

Chapter 4

Accreditation and Quality Assurance in Traditional and Non-Traditional Education: Challenges, Perspectives, and Future Directions

Bedour Abouammoh

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-9568-0207>

Saudi Electronic University, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

Academic accreditation is critical in ensuring the quality of educational institutions and fostering continuous improvement, though it can sometimes overshadow key educational objectives. In e-learning environments, institutions face quality assurance challenges, particularly personal interaction and developing communication skills. Some employers still prefer traditional education over online learning, indicating the need to shift negative perceptions of non-traditional education. Student satisfaction enhances institutional reputation, highlighting the importance of refining feedback mechanisms to better reflect experiences. Non-traditional education is often viewed as a secondary choice for practical reasons like career advancement or convenience. Institutions must improve the quality of non-traditional education while addressing concerns from employers and learners to increase its acceptance and value. This chapter explores challenges, perspectives, and future directions for academic accreditation and offers a qualitative analysis of stakeholder responses.

INTRODUCTION:

This chapter, “Accreditation and Quality Assurance in Traditional and Non-Traditional Education: Challenges, Perspectives, and Future Directions,” offers a comprehensive and incisive analysis of the pivotal role that accreditation and quality assurance (QA) play in shaping the trajectory of modern education. As educational paradigms shift, particularly with the increasing prevalence of digital and non-traditional learning models, ensuring that institutions not only meet but exceed rigorous standards has become both more complex and critical. The chapter explores how accreditation, long a cornerstone

DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3693-6915-9.ch004

of academic integrity in traditional settings, now encounters new and evolving challenges as online and blended learning redefine educational delivery.

The discourse addresses the distinct challenges posed by non-traditional education, such as maintaining student engagement, facilitating effective communication, and adapting assessment methods to suit dynamic learning environments. Importantly, the chapter underscores the delicate balance required between regulatory oversight and the encouragement of educational innovation. By highlighting the perspectives of key stakeholders—administrative staff, faculty, and students—it brings to light growing concerns surrounding the perceived legitimacy of non-traditional credentials within the workforce and academic spheres. Moreover, it emphasizes that faculty and student satisfaction are integral to institutional reputation and success, as modern QA practices increasingly aim to create inclusive and responsive educational environments.

Building on the critical insights of scholars like Amaral (2007), the chapter critiques the rigid adherence to traditional accreditation frameworks, suggesting that excessive focus on compliance can inhibit innovation and progress. It argues for a more integrated, flexible approach to QA—one that not only ensures regulatory compliance but also champions the enhancement of educational quality across both conventional and emerging learning modalities. This nuanced analysis highlights the urgent need for continuous evolution in accreditation practices, setting the stage for future research that aligns QA systems with the expanding demands of digital education.

E-learning:

E-learning refers to the delivery of educational content through digital platforms, primarily via computers and the Internet. It allows learners to engage with materials either in real-time (synchronously) or at their own pace (asynchronously), offering flexibility for accessing content from any location and at a speed that suits individual needs and capabilities. Holland (2009) defines e-learning environments as “the collaborative interactions used for knowledge acquisition within the online computer-mediated digital system.”

Many studies employ various terms to describe e-learning, such as distance learning, blended learning, and online learning. These terms are often used interchangeably in research, with little distinction made between them in the context of non-traditional learning environments (Ministry of Higher Education, 2015; Moore et al., 2011). Moore et al. (2011) further highlight the challenges in defining e-learning environments, noting that the interchangeable use of terms like online learning, web-based learning, and e-learning complicates their understanding. They point out that definitions may vary, with some focusing on courses or programs and others on learning objects. Additionally, terms like Learning Management Systems (LMS), Course Management Systems (CMS), and Virtual Learning Environments (VLE) are often used synonymously, although they differ in scope and functionality depending on the context. This variability contributes to the ongoing ambiguity in e-learning terminology. Moore et al. (2011) also discussed e-learning, and distinctions between asynchronous, synchronous, blended, and web-based learning environments:

1. Asynchronous Learning: Learners access materials and complete tasks at their own pace, with no real-time interaction.
2. Synchronous Learning: Requires real-time engagement, such as live virtual classrooms.

24 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/accreditation-and-quality-assurance-in-traditional-and-non-traditional-education/365731

Related Content

Coalition Building on Campus: Creating and Maintaining Student Organizations for Students With Criminal Convictions

James M. Binnall and Melissa Inglis (2020). *Higher Education Accessibility Behind and Beyond Prison Walls* (pp. 125-151).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/coalition-building-on-campus/257581

Rationalizing the Online Learning in Higher Education in MENA Region: Reaching Education to Every Section of Society

Hesham Magdand Shad Ahmad Khan (2024). *Evaluating Global Accreditation Standards for Higher Education* (pp. 253-264).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/rationalizing-the-online-learning-in-higher-education-in-mena-region/344939

"The Fact That the Author Was Male Instead of Female Provided for an Objective Opinion": Implicit Bias in the Classroom

Julia Ferrara Waity, Jennifer Vanderminden and Kristin Robeson (2020). *International Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 44-60).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-fact-that-the-author-was-male-instead-of-female-provided-for-an-objective-opinion/265506

A Cost-Effective Model to Address Student Readiness Through the Lens of a College Physics Course

Rebecca Forrest, Donna Pattison, Jacqueline Hawkins, Monica Martens, Laura Taylor Jacobs and Shuo Chen (2021). *International Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-cost-effective-model-to-address-student-readiness-through-the-lens-of-a-college-physics-course/289945

Integrating Service-Learning Pedagogy Into Community College Coursework: A Phenomenological Study

Timothy Leonard and Patrick J. Flink (2020). *International Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 25-36).

www.irma-international.org/article/integrating-service-learning-pedagogy-into-community-college-coursework/245771