


# Chapter 7

## Corruption in Accreditation at HEIs: When Corruption Erodes Trust in Higher Learning

Christopher Boh lens

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9653-2743>

Leuphana, Germany

### ABSTRACT

*This chapter investigates corruption in global higher education accreditation related to quality assurance. It assesses corruption's impact on accreditation processes, highlighting transparency issues, regulatory flaws, and economic influences as primary factors. It discusses negative consequences for higher education institutions (HEIs), including reduced quality, reputation, and credibility, leading to trust erosion and financial losses for students, faculty, and stakeholders. Additionally, it reviews international anti-corruption frameworks and best practices, showcasing successful initiatives and case studies. The chapter provides actionable recommendations to enhance transparency, accountability, and ethical culture in accreditation. By promoting integrity and ongoing improvement, it underscores the necessity of embedding these principles in quality assurance to encourage ethical conduct at all institutional levels.*

### INTRODUCTION

In the dynamic realm of higher education, the process of accreditation is instrumental in upholding the standards of quality, legitimacy, and global competitiveness among higher education institutions (HEIs). Nevertheless, the infiltration of corruption into these accreditation processes poses a significant risk to the foundational principles of educational excellence and public trust. This chapter investigates the intricate phenomenon of corruption in the accreditation frameworks of HEIs, providing a comprehensive examination of its underlying causes, various manifestations, and resultant implications, while also suggesting potential strategies for addressing and alleviating such corruption.

DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3693-6915-9.ch007

## **BACKGROUND**

Accreditation represents a pivotal mechanism that guarantees the quality and legitimacy of educational institutions and their respective programs. This process entails a stringent evaluation conducted by independent accrediting agencies to ascertain that institutions fulfill predetermined benchmarks of academic and operational excellence. Within the realm of higher education institutions (HEIs), accreditation functions as a symbol of authenticity, facilitating their ability to attract students, obtain funding, and bestow recognized qualifications. Nonetheless, the presence of corruption within the accreditation process constitutes a significant threat to the integrity and efficacy of this system.

Corruption in accreditation may manifest in diverse forms, including the bribery of accreditation officials, manipulation of evaluative data, and the exertion of undue influence on accrediting bodies. When such malpractices transpire, substandard institutions may garner undeserved accreditation, resulting in the proliferation of diploma mills—entities that confer degrees with minimal or no academic rigor. This phenomenon undermines the very bedrock of educational integrity and devalues the qualifications conferred by legitimate institutions.

The ramifications of such corruption extend far and wide. For students, this implies the investment of time and resources in qualifications that may lack recognition from employers or other educational institutions. For employers, it engenders uncertainty concerning the skills and competencies of job applicants, potentially culminating in an inadequately qualified workforce. Society, consequently, experiences a broader erosion of trust in the educational system, adversely affecting the perceived value of higher education.

HEIs that engage in or are impacted by corrupt accreditation practices confront serious consequences. These repercussions may encompass legal sanctions, as governmental and educational authorities increasingly endeavor to combat fraudulent practices within the educational sphere. Moreover, institutions implicated in corruption face substantial reputational harm, which may dissuade prospective students and faculty members, diminish alumni support, and result in curtailed funding opportunities.

For graduates of these institutions, the adverse effect on their professional trajectories is pronounced. Should their qualifications be regarded as lacking validity, their employment prospects and opportunities for further education may be severely constrained. This not only influences individual career trajectories but also diminishes the overall quality and competitiveness of the workforce, particularly in a globalized economy where educational qualifications serve as a critical metric for international competitiveness.

Corruption in the accreditation of higher education institutions poses a profound threat to the credibility and quality of education. By eroding trust in educational qualifications, it possesses the potential to adversely affect students, employers, and society at large. Consequently, it is imperative to establish robust mechanisms to prevent and address corruption in accreditation, thereby ensuring that HEIs uphold the elevated standards essential for cultivating a knowledgeable and skilled populace.

## **INTRODUCTION TO ACCREDITATION AND ITS IMPORTANCE**

Accreditation constitutes a pivotal mechanism within the domain of higher education, functioning as an official validation that an institution or program fulfills specific, established benchmarks of quality. This procedure is essential for guaranteeing that higher education institutions (HEIs) maintain a degree of consistency, dependability, and academic rigor that is anticipated by students, employers, and the

26 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/corruption-in-accreditation-at-heis/365734](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/corruption-in-accreditation-at-heis/365734)

## Related Content

---

### Engaging Mobile-Assisted Learning Activities Using Multiple Mobile Apps for Foreign Language Practice

Gyeo Woon Jung (2020). *Preparing 21st Century Teachers for Teach Less, Learn More (TLLM) Pedagogies* (pp. 203-220).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/engaging-mobile-assisted-learning-activities-using-multiple-mobile-apps-for-foreign-language-practice/242463](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/engaging-mobile-assisted-learning-activities-using-multiple-mobile-apps-for-foreign-language-practice/242463)

### Developing Statistics Cognitions

(2017). *Assessing and Measuring Statistics Cognition in Higher Education Online Environments: Emerging Research and Opportunities* (pp. 57-95).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/developing-statistics-cognitions/182188](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/developing-statistics-cognitions/182188)

### Mechanism of Direct and Indirect Assessments for Continuous Improvement in Higher Education

Arshi Naim, Praveen Kumar Malik, Shad Ahmad Khanand Arshiya Begum Mohammed (2024). *Evaluating Global Accreditation Standards for Higher Education* (pp. 200-216).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/mechanism-of-direct-and-indirect-assessments-for-continuous-improvement-in-higher-education/344935](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/mechanism-of-direct-and-indirect-assessments-for-continuous-improvement-in-higher-education/344935)

### LGBT College Student Career Development: Goals and Recommendations for Faculty Members

Elizabeth L. Campbelland Michael A. Burrows (2020). *International Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 29-40).

[www.irma-international.org/article/lgbt-college-student-career-development/260947](http://www.irma-international.org/article/lgbt-college-student-career-development/260947)

### Writing Self-Efficacy and Performance Among Students in an Online Doctoral Program

Erin Breitenbach, Katherine Adlerand Vanessa Pazdernik (2022). *International Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 1-14).

[www.irma-international.org/article/writing-self-efficacy-performance-among/304080](http://www.irma-international.org/article/writing-self-efficacy-performance-among/304080)