

Chapter 1.14

Outsourcing and Strategic Outsourcing

Sonia Dahab

Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal

Filipe Amaral

Grupo Sumol, Portugal

The production of a good or service frequently requires that the supplier performs a wide range of activities. The coordination between those activities implies that the firm must determine its *boundaries*, which means that it must define the supply chain activities that will be performed internally, and those that will be trusted to *external suppliers*. The process of obtaining goods and services from outside suppliers, instead of developing them within the organization, is called *outsourcing* (Anderson & Naurus, 1991).

Broadly speaking, outsourcing presents advantages insofar as *external suppliers* are able to attain economies of scale that an internal department, producing exclusively to meet the firm's needs, cannot. Moreover, an *external supplier* is subject to the discipline of the market, which creates a greater incentive to efficiency and innovation than is the case in an *integrated firm*, where overall success may disguise inefficiencies in certain areas. However, the decision to give away a link

of the value chain to an *external supplier* presents challenges when it comes to coordinating the production flows and managing a relationship with an independent entity. In addition, close cooperation with another firm increases the risk of privileged information leakage (Baldwin & Clark, 2002). Regarding the difficulties of coordinating production flows between a firm and its outsourcing suppliers, Novak and Eppinger (2001) analyze the relationship between the design complexity of components (which are potential targets for outsourcing) and the degree of vertical integration. They conclude, based on an empirical analysis of the automobile industry, that complexity is decisive in explaining the proportion of components that is *manufactured in-house*.

The potential impact of an outsourcing decision justifies an adequate analysis, and an approach that places this option within the context of the strategic orientation of the firm. According to Quinn and Hilmer (1994), a firm can leverage

Outsourcing and Strategic Outsourcing

the use of its resources if it chooses to follow a combination of two strategic approaches. On the one hand, it should develop a small set of carefully selected *core competencies*, on which investment and management time must be concentrated. On the other hand, the firm should outsource all other activities, even if these include areas that were traditionally considered too fundamental to the firm's business to be performed externally. The importance of a differentiated treatment of *core competencies* is evident in Quinn's (1999) statement, according to which a firm loses a competitive edge when it performs an activity internally without having a world-class performance at it. It would be better off by handing over that activity to an outside supplier.

Core competencies are the activities that allow a firm to maintain a competitive edge in the long run. They generally include two or three steps of the value chain and have to do with areas such as product (or service) design, technology creation, customer service and logistics, in which the firm can achieve a performance that is superior to that of any competitor. *Core competencies* must concern matters that are important for client satisfaction in the long run, and not only for the needs of today's customer. It is fundamental that they be embedded in the organization, and not merely present among a subset of individuals within the organization. To Nieminen and Nummela (2004), *core competencies* possess four fundamental characteristics: they are *valuable*, in the sense that they allow the firm to provide satisfaction to its customers; *rare*, distinguishing the firm from its competitors; *hard to replicate*, contributing to the sustainability of the competitive advantage; and last, they must be *embedded in the functioning of the organization*, so that its potential benefits can be totally realized.

By following the strategy of concentrating the firm's attention in *core competencies* and outsourcing all other activities, it is possible to improve competitive advantage and profitability. Committing to the development of *core compe-*

tencies concentrates the firm's activity on areas in which it has better performance, in that way maximizing the profitability of resources, and building effective barriers to the entry of present and future competitors. Trusting production to external suppliers allows simultaneous access to investments, innovations and specific competencies of one or several firms, which would be very expensive, or even impossible to duplicate internally; moreover, the risk associated with the development of components and technology is now shared between various suppliers, instead of being concentrated in the firm. This sort of relationship also introduces flexibility and a greater ability to respond to changing customer needs.

According to Quinn (1999), the emphasis is currently shifting from outsourcing relationships that are limited to well defined production activities (e.g., the production of parts and components), toward knowledge-based activities, with higher value added. This occurs because specialized service firms are becoming larger and more sophisticated relative to the scale that a single division within an integrated firm can achieve. This trend leads inevitably to the need to redefine the relationship between a firm and its suppliers, in the direction of greater proximity; as Anderson and Naurus (1991) state, the relationship of a firm with its suppliers is increasingly one that can be characterized as a partnership, instead of a simple buyer-supplier agreement. These authors point out the tendency for firms to outsource activities that are increasingly close to the final consumer, like sales or customer support. Thomke and von Hippel (2002) present an approach focusing on innovation, where firms outsource the design of new products, handing over to their customers a significant part of the responsibility for drawing and developing products with the desired characteristics, through computerized tools that are made available to them. Kopcak and Johnson (2003) also refer to the shift toward the increasing participation of "collaborators" that do not belong to the firm, in the design of products, processes and the supply chain.

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/outsourcing-strategic-outsourcing/36147

Related Content

Outsourcing Performance

Hans Solli-Sæther and Petter Gottschalk (2010). *Managing IT Outsourcing Performance* (pp. 142-163).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/outsourcing-performance/38498

Leveraging Knowledge Reuse and System Agility in the Outsourcing Era

Igor Crk, Dane Sorensen and Amit Mitra (2008). *Outsourcing and Offshoring of Professional Services: Business Optimization in a Global Economy* (pp. 342-362).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/leveraging-knowledge-reuse-system-agility/27977

Case Study Research

Hans Solli-Sæther and Petter Gottschalk (2010). *Managing IT Outsourcing Performance* (pp. 187-212).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/case-study-research/38500

A Collaborative Learning Environment to Support Distance Learning Students in Developing Nations

Michelle Dottore and Steve Spencer (2010). *IT Outsourcing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1168-1188).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/collaborative-learning-environment-support-distance/36204

The Role of the CIO

Hans Solli-Sæther and Petter Gottschalk (2010). *Managing IT Outsourcing Performance* (pp. 231-251).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/role-cio/38503