research explores the allyship role in establishing gender equality in the workplace through overcoming systemic barriers that impede underrepresented genders. Allyship as active advocacy and support by specific individuals is studied for its implications on career development, workplace culture, and corporate strategy. Based on a mixed-method approach combining employee surveys and qualitative interviews with organizational leaders, male allies, and female employees, this research highlights the strategic role of allyship in fostering inclusive workplaces and supporting career advancement. Systematic training, intentional application, and communication are central findings highlighted as being at the forefront of effective allyship. The study concludes by calling on organizations to invest in allyship initiatives, reduce potential resistance, and review their own role in ensuring gender equity on a regular basis.

DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3373-2903-1.ch013

INTRODUCTION

Despite unprecedented diversity and inclusion advocacy efforts, workplace gender equality is still a challenge worldwide. Women and other marginalized gender groups are still faced with system barriers that hinder their access to equal opportunities, positions of leadership, fair remuneration, and dignity in the workplace. The difference in pay between women and men, for instance, is that women are receiving less money than men for performing the same type of work with the same type of qualifications in nearly every nation. Women are underrepresented at higher levels in most sectors, particularly those that were historically male-dominated such as technology, finance, and manufacturing, but their work is not valued or equated fairly.

Gender equity matters involve more than statistically insignificant underrepresentation. Most women and non-binary individuals face low-profile marginalization and exclusion from the labour force with a depressing effect on their career aspirations and psychological well-being. They encompass implicit bias within the performance management culture, omission from sponsorship and mentoring, omission from social networks, and microaggressions. Otherwise, the absence of enabling practices including flexible working, maternity/paternity leave, and sound procedures for handling harassment is a cause of such inequities.

Intersectionality complicates it. A minority racial, ethnic, religious, or LGBTQ+ female member can be discriminated against doubly, and standard diversity and inclusion efforts could be blind to such discrimination. Gender equity, in this case, cannot be mandated through policy but must occur through cultural transformation where all organizational members themselves consciously work towards creating a more inclusive and compassionate organizational culture.

One of the biggest challenges lies in gender stereotypes and social norms that do seep into organisational behaviour and decision-making. These stereotypes reserve leadership traits like assertiveness and decisiveness for men and reserve teamwork-based and empathetic leadership styles typical of women. This results in the double-bind syndrome wherein women are complimented for being “not assertive enough” but sanctioned for being “too assertive.” Such attitudes condition women's appraisal, promotion, and participation in strategic decision-making.

In the majority of working settings, the glass ceiling still constrains the career advancement of women. It is usually supported by exclusion from risky assignments, high-leverage tasks, and informal social connections through which sponsorship is transmitted. Women who break it typically do so at their own cost, exposed to burnout, overachiever syndrome, and workplace exclusion.

Apart from individual constraints, structural disadvantage is inherent in the majority of systems of hiring, promotion, and assessment. The systems more or less prefer the favored group by making some educational background, experience,

28 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-power-of-allyship/383716

Related Content

Bridging Generations: Enhancing Collaboration Through Gender Equity in the Workplace

N. Sathyanarayana, Usha Prabhu, G. Srividhya, Rachana Saxena and R. Shilpa (2025). *Enhancing Workplace Productivity Through Gender Equity and Intergenerational Communication* (pp. 255-282).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/bridging-generations/383713

Gender Dimension of ICTs in Latin America

Aimée Vega Montiel (2017). *Discrimination and Diversity: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 49-60).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/gender-dimension-of-icts-in-latin-america/182081

Leaders Use of Cultural Proficiency Toward Socially Just Educational Renewal: A Review of Literature

Brooke Soles, Theresa Meyerott, Jaime E. Welborn and Peter Flores III (2025). *Diverse Leadership Perspectives in Education: From K-12 to Higher Education* (pp. 1-36).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/leaders-use-of-cultural-proficiency-toward-socially-just-educational-renewal/380993

Mentoring and Supervision for Women of Color Leaders in North American Higher Education: Together We Go Further

Elena Sandoval-Lucero, Tamara D. White and Judi Diaz Bonacquisti (2020). *International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education* (pp. 18-45).

www.irma-international.org/article/mentoring-and-supervision-for-women-of-color-leaders-in-north-american-higher-education/258995

Diversities in Teacher Education: Self-Identity and Self-Efficacy Among Preservice Teachers from Marginalized Groups

Yuko Iwai (2022). *International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/diversities-in-teacher-education/301216