Chapter 4
Integrating AI in Formative Assessment Under UDL Principles in Diverse Learning Environments

Rui Oliva Teles
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5455-8104
Polytechnic Institute of Porto, Portugal

Filipe T. Moreira
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3461-9827
Instituto Politécnico da Guarda, Portugal

ABSTRACT

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in formative assessments within the universal design for learning (UDL) framework presents promising opportunities to enhance educational inclusivity and effectiveness. UDL emphasizes flexible teaching strategies to accommodate the diverse needs of learners. AI also offers the potential to personalize learning by providing real-time adaptive feedback, aligning with UDL's core principles of multiple means of engagement, representation, and expression. However, challenges remain, such as addressing ethical concerns, preventing algorithmic bias, and ensuring the equitable use of student data. The integration of AI-driven formative assessments in UDL-based learning environments requires thoughtful consideration of its implications to foster inclusive and equitable education for all students. This chapter takes a reflective look at this issue and presents alternative perspectives.

DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3693-6170-2.ch004

1. INTRODUCTION

The convergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and educational practices has generated novel opportunities for the enhancement of formative assessment methodologies, particularly within the context of Universal Design for Learning (UDL).

UDL represents a pedagogical framework that prioritizes adaptability in instructional strategies and evaluative measures to cater to the heterogeneous requirements of all learners. This adaptability is crucial in contemporary educational landscapes, wherein students present a diverse array of abilities, cultural backgrounds, and learning preferences. The integration of AI into formative assessments, guided by UDL principles, not only holds the potential to elevate educational outcomes but also to foster a more inclusive and equitable learning environment.

It seems consensual that the rise of AI in educational contexts has introduced new tools capable of providing personalized, adaptive learning experiences. These tools align with UDL's core principles—providing multiple means of engagement, representation, and expression—by offering tailored feedback and support to meet each learner's unique needs. However, the integration of AI into UDL-based formative assessments also raises significant questions about ethics, accessibility, and the role of educators in an AI-enhanced learning environment.

The potential of formative assessment to transform learning outcomes has long been recognized, particularly in its capacity to provide continuous, responsive feedback that can be immediately acted upon by both educators and students. Formative assessment supports a more personalized approach to education, where the learning process is continuously adapted to meet the needs of each student. However, traditional methods of formative assessment often fall short in diverse classrooms where students' learning needs vary widely. This gap can be bridged through the application of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles, which emphasize the need for flexibility in instructional practices to accommodate the broad spectrum of learner variability.

Universal Design for Learning (UDL) is a framework designed to improve and optimize teaching and learning for all people based on scientific insights into how humans learn. According to UDL, educators should provide multiple means of engagement, representation, and expression to cater to the diverse needs of students (Bray et al., 2024) This approach recognizes that students differ not only in their abilities and disabilities but also in their interests, cultural backgrounds, and ways of interacting with the world. Therefore, a one-size-fits-all approach to assessment is inadequate. Instead, UDL advocates for assessments that are as varied and flexible as the learners themselves, offering different pathways for students to demonstrate their knowledge and skills (Segura-Castillo & Quirós-Acuña, 2019)